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Introduction

Just before the beginning of this decade, in around 2007, for the first time over half the planet’s population became urban. Today – at the end of the world’s first ‘urban decade’ – there are over 4.2 billion urban dwellers.¹ By 2045, the prediction is that there will be six billion,² with most growth taking place in Asia and Africa.

There has also been a sharp rise in crises affecting cities over the past decade. Large-scale flooding has become a regular feature of many Asian cities, including Bangkok, Chennai and numerous towns in Pakistan. In 2010, the Haiti earthquake caused widespread damage in the capital, Port-au-Prince, and the following year a devastating tsunami struck coastal towns in Japan. Typhoon Haiyan, which tore through towns and cities in the Philippines in 2013, caused widespread devastation. Flooding and windstorms are being worsened by climate change, which is also increasing the severity of urban heatwaves, affecting cities’ poorest urban residents worst of all.³

After a period of decline, the number and severity of conflicts began to rise in 2011, causing widespread destruction and loss of life, notably in cities in Syria and Iraq. Elsewhere, in Mexico, Central America and sub-Saharan Africa, urban violence is on such a scale that its effects can be equivalent to – or even exceed – deaths caused by conflict.

One major consequence of these crises has been large-scale forced migration. According to the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), a record 68.5 million people were forcibly displaced in 2017. Displacement is increasingly an urban phenomenon, with more and more displaced people seeking shelter and employment in towns and cities rather than camps.⁴

Against this backdrop, the humanitarian sector is grappling with the challenges and opportunities of working in urban spaces. The Haiti earthquake was a wake-up call on the need to rethink humanitarian response to urban crises. Since then, a number of aid organisations (including UN agencies, donors, the Red Cross/Red Crescent Movement, NGOs, think tanks and consultants) have sought to ‘urbanise’ their approaches, recognising that many traditional ways of working derived largely from programming in rural areas need revising, rethinking or

replacing with tools better suited to urban contexts. However, for agencies used to working in rural environments, the dynamism of the city, with its reliance on markets and intricate logistics, can be a daunting challenge. Huge, diverse and mobile populations complicate needs assessments, and close coordination is necessary with other, often unfamiliar, actors. Extreme inequality makes sophisticated targeting essential. A patchwork of authorities and alternate, potentially predatory forms of urban governance require constant negotiation, which can disintegrate rapidly in the face of recurrent violence. These actors are not merely barriers to overcome, but key partners for engagement during any humanitarian response – whether neighbourhood committees, municipal governments or local community groups, they are often part of wider city ‘systems’, with extensive local knowledge and contacts, and often act as first responders long before the international community arrives.

The humanitarian sector is beginning to recognise the scale and complexity of this challenge. Many organisations have taken steps to adapt their approaches to urban contexts, piloting new approaches and documenting and applying lessons learned, complementing a number of literature and policy reviews. But despite increasing recognition of the need to improve humanitarian responses in urban areas, most practitioners still lack practical guidance. To meet this need, the Humanitarian Practice Network (HPN) at ODI and the Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance in Humanitarian Action (ALNAP) commissioned this Good Practice Review (GPR) on responding to humanitarian needs in urban contexts. Reference guides for field-based practitioners, GPRs review operational experience of good practice in key areas, providing practical guidance for managers in designing, implementing and monitoring programmes.

**Structure**

This GPR is structured into four chapters. Chapter 1, on context, sets the scene. It first describes ways of seeing the city (there are many; this section presents three). The chapter then discusses four particular urban threats: conflict, violence, naturally-triggered disasters and climate change. The next sections look at urban displacement and vulnerability – cities are homes to extremes of wealth and poverty, and the poorest are almost always the most vulnerable. The chapter ends with a discussion of actors in the urban space associated with the humanitarian ecosystem.

Chapter 2, on themes and issues, comprises three sections. The first covers the complexities and challenges of coordination in urban areas. The next looks at corruption risks, both within urban institutions and structures and in aid programming itself. The chapter ends with a section on resilience, which is included given its importance in humanitarian efforts to reduce future risk, as well as figuring prominently in global agreements such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
Chapter 3 focuses on tools and approaches. It begins by identifying key frameworks, standards and alliances relevant to urban humanitarian action. The next sections look at area-based approaches (ABAs), which have become popular in recent years as a people- and neighbourhood-centred approach to post-crisis recovery, and cash and markets, another increasingly common tool with obvious resonance and application in cities. The next section is on mapping and the geospatial visualisation of information. While maps have always been used in humanitarian planning and programming, recent developments in technology have made it a powerful instrument in fast-changing situations.

The following six sections follow the project management cycle, identifying and discussing urban-centric tools according to each stage. The first four sections relate to different forms of assessment. Context analysis refers to wider understandings of cities outside of the immediate crisis (for a better, more contextualised response); assessments and profiling gather information on which programming decisions are made, with profiling relating in particular to displacement as a result of conflict; targeting tools identify the most vulnerable in a crisis, which in cities can be fraught with complexity; and response analysis concerns reviewing assessment information, and from that formulating the best response. The next section, on design and management, presents a range of approaches to navigating the complex and fast-changing nature of many cities. The final section discusses the challenges of monitoring and evaluation in complex urban environments.

Chapter 4, on sectors, is organised according to the current humanitarian architecture embodied in the Cluster approach. There are nine sections. The first, covering housing, land and property (HLP) rights, discusses the difficulties involved in addressing this issue in conflict, disaster and returnee situations, and the importance of land disputes as a common cause of conflict. Following this, the section on shelter and settlements emphasises the need to explore approaches beyond the provision of temporary structures. The section on debris and disaster waste management includes good practice in post-disaster clearance, explosive remnants of war and mine clearance.

The next section focuses on water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH), including water provision in informal settlements and the maintenance of services in conflict settings. The section on livelihoods discusses the critical need to support livelihood opportunities, particularly for forcibly displaced people. Education in emergencies is discussed, in particular in protracted crises. The section on protection emphasises the need to respect and uphold the rights of people caught up in crises, and identifies particular groups who may require protection. The next section, on health, returns to the theme of urban systems, with an emphasis on the inter-connected nature of health provision in cities. The final section looks at food security and the opportunities of using cash to meet urban food needs.
Research approach

The research for this GPR drew on reports, journals and academic papers for each section, as well as cross-cutting reports. Online searches were made using existing databases, online libraries and dedicated journals, and expert organisations and individuals were also contacted for sources and inputs, alongside an advisory committee of 16 members comprising experienced practitioners, consultants and academics. Each section was reviewed by between two to five reviewers, as well as ALNAP and ODI, and in some cases by subject experts.

In an endeavour of this size there are inevitably challenges and limitations. The first challenge, and limitation, was the scope of the task: each section in this GPR could have been an entire publication in itself, and as much has been left out as has been included. The GPR has tried to cover what is essential and important, identifying and presenting principles, practices, evidence and examples of what has worked, while providing links to further reading.

A second, related, challenge was identifying what to include within the scope of humanitarian action. For example, this GPR does not cover pre-crisis mitigation and preparedness actions (other GPRs, such as the one on disaster risk reduction (DRR), address this). 5

A third challenge lies in defining what we mean by ‘city’, and what distinguishes ‘urban’ from ‘rural’. As discussed later, for some urban researchers the very notion that there is an urban/rural divide is problematic, and comparisons provide little value – both are equally important areas of engagement, with their own equally important complexities. Finally, the pace of learning in urban humanitarian action is its own challenge, albeit a welcome one, with a number of major reports and research outputs due to be published during 2019.

Chapter 1

Context

1.1 Ways of seeing the city

There are a number of ways of describing, defining and classifying a city.¹ They include population density and size,² infrastructure, range of economic activity and physical characteristics, the proportion of inhabitants engaged in non-agricultural activities, or a specific density of people to a particular area of land. An urban area may also be defined in relation to a particular administrative set-up. One UN report found that, ‘Of the 233 countries or areas for which estimates and projections of the urban and rural populations were produced, 125 use administrative criteria to distinguish between urban and rural areas’, while ‘Sixty-five of these countries use administrative designations as the sole criterion’. On population numbers, the report found that ‘the lower limit above which a settlement is considered urban varies considerably, ranging between 200 and 50,000 inhabitants’.³ Recent research points out that the different ways in which ‘urban’ is understood lead to inaccurate generalisations (the world may be far more urbanised than is usually thought).⁴ There is also a view in critical urban studies going back nearly a century that the fundamental understanding that ‘urban’ and ‘rural’ are two different things is flawed, and that everything is urban (this is further discussed below).⁵

1.1.1 Three ways of describing the city

This section presents three interrelated and overlapping ways of describing the city: the physical city; the city as a series of systems; and the people-centred city. These are emphasised here because they form the basis of much of the subsequent content presented in this GPR.

---

¹ This review uses the term ‘city’ to refer to urban settlements, including cities, towns and peri-urban areas.

² In some countries, a place is ‘urban’ if it has a population of 2,000 or more and provides amenities and facilities which indicate modern living, i.e. a combination of commercial, industrial, residential and other urban land use functions, such as health and educational facilities, restaurants, banks and police stations.


⁵ See for example the extensive writings of Neil Brenner and Christian Schmid at the Harvard Urban Theory Lab: www.urbantheorylab.net/.
1. The physical city

The physical manifestation of the city is perhaps what people think of first when thinking about what a city is. Thinking about physicality introduces words into humanitarian action that may not be often used, such as density, space, buildings, infrastructure, squares and streets. The physical city also reveals stark differences between wealth and poverty (and therefore the underlying vulnerability of many poorer urban dwellers). The physical city can be described in terms of:

- A variety of building types and uses, with high densities and verticality and a wide range of functions, including industry, commerce and education.
- A location for businesses (both formal and informal), commerce and government.
- Infrastructure, such as water and sewage systems.
- Public spaces, such as parks, sports halls and exhibition venues.
- Informal settlements, which in many cities are home to large numbers of people, often with complex land arrangements (see Section 4.1).
- Transport networks, such as rivers and canals, motorways, public transport and thoroughfares.
- Large-scale infrastructure, such as airports and power stations.
- Historical landmarks and culturally important spaces.

The physical city also relates to a city’s location, whether coastal, landlocked or in mountainous terrain. Urban locations of course are significant in terms of susceptibility to naturally-triggered disasters (see Section 1.2.3) and climate change (Section 1.2.4). A physical understanding of the city is the basis for land planning laws, administrative boundaries and municipal expenditures. Several aspects of urban humanitarian programming have a strong link to a city’s physical attributes, including area-based approaches, geospatial analysis (mapping) and infrastructure provision, such as debris management, shelter and WASH.

A physical description of a city, however, has its limitations. For example (if discounting administrative boundaries), deciding where a city stops, and where the countryside begins, is not straightforward. The traditional concept of the city as a physical space within rural surroundings is also eroding and being replaced by much more complex and inter-connected human settlements (mega-cities, metropolitan regions, a ‘rural–urban continuum’ or city ‘clusters’). Suburbs can be ‘outer cities’ connected to multiple urban centres. Many informal settlements grow into self-organised areas, known variously around the world as favelas, shanty towns, urban villages and banlieues.
2. The city as a series of systems

A systems-based approach describes how different elements, aspects or functions of a city work together. Systems can be defined as ‘an interconnected collection of things (for example people, institutions, infrastructure, societal norms, economy or ecosystems), organised in a pattern or structure that changes frequently’. Systems here are used to describe how different elements, aspects or functions combine. An example would be financial markets or healthcare, which in a city might include hospitals, ambulances, health infrastructure, medical supply industries, pharmaceuticals, doctors, nurses and support staff. A systems approach also makes the links, and arguably helpfully blurs the lines, between ‘urban’ and ‘rural’ areas – for example a market system concerning the consumption of fish involves catching fish in the sea, processing them and transporting them from what may be coastal rural areas to the city.

Systems thinking cuts across disciplines and is increasingly being used to describe and understand the complex processes by which people live their lives in society. Systems-based thinking forces consideration of the links between and within different components of the city. This may challenge existing ways of working for humanitarian actors, where single-sector delivery may be the norm (see for example Section 3.6.1 on multi-sectoral assessments). A focus on systems also highlights the complexities of urban humanitarian action, and underpins the approaches and issues described in a number of sections of this review, including governance, corruption, violence and the role of cash programming and its relation to markets.

There are a number of different ways of describing urban systems. UN-Habitat describes urban systems in terms of five attributes: space, organisations (such as neighbourhood groups and other associations), physicality (including buildings and infrastructure), functions (described as commercial, governance and social processes) and time (noting that cities change over months, years and centuries).

Figure 1.1, from ALNAP, presents five interlinked systems, from which the following might be noted.

Infrastructure, services, space and settlements relate to the physical city, described above. Redundancy and flexibility of physical systems are extremely important. Systems should be built in such a way that they are safe to fail, i.e. will cause minimal harm in the event of a disaster.

---


Politics and governance relates to how power is exercised in cities. Governance concerns how goods, resources, people and power are organised, which can have positive and negative outcomes for how people live their lives in cities. Governance is sometimes confused with government, but constitutes a much wider set of structures, entities and relationships. Governance includes:

- Formal power structures, such as municipal government and neighbourhood organisations.
- Laws and regulations.
- Law enforcement bodies, such as the police and civil defence.
- Other power structures, such as gangs and organised crime.
- Legal and cultural norms.

The quality of governance is directly linked to disasters and conflict. Governance failures (such as unresolved disputes) can lead to conflict, while weak governance does little to quell violence (further discussed in Section 1.2.2). High levels of corruption underpin vulnerability and exacerbate the effects of naturally-triggered disasters (Section 1.2.3).

Economy and livelihoods relates to:

- Income generation through formal and informal employment.
- Production, consumption and supply chains.
- The formal and informal economy.
- Markets.
- Human capital – the skills and abilities people possess and/or develop.
- Land values.
- Taxes and municipal revenue generation.
- Private sector companies and trades.

Culture and society describes how people live, work, engage and interact with one another. It includes:

- Social networks, including networks of cooperation, reciprocity and trust.
- Combinations of different social and ethnic groups, e.g. tribal affiliations, caste groups and groups from a particular heritage or culture.
- Collective and shared histories.
- Belief systems and religions.

3. The people-centred city

A people-centred approach emphasises the central belief and motivation of humanitarian action, which concerns providing help to the most vulnerable. The people-centred approach is used throughout this Good Practice Review as the basis for understanding what drives good practice, and is reflected in a number of sections relating to project management, such as assessments, profiling, response analysis and area-based approaches (each of which is discussed later).

Cities are homes to billions of people, with competing and similar interests, and with differences in wealth and poverty and in their ability to meet their needs and realise their ambitions. A focus on people is vital for all humanitarian operations, and is something that
Figure 1.2 The people-centred city

can become quickly lost.\textsuperscript{8} Good urban programming remembers that dignity is an essential element, and that humanitarian action is, as noted above, about assisting affected people in times of crisis. The International Federation of Red Cross/Red Crescent Societies (IFRC)\textsuperscript{9} describes the people-centred approach as ‘listening to and understanding what people think at all times, rather than imposing ideas or projects on them’.

The model in Figure 1.2 describes people’s lives and livelihoods: how they access resources (and what gets in the way); how resources are controlled; and how they use resources to meet basic needs and build assets to withstand threats, including shocks (such as rapid-onset disasters) and stresses (such as escalating violence).

From this model the following might be noted.

Assets are key to reducing vulnerability to external threats. Assets, and how people access, manage, keep control of and trade them, is a central aspect of how people live. Asset types include:

- Physical (belongings, land, a property).
- Economic (money, jobs and opportunities).
- Social (including friendships and relationships, connectedness).
- Human (for example knowledge, skills and abilities).
- Political (the organisation of power, such as community groups, slum groups or political parties).
- Natural (land, water and the functioning of ecosystems).

Assets play a key role in people’s vulnerability and capacity – broadly speaking, the stronger their assets, the less vulnerable people are likely to be to external threats. The use of assets by people in development and in vulnerability reduction is well documented.\textsuperscript{10} This includes social assets, especially among low-income urban neighbourhoods, for borrowing and

\textsuperscript{8} A compelling study relating to this is M. Anderson, D. Brown and J. Isabella, \textit{Time to Listen: Hearing People on the Receiving End of International Aid} (Cambridge, MA: CDA Collaborative Learning Projects, 2012) (www.cdacollaborative.org/publication/time-to-listen-hearing-people-on-the-receiving-end-of-international-aid/). The researchers asked over 6,000 people from across the world of their experiences of humanitarian aid after disaster. Among other things, the study found that ‘Very few people call for more aid; virtually everyone says they want “smarter” aid. Many feel that too much is given too fast’ (p. 2).


loaning money, and more widely within post-disaster recovery – it’s often your neighbours who pull you out of the rubble (see Section 1.5.1 on emergent groups). Belonging to a particular ethnic group can also make people more or less vulnerable, depending on the circumstances. Assets therefore act as the ‘buffer’ between people and sudden-onset disasters (shocks) or slow-burning stresses (for example an illness that, without access to proper treatment, can prove life-threatening). Assets are key to living in the city: having assets enables people to access resources, such as education, healthcare, food and markets. It therefore follows that those with fewer assets have less access to resources.

There are different types of community in the city. While traditionally ‘community’ was often synonymous with a fixed location, such as a village or neighbourhood, the term has wider application in the city. Communities can be linked by non-physical connections. Recent research identifies six typologies of urban communities:

- Communities of interest, which form around a common issue or concern.
- Communities of culture, where people share a common language, values or faith.
- Communities of resistance, where people coalesce around a shared negative experience, such as forced migration.
- Communities of place – people with a common spatial connection, for instance living on the same street.
- Communities of practice, such as a common livelihood.
- Virtual communities – people connected through social media.

Discrimination hinders access, for example belonging to a marginalised group, a minority faith-based group or LGBTQ+ people (see Section 4.7 on protection). Refugees living in cities and those who may be internally displaced regularly face discrimination (see Section 1.3 on displacement). People who are most vulnerable are also routinely discriminated against (see Section 1.4 on vulnerability).

Threats are described as shocks (rapid-onset events, such as earthquakes, fires or floods) and stresses, which, while being less ‘rapid’, may be no less damaging. Stresses may include escalating violence or worsening climate change-induced heatwaves. Threats are discussed further in the sections that follow.

---

11 Strong social assets are the basis for savings and loans schemes popularised by the Grameen Bank and others.

Resources within the city are controlled. This might be land controlled by the authorities, jobs controlled by private sector organisations or services such as water and electricity controlled by service providers. Control of neighbourhoods might be in the hands of gangs or organised crime (see Section 1.2.2 on urban violence).

In summary, therefore, for many the very notion and understanding of cities is complex, contested and confusing. As regards humanitarian action, this section has sought to introduce and emphasise a number of key points (which form the basis of the rest of this Good Practice Review). The first is that the humanitarian principle of helping the most vulnerable is equally essential for urban areas as elsewhere – a people-centred approach steers limited humanitarian efforts to those who need help the most. Within this, understandings of who is most vulnerable may be nuanced and not immediately obvious (see Section 3.6 on assessments for further discussion).

A second key point is complexity. Activities, services and livelihoods do not take place in isolation. Almost everything is linked to something else, and often has a knock-on effect. In this regard, a systems approach helps to identify, and increase understanding of, some of the links and overlaps that shape how people live.

A third point is that cities are home to complex levels and understandings of different forms of power held by different groups, ranging from formal governance structures (such as levels of government) to ‘informal’ groups, such as gangs (see Section 1.5 on urban actors). As with the other points, effective humanitarian action seeks to understand and work with power, while recognising how complicated that is.

1.2 Urban threats

1.2.1 Armed conflict

Cities throughout history have been closely linked to armed conflict. As the locations of elites and power, they have attracted invaders and those seeking to wrest power and wealth from their incumbents. Cities have also been places of safety during times of war – think of the ramparts of ancient Middle Eastern and European cities, designed to keep invaders out and those inside safe. Cities can also concentrate conflict, leading to higher numbers of deaths than in other areas. Research by the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) has found that, between March 2017 and July 2018, in four governorates of Iraq and Syria ‘Urban offensives account[ed] for eight times more conflict-related civilian fatalities … than ongoing fighting or fighting in other areas’.13

This section defines armed conflict, discusses conflict and critical urban infrastructure, outlines the factors and choices influencing interventions in urban armed conflict settings and identifies issues relating to working with local actors.

Defining armed conflict

Armed conflict can be defined as ‘a political conflict in which armed combat involves the armed forces of at least one state (or one or more armed factions seeking to gain control of all or part of the state), and in which at least 1,000 people have been killed by the fighting during the course of the conflict’.\textsuperscript{14} International humanitarian law (IHL) recognises two types of armed conflict:\textsuperscript{15} international armed conflict between two or more states; and non-international armed conflict between government forces and non-governmental armed groups.

Following decades of decline in the number and scale of conflicts around the world, since 2011 that trend has reversed.\textsuperscript{16} By 2017, there were 27 ongoing major armed conflicts; 52 new conflicts emerged between 2011 and 2017, 46 of which were in the Middle East. Conflict is increasingly affecting civilians. According to the Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC), for example, ‘The number of new displacements associated with conflict and violence almost doubled, from 6.9 million in 2016 to 11.8 million in 2017. Syria, DRC and Iraq together accounted for more than half of the global figure’.\textsuperscript{17} According to IDMC estimates, 40 million people were internally displaced by conflict at the end 2017.

Cities and conflict

The ICRC identifies a number of underlying vulnerabilities which make urban contexts particularly prone to conflict. They include:

- The fragility and scale of services, such as power and water supplies. The disruption of one water supply by conflict can leave large numbers of people with no coverage.
- Dependence on municipal services or private bodies, for example for water, sanitation and electricity. When these services are lost or damaged, people may not be able to fix them themselves.

\textsuperscript{14} Project Ploughshares, ‘Defining Armed Conflict’, undated (http://ploughshares.ca/armed-conflict/defining-armed-conflict/).


• The complexity of urban services. For example, a hospital relies on expert staff, complex IT systems and equipment.
• Unequal distribution of services, for instance between formal and informal services.
• Population movements into cities, which can increase the pressure on services.
• Existing urban stresses, such as violence, gang activity and weak governance.
• The density and diversity of communities and authorities, increasing the likelihood of political, sectarian or tribal conflict, or conflicts with the authorities.

Critical infrastructure
As the ICRC notes, ‘The complex, interconnected systems that provide water, electrical and sanitation services essential to urban health are often among the first to fall victim to urban warfare’. Figure 1.3 identifies critical urban services that may be at risk during armed conflict.

The impact of conflict on urban services can be direct (such as infrastructure being targeted), indirect (where services are damaged by conflict targeted elsewhere) and cumulative (effects over time; for instance, services may worsen or degrade). Table 1.1 identifies each of these impacts in relation to critical individuals, hardware, consumables and the general public.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of impact</th>
<th>Impact on critical people</th>
<th>Impact on critical hardware</th>
<th>Impact on critical consumables</th>
<th>Impact experienced by the general public</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Casualties, restricted access due to security situation; drafting into armed forces; displacement</td>
<td>Destruction of or damage to instruction and/or equipment</td>
<td>Destruction of fuel reservoirs; destruction of stocks of chlorine; shortages due to looting</td>
<td>Brief interruptions in access to, reliability or quality of service; considerable public health risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>“Brain drain”; retirement without replacement; no salary payments</td>
<td>Drops in pressure in water networks; disrepair of unused or misused equipment; negative coping mechanisms</td>
<td>Shortages (due to looting and/or lack of replacement); price increases on the black market</td>
<td>Continuous or persistent deterioration of access to, reliability or quality of service; considerable public health risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>Little to no long-term planning; loss of knowledge of system</td>
<td>Siltion of reservoirs; leaks and increase in “non-revenue” water (unlicensed connections); mismatch of replaced items</td>
<td>Depletion of contingency stocks</td>
<td>Adaptation to poor reliability of service delivery, primarily through development of coping mechanisms; public health risks as a function of many other issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ICRC, Urban Services during Protracted Armed Conflict.

Direct impact can lead to cumulative impact. For example, in relation to public health, a relatively short disruption to a supply of drinking water (for a day or a few days) ‘can greatly increase the probability of infection from diseases already present in the environment if the quality of the service was originally reliable’.\(^\text{19}\) Disease risks may increase if conflict drives more people and more disease strains into urban areas, ‘particularly when the

---

movements of people are coupled with poor general treatment of water and wastewater, and incomplete immunization campaigns.\textsuperscript{20}

\textbf{Interventions in urban conflict}

Given the nature of armed conflict, where infrastructure, people, services and humanitarian aid providers themselves may well be targets, the factors and choices influencing aid interventions are more complex than in non-conflict settings. Reflecting on humanitarian action in Syria, Francois Grünwald argues that:

\textsuperscript{20} Ibid.
To effectively support conflict-affected people in Syrian cities means working in very complex, volatile, unpredictable and dangerous environments. Humanitarian agencies have to be agile, flexible, opportunistic and risk-taking. Classic operational modalities imposed by donor procedures or guidelines, which require lengthy planning, standardised operational modalities and sophisticated accountability mechanisms, are of limited use in these highly volatile and complex urban contexts.21

The ICRC recommends that, in urban conflict operations, the following factors need to be considered in determining what may be achievable in an urban armed conflict setting:

- The scale of the challenge. Restoring damaged infrastructure in large cities has the potential to benefit thousands, perhaps hundreds of thousands, of people. However, this is often too costly for humanitarian agencies focused on traditional emergency response.
- The duration of the challenge, which may be months or years in protracted conflict.
- The interconnected nature of essential services (see above).
- The fact that ‘urban’ extends beyond a city’s formal boundaries; distant active combat can affect essential urban services (e.g. supply routes, water and wastewater treatment plants, supplies of food or other commodities).
- The cumulative and indirect impacts of conflict, as well as the direct ones.
- The complex political context in a highly securitised operating environment.
- Gaps in evidence and analysis.
- The challenges arising from lack of respect for international humanitarian law.
- Funding that may not match the duration or scale of the needs.

Working with local actors

Grünewald’s review of working with local actors in urban Syria underscores the need for inclusion, clarity of purpose and the ability to negotiate:

Effective humanitarian response in conflict-affected urban areas in Syria requires a capacity to engage in strategic dialogue, firmly rooted in humanitarian principles, with a wide range of actors, including the government, political/religious factions and associated armed militias and what remains of municipal institutions. Such negotiations demand language and negotiation skills, a thorough understanding of both the urban and

underlying socio-political context, the networks to facilitate the necessary connections and a willingness to accept relatively high levels of risk.\textsuperscript{22}

Due to the complexity of many urban areas, exacerbated during armed conflict, the relationships implementing organisations have with key actors are highly important (see also Section 1.5 on urban actors and 2.1 on coordination). Key points include:

- Strong, long-term partnerships are vital, particularly with the municipal and central government structures that are usually responsible for urban services. However, in conflict situations they may not always be possible partners, for reasons of political bias towards one side in a conflict, or because of corruption (this is discussed further in Section 2.2 on corruption).

- High staff turnover often means that relations need to be constantly made and remade if operations are to function effectively.

- Private sector organisations such as contractors may often be needed, in particular where in-house expertise within municipalities is missing.

### Box 1.1 Locally-driven response in Aleppo: the Conflict, Security and Safety Fund (CSSF) project

An initiative in conflict-affected Tamkeen in Aleppo (which at the time was in a non-government-held area) supports local actors to prioritise and manage local services. The project involves participatory activities geared towards setting up local committees that manage budgets and plans for service delivery such as health, education, food and infrastructure. A review of the project concluded that it illustrates a way to engage with local governance bodies using remote management, where priority interventions were outlined by the communities themselves. Here, most of the communities opted for basic services, infrastructure and development rather than humanitarian aid in the form of food, shelter or emergency health services.


### 1.2.2 Violence

Violence can be defined as ‘the intentional use of physical force or power, threatened or actual, against oneself, another person, or against a group or community, that either results
Box 1.2 ICRC’s recommendations concerning urban conflict

ICRC’s authoritative 2017 report I Saw My City Die, concerning armed conflict in Iraq, Syria and Yemen, provides ten recommendations, of which the following are relevant to actors responding to emergencies:

- Parties to the conflict and the international community should refrain from displacing people and respect the rights and address the needs of those displaced within their countries.
- Authorities and the international community should protect and assist refugees from these conflicts.
- Authorities, parties to the conflict and the international community should do much more to ensure that essential service providers and humanitarian workers are protected.
- Authorities, humanitarian actors and the international community should invest more in ensuring that victims of violence have access to appropriate psychosocial and mental health support services.
- Authorities, humanitarian actors and the international community should help rebuild communities, not just infrastructure. Cities are made up of people, not just buildings.

These recommendations point to the need for humanitarian practitioners, among other things, to retain where feasible a neighbourhood-based approach (discussed in Section 3.2); prioritise protection both for affected populations and service providers (see Section 4.7); and prioritise a people-centred approach.


in or has a high likelihood of resulting in injury, death, psychological harm, maldevelopment, or deprivation’. Violence has traditionally not been considered a humanitarian priority, with the focus traditionally on the consequences of disasters and conflict. However, given the scale of destruction in a number of cities around the world, and given the humanitarian mandate to help people affected by acute shocks, an increasing number of humanitarian agencies, donors and think tanks are tackling urban violence.

23 Violence Prevention Alliance, ‘Definition and Typology of Violence’ (www.who.int/violenceprevention/approach/definition/en/).
This section describes the scale of the issue. It presents the drivers of urban violence, including interpersonal and gender-based violence (GBV), discusses gangs and violence and explores the relationship between violence and naturally-triggered disasters. The section includes an abridged version of an article describing humanitarian action on urban violence in Central American cities.

The scale of the issue

The consequences of violence are enormous. More people die from violence (globally some 4,200 every day) than from naturally-triggered disasters or conflict. According to one estimate, violence kills around half a million people a year. Between 2007 and 2012, almost 40,000 drug-related deaths were reported in Mexico. Violence and crime is thought to cost Latin American and Caribbean countries between 2.4% and 3.6% of their GDP. Urban violence in Latin America displaces more people than declared conflicts and war.

The impact of violence on urban life has prompted a number of agencies to consider it within humanitarian practice. The ICRC states that ‘the destructive force of urban violence on people’s lives and livelihoods – and the suffering it causes – is a major concern of the ICRC in many contexts in which it works around the world. This violence – often symptomatic of socio-economic pressures linked to rapid urbanization, soaring population growth and large population movements – will be one of the defining features, and key challenges, of the twenty-first century’. Similarly, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) has expanded its work in what have been termed ‘other situations of violence’. The 2006 Geneva Declaration acknowledged that armed violence ‘prevents humanitarian assistance from reaching people in need’, and commits signatories to ‘integrate armed violence reduction programmes into humanitarian assistance, emergency and crisis management initiatives’. Some researchers have equated urban violence with an emerging form of warfare, where ‘Chronically violent cities … [comprise] a “new” kind of armed conflict with grave implications for humanitarian action and human welfare. These and other urban centres are experiencing a variation of warfare, often in densely populated slums and shantytowns’.


Drivers of urban violence

Reviewing the drivers of extreme urban violence, one investigation identifies a collection of risk factors, including income and social inequality, rapid urban growth with poor development and access to basic services, an unemployed youth bulge and weak police and justice mechanisms that allow urban violence to prosper. Other research analyses how violence in urban areas spreads and tips over into wider city-level violence. The same investigation found three key areas for stemming the spread of violence: improving low-income informal settlements, strengthening governance structures and reducing inequality. Social cohesion and building social networks, inclusion and citizen participation, alongside social protection programmes, were also key opportunities for reducing violence.

An ALNAP paper on urban violence identifies the following urban-specific challenges:

- A persistent culture of violence can reduce collective action and erode collective social capital, leading to more alienation.
- Density can concentrate violence, leading for example to high murder rates in relatively small urban areas such as low-income settlements.
- Access to services by humanitarian organisations and others can be restricted, for instance for security and safety reasons or because organised gangs may block access.
- Complex urban living conditions, including ‘predatory authorities, front-lines, opportunities for criminal gains, alternate forms of urban governance in slums, the need to negotiate access to very localized areas with a number of different actors along with urban chaos and structural dysfunctions’.
- Education for children and teenagers can be affected, leading to diminished life opportunities.

A number of other research initiatives in this area are under way. The Igarapé Institute’s project on Humanitarian Action in Situations Other than War (HASOW) ‘has as its central aim the empirical examination of the dynamics of urban violence and the changing face of humanitarian action’. HASOW comprises research on a number of themes and issues, including the impacts of violence on health and organised gangs and violence. HASOW can be found at https://igarape.org.br/en/hasow/.


Box 1.3 is an abridged version of an article by Robert Muggah, co-founder of the Igarapé Institute. It discusses the scale of urban violence in Central American cities, and the humanitarian activities currently under way in response by a number of agencies.

Finally, aid organisations have typically started small, built to scale and then handed over their pilots to government or local non-governmental counterparts. Notwithstanding the temptation to undertake large-scale programmes in fragile cities, relief organisations are proceeding with caution. There are meaningful ways to scale up city-based interventions, but only if these are properly aligned with formal and informal delivery providers, with stable resourcing and political investment. To be effective, aid agencies need to keep an open mind, take risks and invest heavily in partnerships from the start.

**Box 1.3 Humanitarian responses to violence in Central America’s fragile cities**

The countries and cities of the so-called Northern Triangle of Central America – El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras – have some of the world’s highest rates of violent deaths, with murder rates exceeding those of Afghanistan or Syria. The violence in Central America is propelled by a volatile combination of transnational gangs, drug-trafficking and weak law enforcement. Rival factions run extortion rackets and assassins for hire, and recruit heavily from poorer neighbourhoods and shanty-towns throughout the region. Most gangs are involved in extortion, protection rackets and drug transhipment and retail.

Some humanitarian agencies have launched interventions in the region. For more than half a decade, the ICRC has been quietly testing new programmes to protect civilians and facilitate better access to basic services in San Salvador, Tegucigalpa in Honduras and Ciudad Juarez in Mexico, as well as Rio de Janeiro and Medellin. MSF has also initiated violence prevention and mental health-related activities and projects to address at-risk youth, including women and girls, in inner-city neighbourhoods across Central America.

The decision whether and how to deploy humanitarian assistance is not straightforward. Many agencies and donors are struggling with how best to negotiate with municipal authorities and communities and engage productively with complex and interconnected urban infrastructures. Most directors of humanitarian organisations first ask very basic questions, including in relation to the extent of their own competencies in cities under fire. What is the organisation’s added value? Will it make a real difference on the ground? Is it safe for staff? What are the legal implications?
Humanitarian agencies that run violence prevention and emergency response programmes tend to be guided by a set of basic principles. These include being clear on the aims of the intervention, being flexible and ready to adapt, adopting highly localised interventions in partnership with civic authorities, developing strong community partnerships, planning for the long term (while also having an exit strategy) and doing no intentional harm. Agencies are taking advantage of lessons learned in war zones, but also adjusting and adapting them.

Many of the priorities of humanitarian agencies remain the same in war and non-war zones. The focus continues to be on protecting civilians and civilian assets, mitigating the effects of violence on urban populations and enabling or strengthening protective factors that limit exposure to violence. This includes investing in early childhood programmes, school-based activities, initiatives for single female-headed households, projects targeting at-risk adolescents, psycho-social support services and urban improvement schemes.

Another key goal is to supplement – rather than replace – services such as water provision, waste management and health and education. Aid agencies such as the ICRC and MSF have found it imperative to work with government institutions, rather than around them, with an emphasis more on coordination than implementation. Although there is more sensitivity today to the importance of building local capacity and ownership, working with national partners and avoiding the distortion of domestic markets is difficult. For aid agencies used to rapidly delivering aid, setting up logistics systems and working around (reluctant or interfering) state agencies, habits take time to change.

An additional critical lesson emerging from the field is the importance of high-quality data collection and real-time mapping of rapidly changing conditions on the ground. Access to a wide range of high-resolution information on beneficiary populations, service delivery systems and existing organisations and actors is critical. Even in data-scarce environments there are opportunities to harvest and analyse information, including using new technologies. Humanitarian agencies are strongly advised to build this capacity in-house.

Gangs

Gangs are particularly urban phenomena, in part because of the concentration of population – it is simply easier to form gangs in urban settings than in rural areas – but also because economic resources are concentrated in cities. Gangs may be exceptionally powerful: in Haiti they have been ‘credited with overthrowing governments, silencing the political opposition, preventing foreign and local investment, creating a nascent kidnapping industry and terrorizing entire cities’. Many also serve as a social network providing material and non-material support for youth and groups not well served by public systems. Such associations can improve the short-term welfare and resilience of their members, albeit with a long-term cost, to them and to society more widely.

The nature and changing shape of urban gangs is a complex issue and area of understanding. Research on ‘gangs in the global city’ notes that, while most gangs are unsupervised teenage peer groups, many are institutionalised in ghettos, barrios and favelas across the world. In addition, ‘Gangs are “social actors” whose identities are formed by ethnic, racial and/or religious oppression [and] through participation in the underground economy’.

The word ‘gang’ may be a catch-all term for a large and complex array of organised violent groups, with a wide range in terms of size, power, age (youth gangs are a defined grouping) and scope. In urban areas, research into gangs has found that they are often closely associated with the neighbourhoods they operate in. Research on urban gangs in Haiti found that:

- They are engaged in small-scale crime, including extortion, selling stolen goods and inflicting violence on those who may be a threat.
- They are sometimes politically motivated, and are often funded by businesses.
- They may be connected to a wider network, but individual units are often small and geographically isolated.
- They are made up of young men from low-income or informal settlements.
- They may provide help locally, for example with burial costs and school fees, or may provide protection against outside armed actors or police abuse.

---


Gangs are key urban actors and are part of the governance structures of many urban areas, in particular low-income neighbourhoods and settlements. ICRC identifies three key points for engagement with gangs. First, it is important to gain acceptance from gang leaders as gangs are often organised hierarchically; second, gang leaders need to benefit from humanitarian interventions; and third, relief efforts which undermine a gang’s position may create risks.

Interpersonal and gender-based violence

Interpersonal violence includes sexual abuse, child abuse, GBV, violence against the elderly and self-harm. One report notes that ‘every day, more than 4,000 people, over 90% of them in low- and middle-income countries, die because of violence. Of those killed, approximately 2,300 die by their own hand and over 1,500 because of injuries inflicted by another person’.34

Research has found that GBV in low-income settlements in low- and middle-income countries is frequent and acute.35 ActionAid’s Women in the City report identifies poor urban development and infrastructure as drivers of violence and insecurity for women and girls, with a lack of safe public spaces and public transport and unreliable recourse to police or the authorities. GBV in these circumstances includes physical and sexual violence from partners and violence against children. Sexual violence can also be linked to displacement: ‘disaster after disaster produces irrefutable evidence that with displacement – be it as a result of natural hazards or conflict – the risk of physical abuse to women and girls rises substantially’.36

Research by the Women’s Refugee Commission37 on GBV against refugees in four cities concluded that the risks are a result of ‘multiple and complex unmet social, medical, and economic needs, as well as intersecting oppressions based on race, ethnicity, nationality, language, class, gender, sexual orientation, and disability. Misperceptions further contribute to discrimination toward refugees, which in turn heightens their vulnerability’. Their key recommendations for tackling urban GBV are:

---


• ‘Systematize and broaden engagement of local actors.

• Develop proactive, targeted strategies for addressing GBV risks related to shelter and livelihoods.

• Prioritize, and earmark resources for, targeted actions and proactive outreach tailored to meet the needs of different at-risk subpopulations.

• Formalize non-discrimination and standards of care for engaging all refugee subpopulations, put accountability mechanisms in place for UNHCR partners, and take a proactive approach to eliminating discrimination.’


**Violence and naturally-triggered disasters**

The incidence of violence regularly increases after a naturally-triggered disaster. Research by the IFRC\(^{38}\) highlights that post-disaster violence can increase due to a combination of factors, including increased stress, loss of belongings, a failure of services, lack of money, resort to self-medication (alcohol) and drug use and living in temporary shelters, often in cramped and poor-quality conditions. The IFRC highlights that ‘people with pre-existing vulnerabilities to violence’ are especially at risk. This may include children, women, older people and people with mental and/or physical disabilities.

The IFRC recommends that the threat of violence should be treated in the same way as a public health emergency, with ‘the same urgency, attention and resources as other preventable public health emergencies such as diarrheal disease, respiratory illnesses, malaria, measles and malnutrition’.\(^{39}\) The same research suggests a number of actions that can be taken and describes good practice in preventing interpersonal violence, including violence-prevention education during the disaster risk reduction phase; prioritising the prevention of violence during the response phase; rapid response to cases of violence; enhanced data collection; and support for community-based social support systems.

---


\(^{39}\) DeBoer, Muggah and Patel, *Conceptualizing City Fragility and Resilience*. 
1.2.3 Naturally-triggered disasters

It is by now well accepted that so-called ‘natural’ disasters are not natural. The natural phenomenon – windstorm, flood, earthquake, landslide, volcanic eruption or tsunami – needs to coincide with some form of vulnerability to produce a disaster. Until a disaster occurs, natural phenomena remain hazards, but are not disasters.

Cities are home to vulnerabilities that can coincide with a hazard to create a disaster. These include poorly built buildings, which may collapse in an earthquake (for a variety of reasons, not least corruption in the construction and regulatory process, which is discussed in
Section 2.2). Another example is poorly located neighbourhoods, such as low-lying land that floods, or housing on steep slopes prone to landslides. With high population densities and concentrations of infrastructure and services, cities are prone to high levels of vulnerability.

Naturally-triggered disasters are almost certainly set to increase given the rapid pace of urbanisation, leading to more people being concentrated in often dangerous areas, usually poorer people living on lower-quality land. At the same time, the effects of climate change will cause stronger windstorms, increased flooding and rising temperatures. Indeed, some phenomena may be so overwhelming that even the best-laid plans struggle to cope, as happened in 2011 with the massive earthquake and ensuing tsunami which destroyed a number of urban areas along Japan’s east coast and damaged the Fukushima nuclear power plant.

This section discusses urban disasters. It looks at displacement from disasters and everyday urban disaster risks. It links very closely to a number of other sections, in particular Section 1.2.4 on climate change, Section 2.3 on resilience, Section 1.4 on vulnerability and Section 2.2 on corruption. This section (like most of the others) seeks to distil key relevant points related to an enormous issue. Further reading is provided on a number of points discussed.

The scale of urban disasters

The risk of naturally-triggered disasters in urban areas has increased in recent years, especially among those living in low-income settlements. Between 2008 and 2017, the most prevalent recorded disaster types were floods (1,522), followed by storms (1,001) and other types (622). Floods are thought to have affected 730 million people. Some two billion people have been affected by the consequences of natural hazards over the last ten years, 95% of which were weather-related (such as floods and windstorms). Urban disasters are also expensive: in the built environment, global expected average annual losses associated with earthquakes, floods, tsunamis, storm surges and wind from tropical cyclones is estimated at $314 billion.

At an individual, neighbourhood and city level, vulnerability comprises three elements – exposure to risk (the threat of a disaster), susceptibility to harm and ability to reduce or remove the risk. Given this definition, it is unsurprising that the most vulnerable are also the poorest or most marginalised in society. Underlying vulnerability is almost always the chief determinant


of the impact of disasters. For example, the devastating 7.0 magnitude earthquake that struck Haiti in 2010 may have killed anywhere between 50,000 and 220,000 people, while the much more powerful 8.8 magnitude earthquake\textsuperscript{43} that hit Chile six weeks later killed under 1,000 – still a large death toll, but substantially lower thanks to better preparation and stronger buildings and infrastructure. In Haiti, poor-quality governance and public service provision led to a lack of investment over decades and widespread poverty. At the time of the earthquake, Haiti ranked 149th on the Human Development Index, with Chile a full 100 places higher, at 49. The links between corruption and disaster are discussed in Section 2.2.

Different hazard types produce different kinds of disaster. For instance, a flood may be expensive and cause widespread damage, but the death toll may be lower when compared to a rapid-onset disaster such as an earthquake. Location also matters: where cities are relates to the degree of risk (the exposure) an area might have to a natural hazard. Globally, around two-thirds of cities with populations over five million\textsuperscript{44} are located in low-lying coastal areas or along rivers at risk of flooding. In Africa, around 50% of urban residents live in arid areas with low rainfall; in India, the equivalent figure is two-thirds.\textsuperscript{45} Cities located along the Pacific coast and in the Himalayas are at high risk of earthquakes and other geological phenomena. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), ‘Inland cities face different hazards to coastal cities, including risks arising from floods, heat islands, desiccation, desertification, reduced fresh water supply, low food security, and the impact of diseases’.\textsuperscript{46}

Displacement from disasters

Figure 1.4 indicates that many more people are displaced by weather-related hazards such as floods, compared to geophysical events such as earthquakes. Disasters also contribute to rural to urban migration. Traditional ways of life are affected, for example by land being rendered unproductive by rising sea levels and coastal erosion, resulting in climate-induced migration (see Section 1.2.4 for further discussion).\textsuperscript{47}

\begin{enumerate}
\item It should be noted that the epicentre was at a greater depth in Chile than in Haiti, meaning that the earth absorbed more of the earthquake’s impact.
\item Dodman et al., Understanding the Nature and Scale of Urban Risk.
\end{enumerate}
Box 1.4 The Nepal earthquakes in 2015

The two major earthquakes that struck Nepal in 2015 caused extensive damage there and in northern areas of the Indian state of Bihar. An assessment visit shortly after the first earthquake found that many unreinforced masonry buildings as well as reinforced concrete houses had collapsed, as well as heritage structures such as temples. The study attributed the damage in part to a ‘widespread lack of preparedness even when the seismic hazard of the Himalayan region is well established’, including poor construction practices.


Figure 1.4 New displacements by disasters by hazard category, 2008–16

Flooding can cause massive damage and displace millions of people for weeks or months – or indeed for good if they relocate elsewhere. Recent examples include the 2012 Bangkok floods and extensive flooding in Pakistan in 2010 (and subsequent years), which covered one-fifth of the country and affected some 14 million people in urban and rural areas. The example in Box 1.5 is from the 2015 floods in Chennai.

A common government response to hazards is often relocation to safer areas. While there is a strong case for this, often poorer neighbourhoods are forcibly relocated. There is a great deal of research and work concerning the unfairness – and often illegality – of forced evictions of low-income settlements by the authorities. In some instances neighbourhoods may be relocated to other equally risky locations, doing little to reduce the threat of disaster. In one Indian state, ‘state and local authorities have been building resettlement tenements on inland marsh areas using centrally sponsored schemes for affordable housing. These have been used as a “quick fix” after disasters, but without addressing communities’ underlying needs and inequalities. Their siting has also increased flood risk across the urban area, creating new risks’. Neighbourhoods may be relocated far from jobs and existing networks, storing up problems for the future.

**Everyday urban disaster risk**

Flooding, which is for many a seasonal, recurring hazard, is one example of an ‘everyday’ risk that may affect urban areas. Others are captured in Table 1.2, drawn from the 2010 IFRC *World Disasters Report*, which focuses on urban risk. Urban poverty and vulnerability are further discussed in Section 1.4.

---

48 See for example the archive of the Centre On Housing Rights and Evictions (COHRE) at www.cohre.org/.

### Table 1.2 What different aspects of urban poverty imply for everyday and disaster risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of human poverty</th>
<th>Implications for everyday risk</th>
<th>Implications for disaster risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inadequate and often unstable income</strong> and thus inadequate consumption of necessities, including food and, often, safe and sufficient water. Often, problems of indebtedness, with debt repayments significantly reducing income available for necessities. Inability to pay for insurance.</td>
<td>Very limited capacity to pay for housing, which in urban areas means living in the worst-quality and most overcrowded homes in illegal settlements on dangerous sites. Lacking provision for infrastructure and services – so very high levels of environmental health risk.</td>
<td>In most cities and many urban centres in low- and middle-income nations, most low-cost housing is on dangerous sites, e.g. at high risk from flooding or landslides. The lack of public provision for infrastructure and services adds to such risks, particularly for flooding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inadequate, unstable or risky asset base</strong> (e.g. property, skills, savings, social networks) for individuals, households or communities.</td>
<td>Very limited capacity to cope with stresses or shocks in everyday life – including rising prices or falling incomes, injuries or illness.</td>
<td>Very limited capacity to cope with disaster events when they occur, including lacking assets that are not damaged or destroyed by the disaster and having no insurance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poor-quality and often insecure, hazardous and overcrowded housing (often rented)</strong> located on dangerous sites such as flood plains, steep slopes and soft or unstable ground.</td>
<td>High risk levels from physical accidents, fires, extreme weather and infectious diseases – with risks often increased by overcrowding.</td>
<td>Housing is often of poor quality so at risk from storms/high winds, earthquakes, landslides, floods, fires and disease transmission, which may cause epidemics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inadequate provision of ‘public’ infrastructure</strong> (piped water, sanitation, drainage, roads, footpaths, etc.), which increases the health burden and often the work burden.</td>
<td>High levels of risk from contaminated water, inadequate sanitation, house flooding from lack of drainage.</td>
<td>Lack of protective infrastructure against flooding. Lack of roads, footpaths and drains inhibiting evacuation when disaster threatens or happens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inadequate provision of basic services</strong> – day care, schools, vocational training, healthcare, emergency services, public transport, communications, policing and good information on safe building practices.</td>
<td>Unnecessarily high health burden from diseases and injuries because of lack of healthcare and emergency response.</td>
<td>Lack of healthcare and emergency services that should provide rapid response to disaster (and should have had a role in reducing disaster risk and in disaster preparedness).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited or no safety net</strong> to ensure basic consumption can be maintained when income falls; also to ensure access to housing, healthcare and other necessities when these can no longer be paid for (or fully paid for).</td>
<td>Very limited capacity to cope with stresses or shocks in everyday life – including rising prices or falling incomes, injuries and diseases.</td>
<td>Very limited capacity to recover from disaster, for instance to afford food and water, rebuild homes and livelihoods. Lack of documentation often means not getting post-disaster support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lack of influence over what government does, including what it does in post-disaster responses.</strong></td>
<td>Low-income survivors often not allowed to move back to their former settlement and rebuild their homes and livelihoods.</td>
<td>Little external support for low-income groups and their organisations to rebuild in ways that reduce disaster risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited influence over external civil society actors such as international aid agencies during disaster risk reduction and response.</strong></td>
<td>Lack of local input can lead to inappropriate development investments or missed opportunities to reduce risk and to build more secure local economies and livelihoods.</td>
<td>International humanitarian actors can overwhelm local government and civil society organisations alike. Lack of partnership inhibits good governance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box 1.6  Urban disasters in the Pacific

Pacific Island nations are undergoing rapid urbanisation, much of it unplanned and informal. Tropical Cyclone Winston, which struck Fiji in 2016, caused widespread damage in the capital, Suva. Key priorities in the immediate recovery concerned water, sanitation and emergency shelter. Following extensive flooding in Honiara, the capital of the Solomon Islands, a review\(^\text{50}\) of low-income settlements and disaster risk identified a number of challenges, including underlying chronic problems that exacerbated disaster risk, such as poor sanitation, lack of drainage, poorly built buildings and unsuitable siting of settlements in areas prone to landslides.


1.2.4 Climate change

Climate change exacerbates the risk of disasters and increases migration. The IPCC warns that ‘global warming is likely to reach 1.5°C between 2030 and 2052 if it continues to increase at the current rate’.\(^\text{51}\) As a result, cities could experience more heatwaves and flooding (resulting from sea-level rises and changing rainfall patterns) and stronger windstorms.

This section summarises the impacts of climate change on cities. It discusses urban climate change consequences, the impact of climate change on informal settlements and activities associated with climate change adaptation. This section is very closely related to Section 1.2.3 on naturally-triggered disasters, where there is further discussion on and links to sources of information concerning disasters and hazards. Other closely aligned sections include Section 2.3 on resilience (concerning adaptive capacity) and Section 1.4 on vulnerability.

Climate change and cities

Cities both contribute to, and are impacted by, climate change. Globally, cities produce up to 80% of greenhouse gas emissions and 70% of the world’s waste.\(^\text{52}\) A 1.5°C rise in temperature by 2050, as forecast by the IPCC, could expose more than 350 million people across a number of large cities (including Lagos and Shanghai) to deadly levels of heat.

Climate change is impacting cities in different ways, creating complex challenges. Table 1.3 summarises the direct and indirect impacts of climate change on urban areas.


Table 1.3 The direct and indirect impacts of climate change on urban areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in climate</th>
<th>Direct impacts on urban areas</th>
<th>Indirect impacts on urban areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tropical cyclones, storm surge</td>
<td>High winds</td>
<td>Disruption of livelihoods and city economics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Storm-surge induced-flood</td>
<td>Damage to infrastructure, including homes and businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy rainfall</td>
<td>Loss of life and assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme rainfall</td>
<td>More intense flooding</td>
<td>As for tropical cyclones, storm surge and precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher risk of landslides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>Water shortages</td>
<td>Higher water and food prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disruption of hydo-electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distress migration from rural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme temperature events</td>
<td>Heatwaves</td>
<td>Short-term increase in energy demands for cooling/heating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coldwaves</td>
<td>Effects on human health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrupt climate change</td>
<td>Possible extreme sea-level rise</td>
<td>As for sea-level rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme temperature change</td>
<td>As for extreme temperature events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in means

| Temperature                    | Fewer cold days and nights                                        | Increased energy demands for cooling                                                             |
|                                | Warmer and more frequent hot days and nights                      | Reduced energy demands for heating                                                               |
|                                |                                                                   | Worsening air quality intensified by urban heat islands                                           |
|                                |                                                                   | Creation of vector habitats in new areas                                                         |
|                                | Increased risk of flooding                                       | Distress migration from rural areas                                                               |
|                                | Increased risk of landslides                                      | Interruption of food supply networks                                                             |
|                                |                                                                   | Increased transmission of malaria                                                                |
|                                |                                                                   | Increased spread of cholera                                                                      |
|                                | Coastal flooding                                                  | Reduced income from agriculture and tourism                                                       |
|                                |                                                                   | Salinisation of water resources                                                                   |
|                                |                                                                   | Damage to coastal infrastructure                                                                  |
|                                |                                                                   | Displacement of urban populations                                                                |

Table 1.4 The effects of climate change: summary of global numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vulnerability</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>City estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extreme heat</td>
<td>Present day</td>
<td>Over 200 million people</td>
<td>Over 350 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2050s</td>
<td>Over 1.6 billion people</td>
<td>Over 970 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme heat and poverty</td>
<td>Present day</td>
<td>Over 26 million people</td>
<td>Over 230 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2050s</td>
<td>Nearly 215 million people</td>
<td>Over 490 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water availability</td>
<td>2050s</td>
<td>Over 650 million people</td>
<td>Over 500 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food security</td>
<td>2050s</td>
<td>Over 2.5 billion people</td>
<td>Over 1,600 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-level rise</td>
<td>2050s</td>
<td>Over 800 million people</td>
<td>Over 570 cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-level rise and power plants</td>
<td>2050s</td>
<td>Over 450 million people</td>
<td>Over 230 cities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1.4 indicates the impact of the effects of climate change in terms of populations and numbers of cities affected.

The consequences of urban climate change

In urban areas, the consequences of climate change could include:

- Increased climate-related displacement and migration. In 2016, climate and weather-related disasters displaced 23.5 million people, accounting for 97% of all disaster-related displacements. The ten largest disaster displacement events were weather-related. Climate change is also leading to migration to cities: one study in Mozambique suggested that 40% of migrants to urban areas had left their rural homes in part because of environmental problems, including ‘those likely to result from climate change’.

- Security concerns. As the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) notes, ‘the impact of climate change on water availability, food security,
Box 1.7 Urban heatwaves

As the IPCC report cited above makes clear, heatwaves are a major threat to urban areas. According to recent research, the number of cities experiencing temperatures of over 35°C in a three-month average is expected to reach 970 by 2050, an increase from 354. Many of these cities will be in Africa; the report found that ‘by 2050, many of the most at risk cities with large urban populations in poverty will be in West Africa, as well as Sudan and Egypt’.

Heatwaves are causing increased fatalities in India. In 2015, Andhra Pradesh and Telangana alone tallied nearly 2,000 heat-related deaths. In the Gujarati city of Ahmedabad, low-income settlements suffer heat extremes both night and day, with limited ventilation. According to one report, the adoption of a ‘heat action plan’ has reduced fatalities from extreme heat from over 1,000 in 2010 to just seven in 2016. The plan combines public awareness, building medical capacity and hospital readiness and having an effective early warning system.


coastal boundaries, and population movements may also combine with non-climate related factors, such as poverty, governance and existing regional tensions, to trigger and exacerbate conflicts'.

- Food scarcity, particularly in arid areas, affecting cities in sub-Saharan Africa (around half of Africa’s urban residents live in arid areas).
- More frequent and intense droughts, which affect cities through, for example, increased migration of people unable to sustain rural livelihoods, as well as water shortages for poorer urban dwellers who cannot afford price rises or are unable to build boreholes.
- Increased health risks, including malaria and dengue fever in low-lying areas. Water-level rises may also contribute to increased levels of water-borne diseases and diarrhoea.


57 OCHA, Climate Change and Humanitarian Action.
Box 1.8 Climate change and health impacts in Kampala

The IPCC predicts that Uganda’s temperature will increase by 1.5 degrees by 2030, and by 4.3°C by 2080. As a result the capital, Kampala, may see a 20% increase in rain, accompanied by stronger and more frequent storms. Both are likely to lead to increased flooding. Sanitation conditions are poor – pit latrines are widely used. Increased flooding combines poorly with pit latrines, leading to overflows of effluent and the contamination of water sources (on which the majority of the population relies). This in turn leads to more outbreaks of disease: Kampala suffered five recorded outbreaks of cholera between 1997 and 2008, which were linked to flooding.


Impacts on informal settlements

Inevitably, people living in low-income settlements are least equipped to deal with climate change. The effects of heat, for example, are amplified substantially by poorly ventilated buildings; corrugated iron roofing also increases temperatures. One recent report\(^58\) predicts that, by 2050, some 215 million people will be living in poverty in 495 cities that have a regular three-month average temperature of over 35°C – which is eight times the current number. Settlements that lack adequate drainage or sanitation facilities tend to fare worse when flooding occurs than better-maintained neighbourhoods. For further discussion on the most vulnerable in cities see Section 1.4.

Climate change adaptation

Climate change adaptation (CCA) can be defined as ‘the process of preparing for, and adjusting proactively to, climate change – both negative impacts as well as potential opportunities’.\(^59\) The World Bank’s 2011 report Guide to Climate Change Adaptation in Cities notes that key actions for implementing CCA include urban design and planning, improved urban transport, more efficient use of buildings and better waste management. The guide provides a roadmap for city decision-makers to develop and implement CCA plans, including city adaptation plans, policies and actions. It also discusses the central importance of policies and practices around building resilience, in particular in relation to adaptive capacity (discussed below).

\(^{58}\) The Future We Don’t Want, p. 18.

Chapter 1

The concept of resilience is discussed in Section 2.3.

The extent to which CCA can be enacted depends on adaptive capacity, which can be defined as ‘The ability of systems, institutions, humans and other organisms to adjust to potential damage, to take advantage of opportunities, or to respond to consequences’. This in turn depends on cost (financial, social and political), the policy environment and a willingness to change behaviour. This poses a challenge for a number of cities that are at high risk. For example, those cities in Asia most vulnerable to climate risk (namely Manila, Dhaka and Jakarta) also have the lowest adaptive capacity.

Given the potentially enormous costs of CCA, funds that arrive in low-income neighbourhoods post-disaster need to be used, not only to meet present needs, but also invested to reduce the impact of future shocks. One example is geographically-focused initiatives such as area-based approaches (ABAs, discussed in Section 3.2). This can be coupled with a systems-based perspective on the city, so that strategic investments can be made that meet immediate needs, but which also contribute to mitigating long-term impacts. Another opportunity lies in engaging in post-disaster and post-conflict reconstruction planning that uses morphological approaches, for example working with existing topography to minimise flooding, or adopting low-carbon approaches in post-


crisis (disaster and conflict) reconstruction. A recent systematic review of energy efficiency in buildings, low-carbon transport and sustainable waste management concluded that ‘low-carbon measures can help to achieve a range of development priorities, such as job creation, improved public health, social inclusion, and improved accessibility.’

In conclusion, more frequent and worse floods and windstorms, combined with higher temperatures and more severe drought, will lead to increasing demand for humanitarian response, which in turn will need to be much more strategic in its actions (not only because of climate change, but also because of the other issues described in this review around the scale and challenges of urban humanitarian action). Strategic decisions need to consider a changing future, not just focus on the present.

### 1.3 Forced displacement

People can be forcibly displaced as a result of conflict, violence, disasters and climate change. People who stay within the country they are resident in are called internally displaced persons (IDPs), while those who flee abroad are classed as refugees. The distinction is important: while refugees enjoy forms of globally recognised protection, such as the 1951 Refugee Convention, IDPs are provided no such formal legal cover. Although there is a set of international standards focused on IDPs – The Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement, adopted by the UN General Assembly in 1998 – unlike the Refugee Convention this does not have the force of a binding treaty. Further still, people may be stateless (and rendered deliberately so by the authorities in their home country), as is the case for the Rohingya in Myanmar. UNHCR estimates that at least 10 million people were without a nationality or at risk of statelessness at the end of 2016.

This section outlines the scale of displacement. It discusses displacement and cities, and current thinking and practice in humanitarian responses to urban displacement. This section relates closely to a number of others in this review, including Section 1.4 on the most vulnerable, Section 1.5 on urban actors, Section 1.2.1 on conflict and Section 1.2.2 on violence.

---


1.3.1 The scale of displacement

Displacement from conflict, persecution and violence is at its highest level for 70 years. According to UNHCR, by the end of 2017 68.5 million people were forcibly displaced worldwide, a large proportion of them children. Nearly two-thirds (40 million people) are IDPs. Over 80% of refugees are in low- and middle-income countries, which are also experiencing the fastest rates of urbanisation. Countries in the Middle East have received high numbers of displaced people as a result of conflict and unrest following the 2010 Arab Spring, and the conflict in Syria in particular. Meanwhile, displacement from disasters is set to worsen, with increasing numbers of naturally-triggered disasters and increasing impacts of climate change (see Sections 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 respectively). In 2016 displacement from disasters was more than three times higher than from conflict and violence, with over 24 million people newly displaced in 118 countries (the annual average between 2007 and 2018 was over 25 million).

Displacement is also increasingly an urban phenomenon: globally, it is thought that some 60% of refugees live in urban areas, rising to close to 80–90% in countries such as Jordan and

Box 1.9 Urban marginalisation for Rohingya refugees in Malaysia

Around 65,000 Rohingya who have fled persecution in Myanmar are currently living in Malaysia, all of whom are thought to be in cities (given there are no camps), mostly in the capital, Kuala Lumpur. While the government put in place a plan to issue 10,000 temporary work permits in 2006 to allow refugees to work legally, one study notes that this was ‘halted after a few days amidst corruption claims’. The same study reports that ‘the tenuous legal status of refugees in Malaysia renders them vulnerable to employment-related abuse and exploitation, including non- and partial payment of wages, verbal abuse, arbitrary dismissal, physical abuse, sexual harassment and workplace raids … Refugees have little recourse to address these problems, and most incidents go unreported’. Other issues include access to healthcare and education; concerning the latter, it is thought that only 40% of refugee children have any access to education.


66 The equivalent figure for conflict was 6.9 million. IDMC, Global Report on Internal Displacement (Geneva: IDMC, 2017).

67 These are registered numbers from UNHCR; the actual figure may be as much as 30,000–40,000 higher.
Turkey. Over half of IDPs are also thought to be living in urban areas. Once the crisis that caused their displacement has passed, people may choose not to return to their rural homes, preferring instead to stay in or move to cities in search of a better life; following the end of the civil war in Sudan, for example, almost 2 million South Sudanese from rural backgrounds returned to the South Sudanese capital, Juba, doubling the city’s population in the six years between 2005 and 2011.

1.3.2 Urban displacement challenges

Issues displaced people may encounter in cities include:

- The trauma of being uprooted, typically more than once, to different locations, often without a choice being involved.
- Discrimination due to race, ethnicity or religion. Authorities may view displaced people from conflict settings as ‘terrorists’ or rebels.
- Lack of official documentation, such as work permits or passports.
- Few if any social networks.
- Health and psychological issues, especially for newly-arrived displaced people who may have had arduous journeys.
- Poor prospects of employment (if allowed to work at all in the formal sector), especially for people displaced from rural areas or with a low level of formal education, who may not have the skills to compete for work in the urban economy.
- Where work permits are not allowed, such as for refugees, a reliance on the informal economy for work, often at reduced pay rates. Displaced people working in the informal economy can be vulnerable to abuse by their employers and risk being arrested.
- Displaced women and girls may be at greater risk of sexual violence.
- Trafficking and modern-day slavery.

---


- Arbitrary arrest, detention and eviction, especially if they lack the right documentation.
- Uncertain timeframes, where displaced people may not return home for years or decades, or not at all.
- Isolation. Refugee families may be dispersed across a city, living in a neighbourhood where they may not speak a common language or may feel stigmatised.
- Due to lack of choice, many live in informal settlements, with overcrowding, poor access to services and the threat of eviction.

Rapid migration can have a substantial impact on cities. This includes increased pressure on land and services, more competition for jobs and potential risks of conflict between host and incoming populations over scarce resources, especially in poorer urban settlements. There can also be positive impacts, however. In Jordan, for instance, ‘there has been an increase in rental housing stock to meet Syrian demand, benefiting both the displaced and small landlords in host communities’.73 In Amman, the Greater Amman Municipality is actively supporting the start-up of refugee-owned businesses.


### 1.3.3 Current practice

Humanitarian aid actors have been slow to recognise the ‘urbanisation of displacement’, with agencies and their mechanisms organised towards setting up and managing refugee and IDP camps.74 UNHCR’s 2009 policy on urban refugees states that it essentially applies the same principles as it would in camp settings, while recognising that cities are legitimate places for refugees to live, and that they need protection.75 The 2014 Policy on Alternatives to Camps, which includes urban areas, expands on the 2009 policy, stating that the goal should be ‘working to remove such restrictions so that refugees have the

---

73 World Bank, Cities of Refuge in the Middle East, p. 9.
74 It was only in 2009, for instance, that UNHCR updated its policy to consider urban areas.
In cities, IDPs often live in overcrowded, substandard conditions. They are more likely to face evictions and abuses from landlords. Rent is regularly cited as one of the main expenses for IDPs, but they also live with host families or in collective centres. Ensuring their access to secure tenure and decent housing must be a priority for urban authorities.

Cities offer better access to education than rural areas. IDPs tend to prioritise education over other services as it is a transferrable human capital that is key for rebuilding lives after displacement. Education in urban areas can be affected by the use of schools as emergency shelters for IDPs. School systems in cities must adapt rapidly to ensure continued quality education for children from both displaced and host communities.

As compared to camps, cities can allow more social mobility and local integration, which is becoming the preferred durable solution to urban displacement. Yet, many IDPs can find themselves socially and culturally excluded and marginalised because of their gender, ethnic and socioeconomic background. Creating ties with host communities is essential to support their integration in new urban spaces. Urban authorities must make sure they are included via participatory processes, along with other urban dwellers often excluded from decision-making.
possibility to live with greater dignity, independence and normality as members of the
community, either from the beginning of displacement or as soon as possible thereafter.\(^7\)

Other actors are also increasingly turning their attention to urban displacement. Specific
recommendations from the Global Alliance for Urban Crises (GAUC), for example, include
taking an area-based approach (see Section 3.2), supporting livelihoods and engaging
in shelter provision (see Section 4.2).\(^7\) Concerning IDPs, recent research\(^7\) notes that
identifying and reaching IDPs may be more difficult in a variegated, dense and complex
urban environment, or where they choose to remain invisible for fear of persecution or
hostility from host communities and the authorities. Displacement also brings with it
protection concerns. One review by the International Rescue Committee (IRC) into the drivers
of violence against displaced people in urban areas found that it took a number of forms,
including verbal, physical and sexual violence. The research recommended programming
that recognised women’s and girls’ particular susceptibility to violence; prioritising the
legal status and documentation of displaced people; and improving the monitoring and
reporting of sexual abuse (see Section 1.2.2 on urban violence, Section 1.4 on vulnerability
and Section 4.7 on protection).\(^7\)

Related to this, there have been regular calls for host authorities and communities to allow
displaced people greater agency and rights, including ‘the free, active and meaningful
participation of migrants, refugees and IDPs in urban decision-making processes and urban
and spatial development’ and their inclusion in ‘national action plans and strategies, such
as plans on the provision of public housing or national strategies to combat racism and
xenophobia’.\(^8\) The New Urban Agenda, ratified at the HABITAT III conference in Quito,
Ecuador, in 2016, calls for ‘refugees, internally displaced persons, and migrants, particularly
the poorest and those in vulnerable situations’\(^8\) to enjoy equal rights to the city, including


forced-displacement-urban-areas-what-needs-be-done).

\(^7\) A. Cotroneo, ‘Specificities and Challenges of Responding to Internal Displacement in Urban Settings’, *International
Review of the Red Cross*, 99 (1), 2017 (www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-review-of-the-red-cross/article/
specificities-and-challenges-of-responding-to-internal-displacement-in-urban-settings/437B517C566BF0BE1F2BD01
AE0795008).

\(^7\) For further discussion on actors, see P. Sitko and A. Massella, *Urban Displacement from Different Perspectives: An
Overview of Approaches to Urban Displacement*, GAUC, 2019 (https://drive.google.com
file/d/1o6VI0ll1ja9o6nxx4xOA2-kNlyEBw/view). See also A. Massella and P. Sitko, *Protocol of Engagement between
Local Governments and Humanitarian Actors*, GAUC, January 2019 (https://drive.google.com/file/d/1HzkZm_
MqvwFWCY56ESRqg-Wp-DwP6dq/view).

\(^8\) UN-Habitat, ‘HABITAT III Issues Paper 2: Migration and Refugees in Urban Areas’, 3 June 2015

\(^8\) The New Urban Agenda (https://habitat3.org/).
movement, access and non-discrimination (for example in equal access to housing and – where refugees are allowed to work – access to equal employment opportunities). Research by IRC\textsuperscript{82} on forcibly displaced people in the Tanzanian capital Dar es Salaam identified the following principles for operational agencies:

- Ensure that displaced people are aware of the services they are entitled to.
- Be clear on how to fight discrimination towards displaced people and, within that, promote social cohesion between host and displaced populations.
- Within a specific area, such as a neighbourhood, understand the different vulnerabilities of different groups.

Other recent research\textsuperscript{83} into urban displacement and the responses of humanitarian aid organisation argues for a more developmental, long-term approach involving a wider range of actors. Recommendations include:

- Address the needs of refugees and host communities equally, in terms of jobs and services.

---

\textsuperscript{82} IRC, \textit{The Right to the City for Urban Displaced}.

\textsuperscript{83} World Bank, \textit{Cities of Refuge in the Middle East}.
Figure 1.5  **A development-oriented approach to urban displacement**

**Nature of response**

- **Emergency response**
  - Rebuilding infrastructure, expanding services, reconstructing housing
    - *Iraq Emergency Operation for Development Project*

- **Building development solutions**
  - Investing in municipal services and equipment to relieve immediate pressure on services caused by refugee influx
    - *Beirut urban masterplan focused on resilience*
    - *Lebanon Municipal Services Emergency Project*

- **Sustained engagement**
  - Building urban resilience, supporting municipalities in enhancing capacity and services delivery
    - *Gaza Municipal Development Project*
    - *Jordan Emergency Services and Social Resilience Project*

**Proximity to conflict**
- *Syria*  
  - In conflict

- *Iraq*  
  - Recently emerged from conflict

- *Lebanon*  
  - Some instability and coping with refugee influx

- *West Bank and Gaza*  
  - Some instability, complex political situation

- *Jordan*  
  - Stable but coping with refugee influx

**Nature of response**

- **Stability**
- **Fragility**
- **Relief**
- **Development**

Integrate civil society organisations into collaborative activities.

Work with and through national and local government.

Drawing on experience from the Middle East, Figure 1.5 illustrates the idea of taking a developmental approach to long-term urban displacement. Three overlapping stages are indicated: short-term emergency response; medium-term ‘development solutions’; and long-term efforts towards building urban resilience (see Section 2.3).

As this example indicates, and as is repeated throughout this Good Practice Review, effective aid provision in cities needs to take a long-term view beyond an assumed response or recovery phase. At the same time, and particularly for people fleeing conflict, humanitarian response is mired in complexity and uncertainty, with difficult decisions to be made on the scale and duration of assistance.

Early efforts at protection are essential, as is assistance in securing livelihoods (to prevent people from sliding further into poverty) and finding adequate shelter and legal recognition (where relevant, such as the right to work or access social services). Above all, the goal should be the integration of new arrivals in the city. This concerns in particular the leadership of city authorities in enacting measures to achieve this (such as ensuring newly-arriving displaced people have equal access to services), as well as civil society organisations and others, such as faith-based organisations, which are often active in providing immediate support, such as food banks and clothing.

1.4 Vulnerability

Humanitarian operations that seek to help crisis-affected people usually try to identify the most vulnerable and/or those most in need of assistance. In urban areas the most vulnerable individuals or groups may be hidden and difficult to identify, and may defy assumptions and expectations. Who is most vulnerable may also be subject to flux depending on the timing and nature of a crisis. In many rapidly growing cities, the most vulnerable are often the urban poor, who may not have been affected by a disaster directly, but may be more in need than those who are.

This section discusses the most vulnerable in relation to the concept of ‘leave no one behind’. It presents an assets-based understanding of vulnerability. It discusses vulnerability and poverty, urban marginalisation and displacement. How to engage those most vulnerable, and the programming implications, are covered in relevant sections of this Good Practice Review. For example, Sections 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7 cover context analysis, assessments and profiling and targeting. Sections on protection (4.7) and shelter (4.2) also refer to approaches for ensuring that assistance reaches the most marginalised.

84 Noting that a critical decision in urban humanitarian response (and generally) is when to withdraw assistance. See for example ALNAP’s urban lessons paper, lesson number one, concerning clear boundaries for urban humanitarian action (Sanderson and Knox-Clarke, 2012).
1.4.1 Leave no one behind

Humanitarian action focuses on providing assistance to those who need it most. As the IFRC’s 2018 *World Disasters Report* puts it, ‘Neglecting to make humanitarian assistance available and accessible to those in most acute need not only fails to abide by humanitarian principles but also increases the vulnerability of those same populations – leaving them even poorer, more at risk in the face of future shocks, and even further behind’.  

The 2016 New Urban Agenda asserts as its first core principle to ‘leave no one behind’ (see Section 3.1 on frameworks). This is also a central theme of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). In these contexts, ‘leaving no one behind’ means ending extreme poverty in all its forms, and reducing inequalities among both individuals (vertical) and groups (horizontal). This in turn means addressing the underlying drivers of vulnerability. Humanitarian actors can contribute to this, for example through improving preparedness to disasters, ensuring that their interventions also consider long-term impacts and working with governments to change policies, in particular to reduce future risks, and in settings of conflict and violence contributing to peace-building. According to OCHA, leave no one behind ‘calls on humanitarians locally, nationally, and internationally to work differently with one another and with counterparts in development, peace operations, climate change, and gender equality to move people out of crisis: reducing vulnerability, doubling down on risk management, and tackling root causes of crises and conflict’.

1.4.2 An assets-based understanding of vulnerability

Vulnerability may be obvious, such as being made homeless from a disaster. It may also be hidden, such as trauma or depression stemming from experiences of crises or their consequences, for instance being forcibly displaced. Common forms of vulnerability include:

- Being unable to meet basic needs, such as shelter, water and food.
- Having little or no social capital, such as friends, family or social networks (see the example from Dhaka in Box 1.13).

---


89 See Section 1.1 on ways of seeing the city for a further discussion of assets and vulnerability.
Being unable to access goods and services, often through lack of money but also as a result of disability or social or legal exclusion.

Being discriminated against, for example being denied access to a particular building for belonging to the ‘wrong’ social grouping.

In cities, the groups most vulnerable to crises include those that are unable to access goods, services and opportunities due to their age, ability/disability or from social and/or legal exclusion:

- Those alienated by wider society, for instance convicted criminals, people who are mentally ill, people with a sexually-transmitted disease such as HIV or people facing stigma, such as LGBTQ+ people.
- Children (see Section 4.7 on protection).
- The physically frail, including older and infirm people, and those living with disabilities (see the example in Box 1.14 and later examples in this section).
- Irregular migrants, including those who have been trafficked or held in modern slavery.
- Members of social or religious groups despised or victimised by more powerful groups (although there is evidence of reduced vulnerability when belonging to a group).
- Those whose asylum status has been denied, and who as a consequence end up in a state of limbo and thus open to exploitation by employers and landlords, or who lack the paperwork to access services such as healthcare.

1.4.3 Vulnerability and poverty

There is an established correlation between poverty and vulnerability – the poorest are almost always the most vulnerable. This challenge is set to grow: by 2030, an estimated 325 million people classed as extreme poor will be living in the 49 countries most prone to disasters.90

90 Andrew Shepherd et al., The Geography of Poverty, Disasters and Climate Extremes in 2030 (London: ODI, 2013).
Box 1.13 Who are the most vulnerable in urban Bangladesh? Dhaka’s ‘hated poor’

‘A 2005 World Bank participatory study of slums in Dhaka asked poorer people to rank their perceptions of poverty. They identified three groups: less poor, more poor, and poorest. The latter was defined as those who “do not have regular income and are extremely vulnerable”. Characteristics include: beggars, widows, elderly and the disabled; female-headed households with small children without any male support; no secure income (e.g. erratic employment, daily labourers, begging); have one meal a day (if lucky); and, are more dependent on others.

‘In several communities, poor people are further divided into three subcategories that describe their ill-being: the social poor, the helpless poor, and the hated or bottom poor. The helpless poor are identifiable by their old clothes and pained faces. They can afford neither health care nor education for their children. In urban contexts, this group is referred to as the “hard-core poor”. “Most of them are widows, separated, or have husbands with ill health”, say women. The women also say that the hard-core poor often beg, have no reliable income, and live in sublet rooms and tin shacks. Disabled people are also among the hated poor. Members of these households often starve. Lacking land and other assets, they do not have access to loans, even from family or friends. In addition, they are not accepted as members of local organizations, and thus cannot benefit from group assistance as a last resort.’


Not all vulnerable people are poor – those caught up in ethnic conflict may find little protection from being well-off, while violent crime may specifically target wealthier people. A number of those killed in Ahmedabad during the Gujarat earthquake in 2001 were wealthy people living in a modern building that collapsed. Conversely, people and households may have forms of compensation through social networks or other means that make them less vulnerable than their seemingly equally poor neighbours.


### 1.5 Urban actors

Cities are by their nature home to a wide diversity of people and groups, many of whom may well become involved in crises – and crisis response – in a variety of ways. This section identifies the different actors that engage in crises. It identifies categories of urban actors and their facets. It discusses four groups: government at its different levels, emergent (voluntary) groups, businesses and the informal sector.

This section closely aligns to others describing how different actors engage with one another. Section 2.1, on coordination, discusses how different actors co-exist and work with one another; Section 1.2.2, on violence, discusses gangs; Section 1.1 looks at different ways of understanding the city and using a systems approach; Section 3.2 on area-based...
approaches (ABAs) describes how actors engage throughout the project management cycle; and Section 3.3 on cash concerns working with private sector organisations.

1.5.1 Categories of urban actors

There are a number of ways to categorise the wide diversity of actors present in the city. Figure 1.6 (page 054) identifies and organises different national actors according to three groupings: the public sector, the private sector and civil society.

International actors

International actors include:

- Donors (governments, collective fund-raising entities, individual groups).
- UN organisations.
- The Red Cross/Red Crescent: ICRC (in cases of conflict) and IFRC.
- International NGOs.
- Private sector organisations involved in recovery and reconstruction and private security.
- Search and rescue teams.
- Faith-based organisations.
- Interested individuals.

Government

Governments at their various levels are inevitably critical actors. National governments set national policies and may intervene in the aftermath of large-scale disasters. City governments are charged with municipal management. This is particularly important in post-disaster recovery, and in the provision of services to displaced people. A recurring theme throughout this Good Practice Review is the need for stronger coordination with local government in particular, and within local government departments, for example planning departments (see Section 2.1 on coordination).


Figure 1.6 **Actors in the city**

- **Public sector**
  - National government – line ministries
  - Law enforcement, police, militaries
  - Local development councils
  - Political parties
  - Emergency services (fire, ambulance)
  - Judicial and penal actors
  - Municipal government – line ministries

- **Private sector**
  - International firms
  - Hawkers, market traders
  - Chambers of commerce, business associations
  - Media groups and news organisations
  - Service/utility providers (water, transportation, etc.), healthcare providers

- **Civil society**
  - Diaspora
  - CSOs and CBOs, charities, national and local RCRC societies
  - Gangs
  - Religious authorities, faith-based organisations
  - Youth groups, women’s groups, marginalised groups
  - Community/grassroots media
  - Media institutions, newspapers, radio
  - Traditional authorities, elders, traditional healers, tribal/ethnic group leaders, influential families

- **Local development councils**
- **Political parties**
- **Emergency services (fire, ambulance)**
- **Judicial and penal actors**
- **Municipal government – line ministries**

Emergent (volunteer) groups

Recent research has categorised people who organise themselves after a disaster as ‘emergent groups’. Emergent groups are people who come together, often spontaneously, immediately after a disaster to perform first aid and provide water, food, warmth and shelter. Emergent groups may themselves be affected by disaster. Such groups may disappear as spontaneously as they appear (though not always, as illustrated below). A key point to note is that emergent groups are voluntary. Membership is constantly changing; they form and disband quickly, there is usually little or no clarity on leadership, and the focus of work is usually short-term (on immediate needs), rather than long-term.

Depending on the crisis, the activities of emergent groups may be on a large scale. For example, following the 1999 earthquake in north-eastern Turkey ‘relief and rescue activities were carried out mainly by neighbours, relatives, spontaneously formed volunteer groups and some NGOs’. One survey found that ‘34 per cent of earthquake victims interviewed said that they received most help immediately after the earthquake from family members and neighbours, as well as through their own efforts; only 10.3 per cent mentioned help from state authorities’.

Box 1.15  Actors after the Nepal earthquakes

Following the earthquakes that struck Nepal in 2015, a study of five urban settlements found that actors engaged in immediate relief comprised a combination of neighbourhood residents, NGOs and local civil society organisations. Activities included rubble clearance and repairs to infrastructure and buildings. The study found that ‘Lacking support from the government’s National Reconstruction Authority, all five settlements drew on informal governance structures to form community reconstruction and planning committees and collaborative relationships with NGOs and other organisations, loosely coordinated with local government’.


96 Ibid.
Businesses

Private sector organisations or businesses (defined here as for-profit organisations or individuals) are major actors in cities. They range from multinationals with headquarters in cities to small and medium-sized businesses. They also include people working in the informal sector.

The role of businesses during and after crises is wide-ranging and varied, from post-disaster reconstruction and supplying goods to security contracting and providing IT. Businesses can be vital and high-profile: following the 2010 Haiti earthquake, the mobile phone company Digicel was instrumental in the rebuilding of the iconic Iron Market in Port-au-Prince. Research following the earthquake concluded that ‘Increasing collaboration between the private sector, humanitarian organisations and governments in order to scale up and better tackle urban post disaster recovery using a fundamentally “private approach” offers opportunities for more effective responses for the next urban disaster’.

Recent research finds that the ‘greatest direct contribution [of businesses] has come in the form of new technologies and other innovations and the sharing of technical capacities in areas such as logistics, telecommunications and cash transfers. In addition, businesses, as seen in the growth of social enterprises, are increasingly developing models which are commercial in nature but which ultimately help to meet humanitarian needs and reduce vulnerability to future disasters’. The same research also identified the benefits of and barriers to private sector engagement for humanitarian action, which are summarised below:

Benefits

- Speed/timeliness
- Coverage
- Durability
- Value for money
- Innovation and transformation.

Barriers

- Few community-wide interlocutors

---


• Limited forums for interaction
• Limited awareness of novel partnerships
• Lack of clarity in decision-making
• Partnerships remain at headquarters level
• Aid agency vetting (due diligence) requirements.

Actors in the informal economy

The informal sector often accounts for an enormous portion of economic activity in many cities in low- and middle-income countries. For instance, in sub-Saharan Africa the International Labour Organization estimates that over 66% of people work in the urban informal sector.99

The informal sector, crises and disasters are closely linked. High inflation and unemployment during conflict can lead to a growth in the informal sector, which might provide one of the few sources of affordable goods and food. Usually, as conflicts continue, and in post-conflict settings, the informal economy expands to provide livelihood opportunities to vulnerable people, such as selling items of food and clothing, or selling their labour.

Opportunities for humanitarian agencies to engage with actors in the informal economy are often not taken up, except perhaps via links with local NGOs and civil society organisations. One reason for this is that informal actors are by definition considered to be outside the formal system. For example, people squatting on public land may be prevented from getting help from agencies engaged in shelter recovery, since those agencies may be unable to secure the necessary government permissions to build in such locations. This is a significant issue given the large numbers of people living in informal urban settlements (see Sections 4.1 and 4.2 on housing, land and property rights and shelter and settlements for further discussion).

Yet there are opportunities for engagement: informal markets, for instance, are often up and running immediately after a disaster, given that people need to buy and sell goods to survive.100 Assistance may also be provided to communities who relocate in new areas (see the Canaan example following the Haiti earthquake, in Section 4.2 on shelter and settlements).
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2.1 Coordination

Humanitarian coordination can be defined as ‘the systematic use of policy instruments to deliver humanitarian assistance in a cohesive and effective manner. Such instruments include strategic planning, gathering data and managing information, mobilising resources and ensuring accountability, orchestrating a functional division of labour, negotiating and maintaining a serviceable framework with host political authorities and providing leadership’.

The subject of humanitarian coordination has been widely written about and studied. This section focuses on urban issues associated with coordination. It discusses coordination with city authorities and steps for engaging with them in planning processes. It reviews coordination with emergent (voluntary) groups and gangs, as two examples of particularly urban phenomena. It reviews cluster coordination in urban areas.

2.1.1 Levels of coordination

Recent research into organisations engaged in emergencies identifies three levels of coordination: communication, where information and knowledge is shared between organisations; alignment, where organisations ‘may adjust their activities to create a more effective response on the basis of the activities of other organisations’, for example ensuring they work in different neighbourhoods; and collaboration, where organisations may have common goals and share activities.

In urban areas there are of course a wide range and diversity of stakeholders (see Section 1.5 on actors), many with competing interests and different degrees of power and legitimacy. While humanitarian coordination is often with national government structures, local government bodies are essential stakeholders. Coordinating with local government may not always be possible, particularly in situations of conflict or immediately after...
a disaster, but international actors should never assume that local government is not functioning. Instead, they should make working with the city authorities the default, unless this proves impossible for reasons of government capacity or lack of neutrality.

### 2.1.2 Engaging with city authorities

Local government and its officials are essential actors in urban humanitarian response. Yet the humanitarian community has repeatedly been found wanting in how it engages with city authorities. One study of the response of 13 INGOs following Typhoon Haiyan in 2013 found that, across the track of the typhoon, local government was largely bypassed by aid agencies, which worked directly at the community level. Following the 2010 Haiti earthquake, ‘local authorities … complained that three months after the earthquake they felt “like strangers in [their] own city”’. This is a particular problem in urban areas, where meaningful action often relies on complex systems of governance.

A wide-ranging study of cities and crises in 2016 found the following:

- Overwhelmed and under-resourced municipalities that also lacked expertise to deal with ‘the exponential needs resulting from crisis’.
- A failure by international agencies ‘to understand local dynamics and to engage with local stakeholders’.
- An approach that was sector-based rather than settlement-based.
- A ‘lack of city-level multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms’, contributing to ‘the divergence between international and local actors’.

The report found that these problems led to a sub-optimal response (for example bad targeting and duplication), undermined local systems and fuelled community tensions (for example in not consulting with all actors, leading to perceptions of bias). Failing to engage with municipal authorities can also mean that short-term emergency interventions in areas such as shelter and settlement, WASH and public health fail to articulate with – and even set back – longer-term development planning.

---


Box 2.1 Relations between humanitarian actors and municipal authorities in Mogadishu

‘One of the main conceptual difficulties for aid actors has been to approach Mogadishu as a city and not as a classic humanitarian situation. This requires strategic sector-based coordination linked to administrative units rather than cluster-type sector-based coordination, and, above all, an attempt to engage with urban authorities. While some of the NGOs working in Mogadishu have tried to establish Memoranda of Understanding with the Ministry of Health, they have bypassed the municipal level and gone down to the district commissioner level, which is responsible only for law-and-order control functions rather than urban planning. The reasons why there has not been any engagement with municipal authorities include the fear of politicisation, the risk of corruption; and, more broadly, ignorance about their roles, if not reluctance to work with these urban actors.’


Research by ALNAP emphasises that working with government structures is a necessity, not a choice: ‘in many situations there are possibilities to work closely with line ministries or other parts of government, even where the government is engaged in internal conflicts. Where even this is not possible, coordination models should be designed to align with government structures to the degree possible, to allow for government ownership at a later date’.6

Humanitarian organisations’ roles may therefore need to shift – ‘depending on the capacity of the local authorities, the humanitarians’ role may be more about facilitation and enabling than direct service provision’.7 However, engagement with authorities can often be sensitive, particularly in conflict situations. Where authorities are partisan or may be the aggressors, engagement may not be possible, as is evident in the example from Mogadishu in Box 2.1.

2.1.3 Engaging with local authorities

From its work in urban areas,8 IRC identifies the following key steps for establishing urban partnerships:

---

6 Knox Clarke and Campbell, Exploring Coordination in Humanitarian Clusters, p. 7.


Box 2.2 Using area-based approaches to improve coordination in Mafraq, Jordan

The Community Engagement Project, which works in Mafraq in Jordan and in a number of other countries, seeks to improve coordination between local government, NGOs and community-based organisations in efforts to build social cohesion. The vehicle for this has been through enacting an area-based approach (see Section 3.2 for further discussion). Joint activities between actors and communities have included assessments and stakeholder mapping of key capacities.

For further discussion of this initiative, and for additional examples from Bangui, Bogo, Gaziantep, Port-au-Prince and Tacloban, see IMPACT and UCLG, Consultations on Humanitarian Responses in Urban Areas: Perspectives from Cities in Crisis, World Humanitarian Summit, 2016 (www.uclg.org/sites/default/files/cities_in_crisis.pdf).

- Begin a dialogue with local municipal authorities to identify opportunities for collaboration around shared outcomes.
- Determine whether a master plan exists, and other relevant documentation and policies.
- Identify the interests and incentives of all stakeholders (including the authorities).
- Ensure that all actors are operating based on the same information, including where necessary information on humanitarian approaches.
- Ensure as far as possible effective coordination between all stakeholders, including local authorities, NGOs, faith-based organisations and the private sector.
- Link all recovery actions to long-term developmental approaches, strategies and goals.

Another collaborative planning approach developed by ACTED and IMPACT is AGORA, which aims to provide ‘predictable capacity to localise aid action and promote efficient, inclusive and integrated local planning and service delivery in contexts of crisis’. AGORA uses a settlements-based approach (see Section 3.2 on area-based approaches). For further information see www.impact-initiatives.org/agora. The ‘local generalist approach’ seeks to support city authorities by providing financial and technical assistance. For further information, see www.cites-unies-france.org/Local-Authorities-in-Crisis.

See www.impact-initiatives.org/agora.
2.1.4 Engaging with emergent (voluntary) groups

While not exclusively an urban phenomenon, the scope and range of emergent groups (introduced and discussed in Section 1.5 on actors) in cities is particularly noticeable. However, aid organisations can find it difficult to meaningfully engage with these groups. According to one study: ‘the core problem is that emergency planners and plans rarely take emergent groups and spontaneous volunteering into account. They do not understand the nature and characteristics of emergence or the strong motivations behind it. Emergence is an implicit challenge to the “command and control” approach of most official disaster management and emergency response agencies, with their top-down bureaucratic systems and standard operating procedures’.10 The study also gives an example of more successful coordination: ‘in the Kobe earthquake, initial problems regarding duplication of effort were overcome by creating an umbrella group, the Nishinomiya Volunteer Network, to coordinate the work of emergent groups and collaborate with the government on distributing food and other goods, collecting information about survivors’ needs from temporary shelters, and liaising between survivors and government’.11

2.1.5 Engaging with gangs

In urban areas, gangs or criminal elements will control some neighbourhoods, raising questions about how or whether humanitarian organisations should engage with them. ALNAP observes that ‘Any work carried out by humanitarian players in a city neighbourhood, or in an area within a prison, that is controlled by a gang will be subject to discussion or authorisation by the gang, whether one is aware of it or not’. One programming consideration here is how far to engage with gang leaders.12 ALNAP’s study cites research on the ICRC’s experience,13 and notes ‘the need to gain acceptance from gang leaders, given that many gangs are hierarchically organised; also that gang leaders need to perceive some benefit from the organisation’s operation’.14 See also Section 1.2.2 on urban violence.

---


11  Ibid., p. 454.


14  Sanderson and Knox Clarke, Responding to Urban Disasters, p. 19.
2.1.6 The cluster approach and urban response

The cluster approach, introduced into humanitarian action as part of the 2005 Humanitarian Reform Agenda, is the internationally-accepted norm for organising aid support according to sectors, or clusters. The Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC)\(^{15}\) describes key cluster functions as supporting service delivery, informing strategic decision-making, planning and strategy development, advocacy, monitoring and reporting on the implementation of the cluster strategy, contingency planning, preparedness and capacity-building, and integrating early recovery from the outset of the humanitarian response.

ALNAP’s research into organisations engaged in emergencies (referred to above) found that ‘overwhelmingly, Cluster activities fall at the “alignment” level’. This is especially true where competing interests, stresses and pressures call on different organisations to make careful calculations on where and how to align their efforts. Other factors include workflows, policies and institutional cultures; the capacity and willingness of local government and local civil society to be involved and/or lead coordination; and what level of coordination is being attempted. That said, coordination in disasters and crises is an essential element of any successful intervention.

While the cluster system overall has improved coordination and information-sharing,\(^{16}\) it has been problematic in urban areas. As the IRC observes: ‘The traditional cluster system does not lend itself to the complexity of needs, services and systems across an urban landscape with humanitarian agencies struggling to deal with the complexity, density and built environment of towns and cities or [un]able to take full advantage of the potential a city has to offer’.\(^{17}\) The IASC has challenged the very validity of the cluster approach in urban recovery operations: ‘the current cluster system is structured around sectors of expertise and sectorial coordination, while in a context of urban crises there might be a need to identify and respond holistically to multi-sectorial needs in a given territory, requiring stronger inter-cluster linkages and coordination at city-level’.\(^{18}\)

For clusters to work well in urban areas, the following should be noted:


• Leadership by local government is important, to avoid creating parallel structures which remove ownership from existing governance structures.

• Cross-sectoral coordination is vital: single-sector interventions that do not recognise urban complexity risk causing harm (a systems perspective on how cities work, described in Section 1.1, is a useful starting-point here).

• Meeting locations and the frequency of meetings in cities are important. Traffic jams in congested areas can waste time and cluster members might not bother to attend meetings. In Port-au-Prince after the 2010 earthquake, chronic traffic jams and the location of cluster meetings on the outskirts of the city meant that several hours a day could be spent in a vehicle.\(^{19}\)

• Many NGOs may find it difficult to attend a large number of meetings, especially if an organisation is involved in more than one sector.

• Language is an important consideration – default English in a non-English-speaking location alienates local bodies and discourages engagement.

• Many local stakeholders may well not attend cluster meetings, for instance private sector organisations or gangs. Other ways of engaging them are therefore needed.

Concerning cross-sector coordination, the IASC\(^{20}\) has discussed adopting a city-level inter-cluster working group (led by city authorities wherever possible) that ‘would support stronger coordination among sectors and with local actors’. An important opportunity for cross-sectoral coordination lies in conducting multi-sectoral assessments. This is discussed further in Section 3.6 on assessments.

### 2.2 Corruption

Humanitarian response to disasters and conflict is carried out in areas where risks are high, where there may well be endemic poverty and where governance structures are usually weak and public institutions overwhelmed and under-resourced, often prior to the emergency. Humanitarian operations therefore almost by definition take place in areas where there is corruption. This, arguably, is compounded in cities with extremes of wealth and poverty, where public institutions are based, and where cash, markets and competition are concentrated.

---


20 IASC, Guidance Note, p. 3.
This review found little information specific to urban areas, though there are examples relating to building practices, and efforts to combat corruption within humanitarian operations are equally applicable to both rural and urban contexts. This section looks at corruption risks within humanitarian aid operations, and good practice in reducing them.

2.2.1 Defining corruption

Transparency International (TI) defines corruption as ‘the abuse of entrusted power for private gain’,21 ‘Private gain’ here may not only be financial: transactional sex, for instance, fits this definition. Other non-financial forms of corruption include the diversion of humanitarian assistance to benefit non-target groups, coercion and intimidation of staff or beneficiaries to participate in corruption and preferential treatment for friends or relatives in recruitment and assistance processes.22 Corruption is complex and differs in different contexts – in some societies, what elsewhere may be perceived as cronyism and nepotism can be widely accepted.

A recent review of corruption in emergencies notes that it is ‘hard to measure and difficult to separate from other issues, such as excessive political influence and economic mismanagement … In essence, corruption subverts public resources for private gain, to the damage of the body politic and people at large. It is often associated with political violence and authoritarianism and is a highly exploitative phenomenon’.23

2.2.2 Corruption and naturally-triggered disasters

Research indicates a strong link between disasters and corruption. One study found that ‘83% of all deaths from building collapse in earthquakes over the past 30 years occurred in countries that are anomalously corrupt’.24 Other research documents how ‘corruption linked to poor planning and regulation, unauthorised structures and inadequate municipal management presents major impediments to creating disaster resilience in Sri Lankan cities’.25


22 Ibid.
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A review of corruption and disasters\(^{26}\) concluded that:

*Organized crime tends to look upon disaster as an opportunity. Reconstruction usually involves a building boom, which attracts mafia interests in the construction industries. Often, the process is not well regulated, in part because the desire to complete the process quickly prevails over the need to work methodically. Mafias can activate their contacts in government and public administration to expedite their involvement. The interference of organized crime in the construction industry tends to affect reconstruction phases by the neglect of building codes and quality assurance.*

Besides involvement in reconstruction, disruption may lead to an increase in other harmful activities, including people trafficking, abduction, modern slavery such as forced prostitution and the drug trade.

2.2.3 Corruption in humanitarian operations

Corruption within humanitarian operations is particularly ugly. As TI puts it: ‘In the case of humanitarian assistance, resources have been entrusted to organisations – including national and local governments, inter-governmental organisations, NGOs and local communities – specifically for alleviating the suffering of people affected by crises and restoring their dignity’.\(^{27}\) The arrival of humanitarian resources in resource-poor countries

---

\(^{26}\) D. Alexander, ‘Corruption and the Governance of Disaster Risk’.

\(^{27}\) Transparency International, *Preventing Corruption in Humanitarian Operations*.

---

Box 2.3 Poor construction in the Sichuan earthquake in China

The Sichuan earthquake in China in 2008 killed some 87,150 people and left nearly 5 million homeless. Around 1.5 million houses were destroyed and 6 million damaged. A number of newly-built schools collapsed, killing over 5,000 children. Corruption was widely reported in the building process, leading to buildings collapsing that should have stayed up. As one article noted: ‘The issue has been an enduring source of grief for parents. They say the schools crumbled so easily because corruption and mismanagement led to slipshod construction methods and weak buildings that were not up to standard. Some say materials meant for school construction projects were sold by contractors for personal gain’.

with weak institutions can exacerbate power asymmetries and increase corruption, thereby undermining the humanitarian mission.

Factors that contribute to reducing the transparency and accountability of decision-making processes during humanitarian crises include: 28

- The need for quick decisions, often with incomplete knowledge.
- The large amounts of incoming cash and other resources.
- The need to recruit large numbers of people, often very quickly.
- The pressure to act fast and show results.
- The wide range of actors engaged in response operations (local, national and international NGOs, donors, the private sector, the government, affected communities).
- Unequal power distribution, for example between local and international NGOs, or between NGOs and affected people.

TI reports that corruption risks related to programme support functions are generally in relation to finance, supply chain management (for example procurement, transport and managing goods) and within human resources. 29 Corruption risks also run through the implementation of programmes, for example in needs assessment and resource allocation, the selection of local partners, targeting and registering beneficiaries and programme monitoring and evaluation. Figure 2.1 maps assistance activities with possible corruption risks, all of which are applicable to urban contexts. 30

TI’s Collective Resolution for Enhanced Accountability and Transparency in Emergencies (CREATE) project aimed to ‘produce an evidence base concerning the risks on aid integrity, in particular corruption risks, as well as prevention and mitigation measures, in relation to the implementation of humanitarian assistance’. Examples were provided from four settings: Afghanistan, the Ebola emergency in Guinea, southern Somalia and the Syrian refugee response in Lebanon. The project report, while not urban-specific, documents incidents of corruption, relating to different programming approaches. 31

---


29 Transparency International, Preventing Corruption in Humanitarian Operations.


Figure 2.1 Map of corruption risks in humanitarian emergencies
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Corruption risk example

- Elites bribe/influence those conducting the assessment to inflate needs and/or to favour specific groups
- Response selected to enhance personal or organisational reputation rather than based on needs
- Double funding: allocating the same overhead expenditure to two or more projects
- Agency staff invent partners or demand kickbacks
- Goods which are sub-standard or do not meet the original specification are accepted and ultimately paid for through kickbacks, bribes, collusion
- Powerful individuals within the community manipulate the beneficiary lists
- Beneficiaries have to bribe agency staff, local elites or authorities to maintain their place in a distribution line or receive goods
- Manipulation of monitoring reports/information to attract further resources
- Reports falsified to hide corruption
- Disposal of assets to favoured people
- Monitoring, reporting or evaluations falsified to hide evidence of corruption that was found

TI’s 2014 handbook *Preventing Corruption in Humanitarian Operations* contains information and practical advice on tackling corruption within project support functions, for example in procurement, and throughout the project management cycle. Nonetheless, it is of great importance that the elimination of corruption and the formulation of anti-corruption policies remain context-specific. Often, policies and procedures to promote transparency, integrity and accountability are already in place in humanitarian agencies, and can serve as a starting-point to creating an organisational context that resists corruption.

While the TI handbook does not distinguish between rural and urban locations, it is highly relevant to urban programming, especially the parts concerning project cycle management. Particularly relevant sections include operating in cash environments and using cash-based programming, and construction and reconstruction. For further guidance and practical information on combating corruption, see: [www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/preventing_corruption_in_humanitarian_operations](http://www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/preventing_corruption_in_humanitarian_operations). For other reports, case studies and guidance notes relating to corruption in humanitarian operations, see: [https://www.transparency.org/search?topic=83](https://www.transparency.org/search?topic=83).

### 2.3 Urban resilience

The concept of resilience has attracted substantial interest and momentum in recent years, and is now widely used within humanitarian and development practice. It is embodied in Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 9 and 11 (known as the ‘urban SDG’) concerning sustainable cities and communities.\(^{32}\) For many, resilience is a helpful concept, and a useful term that ties together actions both before and after a crisis. Building resilience is a proactive term that can galvanise action. For others, however, it does not go far enough in addressing systemic issues of vulnerability and inequality, and there are concerns that calling a community ‘resilient’ may imply that external help is not needed.\(^{33}\)

This section provides a definition of urban resilience and highlights some of its attributes. It presents an operational model of resilience. The section discusses applying the concept of resilience in urban areas. The section ends with sources of further information. Urban resilience has close links to a number of other sections in this review, including those on climate change and ways of seeing the city.


\(^{33}\) This was the core of the criticism of government inaction following Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans. See M. Kaika, “‘Don’t Call Me Resilient Again!’: The New Urban Agenda as Immunology … or … What Happens when Communities Refuse to be Vaccinated with “Smart Cities” and Indicators’, *Environment and Urbanization*, 29 (1), 2017 (http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0956247816684763).
2.3.1 Defining resilience

The concept of resilience has its roots in a number of disciplines, including engineering, psychology and ecology. In recent years, the concept has been used in a number of urban approaches, including 100 Resilient Cities (pioneered by the Rockefeller Foundation), UNISDR’s Resilient Cities campaign \(^{34}\) and the Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network.\(^{35}\)

The definition from the Rockefeller Foundation, formulated from their extensive work in urban resilience, defines it as: ‘the capacity of individuals, communities, institutions, businesses, and systems within a city to survive, adapt, and grow no matter what kinds of chronic stresses and acute shocks they experience’.\(^{36}\)

In practice, this means that, in order to build resilience:

- The key is to recognise and build upon existing capacities.
- Building capacity applies at different levels – individual, communities, institutions, businesses and systems.
- Resilience-building requires iterative approaches and investing in building relations and connections among city actors.
- Success relies on combinations of inclusive, people-centred approaches and understanding the complexities at a larger scale (such as thinking about systems).
- Restoring/building connections between different actors and layers is fundamental.
- It’s not just about relief but also, in programming terms, building preparedness (in order to survive), building flexibility and thinking in new ways (in order to adapt) and strong disaster risk reduction (DRR) measures (in order to grow).
- Implicit in growth is the idea that all preparedness, response, recovery and DRR measures combine to make urban areas stronger for the next crisis. So, in all actions, thought needs to be given to the next emergency as well.
- ‘No matter what kinds of chronic stresses’ (meaning ongoing threats, such as water shortages, violence and excessive heat) and acute shocks (such as earthquakes, conflict and floods) implies not just focusing on one threat, but considering the wider spectrum of risk.

A number of other layers and understandings can be added to this definition. For instance:

- Capacity is often described in terms of ‘adaptive capacity’ in relation to climate change and the need for individuals and institutions to adapt (see also Section 1.2.4

\(^{34}\) See www.unisdr.org/we/campaign/cities.

\(^{35}\) See www.acccrn.net/.

\(^{36}\) See www.100resilientcities.org/.
on climate change). Two other forms of capacity are anticipatory capacity, i.e. getting ready, and absorptive capacity, or the ability to recover.\textsuperscript{37}

- Other definitions highlight the need for action before a disaster more prominently (for example IFRC’s definition,\textsuperscript{38} which adds the words ‘anticipate’ and ‘reduce the impact of’).

- The term ‘bounce back’ (i.e. absorptive capacity) is often associated with understandings of resilience. This is from engineering, where bridges are designed to recover their shape after stresses are removed from them.

\subsection*{2.3.2 Models of resilience}

A number of resilience models are available – one recent review found 13 operational frameworks, indices and tools related to the concept.\textsuperscript{39} Examples include ISET’s framework for urban climate resilience (www.tandfonline.com/eprint/VaVMPVdWGNYa82jefg/full#.UxY8-ZGpd4M) and ARUP’s City Resilience Index, which seeks to quantify resilience according to infrastructure, health, economy and leadership (https://www.arup.com/projects/city-resilience-index). A third model used in relation to naturally-triggered disasters is ‘disaster resilience of place’ (DROP), which emphasises location in relation to resilience and vulnerability (see www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378008000666). A fourth model\textsuperscript{40} assesses the level of resilience in low-income urban settlements. A fifth model, focusing on children, is Plan International’s Child-Centred Urban Resilience Framework (www.plan.org.au/-/media/plan/documents/reports/curf_brochure2016v8.pdf).

The following model, from the UK Department for International Development (DFID), is presented here as it is readily understandable and is applicable to urban areas, for example in its emphasis on systems.

\textsuperscript{37} Taken collectively, these three forms of capacity (adaptive, anticipatory and absorptive) are sometimes referred to as the Three As. See for instance www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/9812.pdf.


In this operational model (which aligns with the Rockefeller Foundation’s definition above):

- Shocks and stresses are described as disturbances (a word commonly used in resilience thinking).
- In describing what actions to take (No. 3, capacity to deal with disturbance), the key words are exposure (the size of the shock or degree of stress), sensitivity (how much the individual, community or system is vulnerable) and the ability to adapt (the changes people or systems make as a result).
- Outcomes from the interventions taken range from very positive (‘bounce forward’) to failure (collapse).
This model is helpful in particular because of the three choices given in No. 3. Actions that work therefore include a combination of reducing exposure, reducing sensitivity and building adaptive capacity.

### 2.3.3 Applying the concept of resilience in urban areas

Resilience has a wide array of applications in urban programming. At a high policy level, resilience is embedded in SDG 11, to ‘make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe,
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Resilient and sustainable’. This is important because it signals that almost all the world’s governments have signed up to enact a resilience-based approach from now until 2030. According to the UN, as of 2015 142 countries had developed national policies, ‘the vast majority’ of which align with the SDGs. Resilience therefore can be openly discussed with national government decision-makers as a uniting course of action. The same applies where national policy translates to city-level policy (see the example below on municipal planning).

Resilience has also been especially tied in with climate change (recognised as both a shock and a stress: see Section 1.2.4 on climate change). The concept of resilience has also been used in the following ways.

- To provide convening power to unite disparate actors for collective action. The term itself is positive, and is readily understandable. As an example, the NGO Cordaid uses ‘building urban resilience’ as a goal for convening neighbourhood groups to develop DRR programmes.

Useful sources of further information


100 Resilient Cities and the global resilience movement (https://action.100resilientcities.org/page/s/join-the-global-resilience-movement#/-_/).

An extensive list of resources on resilience and climate change adaptation can be found at www.i-s-e-t.org/resources-cca-resilience.


---


42 See, for example, www.worldurbancampaign.org/cordaid-urban-resilience-peoples-approach.
• To emphasise DRR efforts to reduce disaster risk before a shock or stress occurs.
• To better combine development and humanitarian emergency actions and understandings – a theme running throughout this review is that effective urban humanitarian action requires longer, more developmental timeframes.
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3.1 Frameworks, standards and alliances

A number of frameworks, standards and alliances relate to humanitarian response in urban areas. The following seeks to highlight the urban-specific content of particular documents, while acknowledging that wider aspects of each document may also be applicable. Many of the following examples are of internationally agreed standards, often reflecting where the lenses of humanitarian practitioners are focused. These should be referred to alongside the national regulatory frameworks, standards and codes (for example building codes) that emergency response operations must adhere to.

3.1.1 Frameworks and global agreements

These include:

The Sustainable Development Goals

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)\(^1\) were agreed by world leaders in 2015 as part of the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. While all 17 are relevant to urban issues (for example ending poverty and hunger and gender equality), SDG11 – ‘Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable’ – is known as ‘the urban SDG’. Indicators for this goal include:\(^2\)

- Access for all to adequate, safe and affordable housing and basic services; upgrade slums.
- Access to safe, affordable, accessible and sustainable transport systems for all, improving road safety, notably by expanding public transport, with special attention to the needs of those in vulnerable situations, women, children, persons with disabilities and older people.
- Inclusive and sustainable urbanisation and capacity for participatory, integrated and sustainable human settlement planning and management.
- Protect and safeguard the world’s cultural and natural heritage.

---


\(^2\) ‘SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities’ – Indicators by Targets’ ([https://medium.com/sdgs-resources/sdg-11-indicators-5a613061b3dc](https://medium.com/sdgs-resources/sdg-11-indicators-5a613061b3dc)).
• Reduce the number of deaths and the number of people affected and substantially decrease the direct economic losses caused by disasters, including water-related disasters, with a focus on protecting the poor and people in vulnerable situations.

• Reduce the adverse environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management.

• Universal access to safe, inclusive and accessible green and public spaces, in particular for women and children, older persons and people with disabilities.

• Positive economic, social and environmental links between urban, peri-urban and rural areas by strengthening national and regional development planning.

• Increase the number of cities and human settlements adopting and implementing integrated policies and plans for inclusion, resource efficiency, mitigation and adaptation to climate change and resilience to disasters, and develop and implement them, in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030 (see below).

• Support least developed countries, including through financial and technical assistance, in building sustainable and resilient buildings utilising local materials.

The New Urban Agenda

The New Urban Agenda (NUA)3 was agreed at the Habitat III conference hosted by UN-Habitat in Quito, Ecuador, in 2016. The NUA ‘lays out standards and principles for the planning, construction, development, management, and improvement of urban areas along its five main pillars of implementation: national urban policies, urban legislation and regulations, urban planning and design, local economy and municipal finance, and local implementation’.

Concerning humanitarian crises, the NUA refers to: the need for better coordination and investments; the need to adopt and implement disaster risk reduction and management; the need to build urban resilience; better management of natural resources; and building the capacities of local authorities to develop and implement disaster risk reduction and response plans.

The Grand Bargain

The Grand Bargain4 that resulted from the 2016 World Humanitarian Summit makes no specific reference to ‘urban’ or ‘cities’. Its commitments are nonetheless highly relevant to urban practice. They include:

3 See http://habitat3.org/the-new-urban-agenda/.

4 See www.agendaforhumanity.org/initiatives/3861.
• More support and funding for local and national responders.
• Increased use and coordination of cash-based programming (see Section 3.3).
• Improved joint and impartial needs assessments (see Section 3.6).
• More emphasis on people receiving aid making decisions that affect their lives (a ‘participation revolution’).
• Better engagement between humanitarian and development actors.

The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030
The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030\(^5\) comprises seven targets and four priorities for reducing risk to disaster. In relation to urban issues in particular, the framework emphasises the need to consider land use, urban planning and building codes, and the promotion of disaster risk transfer and insurance mechanisms.

3.1.2 Standards
These include:

The Sphere Project
Perhaps the best known set of standards is the Sphere Project’s Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response.\(^6\) While there is no dedicated urban chapter, the 2018 revision comprises guidance relating to urban areas within each section, including food, health, shelter and WASH (for sector-specific information see relevant sections of this review). For example, Sphere’s guidance on WASH states that ‘Community engagement can be harder in urban areas, where the population density is higher and at-risk groups are less visible. However, in urban areas, public spaces, media and technology can provide the opportunity for broader and more efficient dialogue. Diverse ownership of assets (households in rural areas, public–private mix in urban areas) affects the choice of response options and methods of delivery’. The guidance suggests a mixed approach to WASH, including using markets, cash and vouchers, technical assistance and community engagement.

Sphere’s 2016 guide Using the Sphere Standards in Urban Settings comprises urban case studies and ‘a checklist guiding practitioners in their choice of standards and adaptation of the supporting indicators and actions’.\(^7\) Issues covered by the guide include:

---

5 See www.unisdr.org/we/coordinate/sendai-framework.


Community representation and leadership.
Recognising and minimising marginalisation.
Communication, outreach, feedback and accountability.
Protection concerns in urban situations.
Working in unplanned settlements with poor land use.
Minimising the negative effects of humanitarian assistance.
Awareness and prevention of gender-based violence.
Working in areas controlled by gangs or where rule of law is limited.
Working with a wider range of stakeholders.
Coordination of urban humanitarian responses.
Working in illegal and unrecognised settlements.

The guide ends with a checklist for considering standards in urban contexts, covering applicability (for instance, are rurally derived standards applicable to urban contexts?), protection, communications (which standards need communicating?) and opportunities (for example using local markets).

The Core Humanitarian Standard on Quality and Accountability

The Core Humanitarian Standard on Quality and Accountability (CHS)\(^8\) comprises nine commitments that aim to improve the quality and effectiveness of humanitarian programmes, in particular relating to improved accountability to communities. Although the CHS makes only one reference to ‘urban’, the commitments, concerning for example improved programme quality and participation, are relevant to programming in urban areas.

One report on accountability to urban communities in crises found that it had not been ‘sufficiently embedded in the culture and practice of the humanitarian system to make a meaningful impact on the manner in which the humanitarian programme cycle is managed’.\(^9\) The paper presents ten reasons why this is so, among them weak governance, the humanitarian–development divide and a lack of incentives for affected people to meaningfully engage.

---

\(^8\) See www.corehumanitarianstandard.org.

UNHCR’s 2009 policy on refugee protection and solutions in urban areas

UNHCR’s 2009 policy on refugee protection and solutions in urban areas ‘is based on the principle that the rights of refugees and UNHCR’s mandated responsibilities towards them are not affected by their location, the means whereby they arrived in an urban area or their status (or lack thereof) in national legislation. The Office considers urban areas to be a legitimate place for refugees to [exercise] their rights, including those stemming from their status as refugees as well as those that they hold in common with all other human beings’.

The policy outlines rights and responsibilities, and offers guidance on:

- Providing reception facilities.
- Registration and data collection.
- Ensuring that refugees are documented.
- Determining refugee status.
- Reaching out to the community.
- Fostering constructive relations with urban refugees.
- Maintaining security.
- Promoting livelihoods and self-reliance.
- Ensuring access to healthcare, education and other services.
- Meeting material needs.
- Promoting durable solutions.

UNHCR’s subsequent 2014 Policy on Alternatives to Camps ‘refocuses attention on refugees living in camps and extends the principal objectives of the urban refugee policy to all operational contexts’. The policy identifies several ‘lines of action’ for successful implementation, which includes ‘consulting with refugees and host communities’, ‘achieving synergies with national development planning’ and ‘engaging with national authorities’.

For further discussion of these policies see Section 1.3 on displacement.

---


### 3.1.3 Alliances and networks

These include:

**The Global Alliance for Urban Crises**

The Global Alliance for Urban Crises (GAUC)\(^2\) ‘promotes a vision of inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable cities and towns in which urban communities, their leaders and members have the power, capacities and resources to address the risks and reality of humanitarian crises, to mitigate crisis impacts on the most vulnerable, including the displaced, and to enable affected people to determine, with dignity, the course of their lives and their futures’. Its aim is ‘to develop and connect global, regional and national rosters of urban and local government experts specializing in humanitarian crisis response and resilience building’. GAUC’s members are drawn from academia, aid agencies, municipalities and business.

GAUC’s *Urban Crises Charter* is intended to be used as ‘a basis for both policy and operational level engagement, in order to be more effective in preventing, preparing for, and responding to humanitarian crises in urban environments’. The charter comprises the following commitments:

- Prioritise local municipal leadership in determining responses to urban crises that are aligned with development trajectories and promote the active participation of affected people.
- Adopt urban resilience as a common framework to align human rights, humanitarian and development goals.
- Manage urban displacement as a combined human rights, development and humanitarian concern.
- Build partnerships between city, national, regional and global levels, across disciplines and professions.

As an example of the first commitment, ‘prioritising local leadership’, IRC’s work in Amman (see Section 2.3) has focused on engaging with the local municipality to ensure long-term strategic planning for integrating Syrian refugees into city life. Greater Amman Municipality’s Resilience Strategy contains a commitment to: 10% refugee ownership of business start-ups (in particular those run by women); enhancing social cohesion; supporting refugee businesses start-ups in non-refugee areas; and strengthening the engagement of women and girls in municipal youth centres.

---

Uniting Cities and Local Governments (UCLG)

UCLG\(^\text{13}\) is a network of local governments. Its aim is ‘To be the united voice and world advocate of democratic local self-government, promoting its values, objectives and interests, through cooperation between local governments, and within the wider international community’. UCLG’s Taskforce for Territorial prevention and management of crisis, formed in 2008, focuses primarily on preparedness. Its 2016 report *Urban Consultations: Perspectives from Cities in Crisis*\(^\text{14}\) identified three key challenges in urban humanitarian response:

- Lack of pre-crisis preparedness.
- Divergence in the responses of local and international actors, ‘fuelled by lack of coordination mechanisms between them’.
- The consequences of diverging trends between international and local actors, i.e. ‘the loss in effectiveness, efficiency and relevance of the humanitarian response because of the failure to promote synergies between international and local actors’.

The Cities Alliance

The Cities Alliance,\(^\text{15}\) a partnership between the World Bank and UN-Habitat, ‘promotes long-term programmatic approaches that are focused on strengthening local skills and capacity, developing national urban policies, investing in infrastructure, enabling strategic city planning, and engaging citizens’. Among other things, the website provides guidance and advice to local municipalities concerning improved city management.

3.2 Area-based approaches

Area-based approaches (ABAs) have become popular in recent years as an urban-derived approach to post-crisis recovery. They are first and foremost about supporting neighbourhoods to recover. In practice, they resemble more a developmental approach than perhaps a traditional humanitarian one.

There are a number of different definitions for ABAs,\(^\text{16}\) as well as a number of different names, including settlements approach, place-based approach and neighbourhoods

---

13 See www.uclg.org/.


15 See www.citiesalliance.org/.

approach.\textsuperscript{17} All, however, encapsulate the importance of being based in a defined location (such as a neighbourhood). The aim is to strengthen local ownership and the engagement of affected populations by working in a participatory way and taking a multi-sectoral approach.

This section provides a definition for ABAs and outlines ten principles for implementing ABAs according to the broad steps of the project management cycle (assessment and design, implementation and evaluation and learning). Given the cross-cutting and multi-sectoral nature of ABAs, this section relates closely to a large number of others in this GPR, including assessments and profiling (Section 3.7), design and management (3.9) and monitoring and evaluation (Section 3.10).

This section draws on research into ABAs as part of the Stronger Cities Initiative.\textsuperscript{18} The full report, which contains further information and guidance, is D. Sanderson and P. Sitko, \textit{Urban Area-based Approaches in Post-disaster Contexts} (London: IIED, 2017) (http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/10825IIED.pdf).

\subsection*{3.2.1 Defining ABAs}

One definition is that an ABA ‘supports people after a disaster in a specific location to transition effectively from relief to recovery; it works with existing structures and can be scaled up’. The Global Shelter Cluster Working Group identifies the following commonalities across 30 case studies:

- Understanding the community – context is key.
- Engagement with multiple actors.
- Supporting alignment of humanitarian and development priorities.
- Capacity strengthening of local actors.
- Strong engagement with local authorities.
- Significant resource requirements and time investment.
- Scaling up beyond a specific context is challenging.

\textsuperscript{17} For further discussion, see Global Shelter Cluster, Settlements Approaches in Urban Areas Working Group, 2018 (www.sheltercluster.org/settlements-approaches-urban-areas-wg/documents/settlements-terminology-paper-draftapr2018).

\textsuperscript{18} The Stronger Cities Initiative was a consortium initiative comprising the International Rescue Committee (IRC), the Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), World Vision International (WVI) and the University of New South Wales (UNSW). The purpose of the Initiative was to produce practical, field-tested guidance for humanitarian organisations working in urban conflict, displacement and natural hazard settings.
• Established humanitarian coordination mechanisms remain structured around a sectoral or cluster approach.
• The Housing, Land and Property context is complex.
• The relationship with and impact on nearby settlements can be difficult: ‘Challenges and tensions can arise when balancing the needs and priorities of affected populations within the specific settlement in relation to neighboring settlements and overall city level planning’.19


ABAs are complex to manage, and involve negotiation and dialogue with local residents, government figures and other stakeholders (see the example from Haiti in Box 3.1). The effective coordination of sectoral inputs, such as WASH, shelter, protection and livelihoods, also takes a lot of effort. This complexity mirrors the reality of city recovery, and the evidence indicates that this is what is required if investments in recovery programmes are to have positive and lasting results.

### 3.2.2 Implementing ABAs in the project management cycle

Figure 3.1 presents ten principles for implementing ABAs according to the project management cycle (assessment and design, implementation and evaluation and learning).

---

Box 3.1 Implementing an ABA: Delmas 19, Port-au-Prince, Haiti

The Haiti Urban Regeneration and Reconstruction Programme (URRP) was instigated by the British Red Cross (BRC) in Port-au-Prince following the Haiti earthquake as an urban ABA programme. Activities covered a range of coordinated interventions, including cash (small business loans and microfinance), reconstruction of infrastructure and housing repairs. The final evaluation report documents some of the programming challenges involved in working in ‘the densely populated Delmas 19, which was characterised by endemic urban violence and a lack of community cohesion, and [the neighbourhood] was also extremely vulnerable as a result of underlying poverty as well as the effects of the earthquake … the social, political and economic networks of any densely populated, urban environment are incredibly complex and ceaselessly changing.

‘At the time, BRC took the risky decision to locate its entire Community Mobilisation Team in the heart of the community, investing heavily to develop a “Community Mobilisation Team” in an effort to foster greater links, transparency and accountability with the community it was seeking to support. While the relationships and tensions between BRC and the community ebbed and flowed, it is important to highlight that BRC was able to work with the entire community to plan and design the URRP’.

The evaluation also discusses challenges relating to timeframes. ‘BRC intentionally pursued a participatory approach in the design and delivery of the URRP, which increased levels of engagement and transparency. However, it also led directly to delays in programme delivery, as it took time to consult and engage with the multitude of participants with vested interests, from single individuals to local unelected committees up to Mayoral elected authorities. The Community Mobilisation Team was central to the URRP delivery, convening the community and programme team, communicating information and mitigating challenges from pre-design to post-exit phases … reaching consensus amongst stakeholders in an urban environment, let alone a dense urban slum such as Delmas 19, is incredibly difficult … due to the scale of the beneficiary assessment process, which ensured accurate and triangulated vulnerability data, but also involved negotiating with a large community of residents to determine the most appropriate programme option’.

The ten principles are as follows:

1. Multi-agency, multi-sector participatory assessments

Assessments above all need to be participatory, with mutual learning between agencies, local government and neighbourhood members reinforced wherever possible. Assessment approaches are discussed at length in the following sections: Section 3.5 (context analysis), Section 3.6 (assessments and profiling), Section 3.7 (targeting) and Section 3.8 (response analysis).
2. Focus on location

The intent behind focusing on location is to reinforce the importance of people’s identity (in belonging to a specific part of the city), and of rebuilding people’s everyday lives. Focusing on location also forces implementing agencies to take account of the complex, interconnected nature of real life. For example, looking at what people live in (shelter; see Section 4.2) also ties into safety (protection; see Section 4.7), access to services (WASH; see Section 4.4), the work people might do in or immediately outside their homes (livelihoods; see Section 4.5), and so on. Focusing on place has been a long-established approach in recovery. For example, after Typhoon Haiyan IFRC found that one benefit of focusing on location is that it ‘involves the consideration of other aspects of community life beyond shelter and how these aspects all fit together physically and functionally’. Location, often referred to as ‘the neighbourhood approach’, is discussed in Section 4.2 on shelter and settlements.

3. Realistic timeframes

Perhaps one of the most significant challenges of ABAs is that they may take longer than traditional recovery efforts by external agencies. When this is the case, ensuring local ownership of activities is vital so that (as well as for other reasons) programmes do not stall when agencies withdraw.

It is worth noting here that, beyond immediate life-saving actions following a disaster, such as search and rescue, emergency medicine and meeting basic needs (food, water and shelter), the belief that aid needs to be hurried is largely a myth. A study of the experiences of some 6,000 people in humanitarian relief and recovery operations in a number of disasters found that what people needed was less speed, and more consideration. The study found that ‘many feel that “too much” is given “too fast”’. The study also found that ‘very few people call for more aid: virtually everyone says they want “smarter aid”’. It takes time to ensure the right programmes are designed, conceived and implemented in collaboration with local power structures.


4. People-centred actions – whose reality counts?

Adherence to what are commonly known as people-centred approaches or actions (as introduced in Section 1.1, ways of seeing the city) involves:

- supporting affected populations in their own recovery;
- adopting a consultative, facilitative approach;
- taking the time to listen (through participatory assessments); and
- using tools such as those common in action planning (see principle seven below).

The importance of such an approach is identified in the 2014 Core Humanitarian Standard, namely Standard Four, that response is based on participation (see Section 3.1 on frameworks, standards and alliances). Further tools on participatory assessment (such as participatory rapid appraisal) are described in Section 3.6. See also D. Archer and S. Boonyabancha, ‘Seeing a Disaster as an Opportunity – Harnessing the Energy of Disaster Survivors for Change’, *Environment and Urbanization* 23(2) (London: IIED, 2011) (http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0956247811410011).

5. Work with existing structures

A key point about cities is that structures for almost everything already exist: the job of humanitarian assistance and recovery is to engage with them. Structures here are taken to mean services provided by government, utilities such as water supply, electricity and sewage and community-organised structures such as water committees. For interventions to be effective after the life of a programme, activities must engage with existing structures, even if these are weak – otherwise, such structures may be weakened even further. Working with existing structures is explored further in Section 2.1 on coordination.

6. Collaboration between sectors and programmes

Collaboration between sectors is essential in order to deliver a more coherent and unified response. As the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) notes: ‘no single humanitarian agency can cover all humanitarian needs; collaboration is not an

---

22 ‘Whose reality counts?’ is a question for successful neighbourhood interventions coined by participation expert Robert Chambers. The question relates to interventions undertaken by external agencies on behalf of local populations, and is intended to challenge their assumptions and approaches. Asking ‘whose reality counts?’ compels intervening agencies to consider the aims, desires and aspirations of affected people first (and to question their own assumptions), and from that, to implement programmes that are relevant first and foremost to the people they are intended to help.

Box 3.2  Settlement-based response and recovery in Bangui

Following the easing of conflict in the Central African Republic in 2016–17, a pilot project, AGORA, assisted the return of some 20,000 people to the capital city, Bangui. The initiative piloted a settlements-based approach in four neighbourhoods, following extensive consultation and agreement between local neighbourhood groups, mayors, civil society representatives, informal community leaders, UN agencies and NGOs. The project’s five steps comprised:

2. Multi-sectoral settlement-based assessments, involving collecting primary data and consultations with local actors.
3. Settlement-based response planning ‘reflecting priorities jointly agreed by local and international actors and response actions in the short, mid and long term’. Progress was posted on a shared website.
4. Coordination and implementation of response plans, chaired jointly by the mayor and OCHA.
5. Capacity-building of local authorities.

Challenges included: coordination (‘A lot of time was required to clarify the link between existing Clusters and inter-cluster systems and the Urban working group, causing delay in project implementation’\(^{24}\)); limited local capacities and resources; and limited resources, preventing project replication in other neighbourhoods.


In urban areas, with overlapping and complex needs, collaboration is especially important. Collaboration is discussed in Section 2.1 on coordination. See also T. Alcanya and F. Al-Murani, Urban Humanitarian Response: Why Local and International Collaboration Matters, Briefing, (London: IIED, 2016) (https://pubs.iied.org/17378IIED/).

---


7. Flexible programming and adaptive management

A long-recognised hindrance to effective programming in aid responses relates to tools, funding flows and administrative requirements that are insufficiently flexible. The recent development and piloting of new tools, such as adaptive management, may be suited to grappling with the uncertainties and complexities of urban programming. Adaptive management, along with other approaches, is discussed further in Section 3.9 on design and management.

8. Nimble internal systems

For an urban ABA to have the best chance of success, agencies’ internal systems, such as human resources (HR) and finance, need to be aligned to the purpose and overall goal of the programme. Involving HR and finance staff at the earliest stages of the design of an ABA can help support services function more smoothly in the subsequent implementation of a programme, with agreed clarity (between programme and non-programme staff) on the overall aim.

9. Plan for scaling up

Successful ABAs need to consider scale-up – one-off, isolated projects do little to assist with the wider requirements a city may need for recovery. Activities for ensuring scale-up include:

- Ensure local ownership as far as possible (see Principle 4).
- Work within local structures and municipal planning processes (see Principle 5).
- Coordinate with other organisations to ensure a more even spread of effort.
- Ensure the area-based project does not work against wider municipal urban planning and development by coordinating with the local government (see Section 2.1 on coordination).

Given the projectised approach of most humanitarian aid responses, there are challenges to scaling up. They include:

- Insufficient analysis of social, cultural and economic conditions and relationships, leading to projects with a narrow focus that are hard to replicate.
- Project duration, which may be short and not aligned to the timetables of other organisations.


• Short-term and/or unpredictable funding.
• Lack of local ownership.


10. Measure contribution not attribution

Evaluations of agency activities almost always seek to measure attribution, i.e. correlating the activities of one project to community benefits. ABAs however are intended to be cross-sectoral, holistic and oriented towards engaging in processes and people. To these ends, there is an opportunity to measure an initiative’s contribution to wider outcomes, not only those constrained by a particular project. This suits ABAs, since ‘the activities of an individual agency, and the effects of those activities, will not normally occur in isolation but rather as part of a multi-layered, complex response by both local and external actors’. Two approaches to this are measuring ‘contribution to change’ and neighbourhood-level changes in assets. Both these approaches are discussed further in Section 3.10 on monitoring, evaluation and learning.

3.3 Cash and markets

The use of cash transfers and vouchers in humanitarian action has grown substantially in recent years. In 2016, cash transfers and vouchers were worth approximately $2.8 billion, a 40% increase from 2015. Cash transfers and vouchers are particularly appropriate in cities; indeed, the Cash Learning Partnership argues that cash transfers should be the primary response in urban areas. Cash transfers and vouchers require functioning markets to be in place – which cities have in abundance – and working with markets compels external agencies to operate with and within existing structures.

All the indications are that cash transfers and vouchers will continue to gain momentum.

---


This section identifies current forms of cash transfers and vouchers. It discusses decision-making on using cash transfers. The section reviews using cash in urban refugee settings. It ends with a discussion of markets and some current market analysis approaches.

3.3.1 Types of cash transfers and vouchers

Cash transfers and vouchers can be used for a variety of humanitarian and recovery objectives. These interventions support households by providing them with resources to meet their needs, and have the secondary benefit of supporting local markets. They enable greater choice and flexibility for households compared to the distribution of in-kind goods.

Cash transfers

Cash transfers refer to the provision of money to individuals or households. Money is usually distributed using existing financial infrastructure, such as banks, money transfer companies and payment companies. In some cases transfers can be provided digitally (also referred to as ‘electronic transfers’, ‘e-transfers’ and ‘digital transfers’) via mobile devices and cards (such as prepaid, ATM, credit or debit cards). E-transfers work particularly well in urban areas, where there is often good financial infrastructure and relatively high literacy rates. Where financial services are weak or absent, cash can be distributed in envelopes, though this can pose risks for people receiving the cash and the entities transporting and distributing it.

Cash transfers emerged as an alternative to in-kind assistance because it does not make sense to distribute commodities that are available in local markets. They can also increase access to existing services. Humanitarian aid agencies can provide cash transfers for specific purposes – such as improving food security or access to shelter – or define objectives more broadly around supporting households to meet their basic needs. These types of transfers are sometimes referred to as ‘multipurpose cash grants’, as the intention is for households to use the transfer for multiple purposes that they choose, rather than specific ones determined by humanitarian agencies. However, money is inherently flexible, so households may use the marginal income from a cash transfer as they choose regardless of a programme’s objective.

Multipurpose cash may also provide a more integrated, multisectoral response. This is important in programming in complex urban areas (see for example Section 3.2 on ABAs).

Further information on multipurpose cash grants can be found at www.cashlearning.org/thematic-area/multipurpose-cash-assistance.

31 This section benefited greatly from the inputs of Sarah Bailey.

Vouchers

Vouchers are a token, digital credit or coupon exchanged for pre-identified goods at designated locations. Vouchers are appropriate when cash transfers are not feasible (for example because of government objections), to ensure the quality of goods (such as vouchers for shelter materials) or to link recipients to specific services, for example latrine desludging. In some cases aid agencies (and/or their donors) are more comfortable with vouchers as they can influence spending towards specific goods and services in line with their missions. However, vouchers limit recipients’ choices and require administrative work to identify, monitor and pay vendors, and some evaluations have found that the prices of goods are higher in voucher shops than in local markets. It is therefore important that vouchers are selected because they are the most appropriate way to meet people’s needs in a particular context, and not simply to control people’s spending in line with a humanitarian agency’s objectives.

Cash for work

Cash for work (CFW) entails paying recipients for undertaking a labour-based activity. CFW programmes can be considered when the aid agency has the necessary equipment and technical skills to supervise the work and adhere to legal regulations, public or community works are needed, the target population has the capacity to undertake the work and meaningful assets can be created and maintained. One criticism of such schemes is that they sometimes lead to poor-quality or unnecessary work.

Recent research by ALNAP on the use of CFW in earthquake response suggests that it can be appropriate, providing income to households and creating useful work, including drain and canal clearance and rubble removal. The report cites an example from Nepal following the earthquakes in 2015, where a UNDP CFW programme was used to ‘remove debris from public buildings, demolish private houses, and help rehabilitate local government offices’.

The study also notes concerns in implementing CFW programmes. Citing CFW after the Haiti earthquake, it notes problems including ‘a lack of preparation and capacity to implement on a large scale, a slow governmental approval process, and difficulties in identifying both


34 See International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement, Cash in Emergencies Toolkit, 2016 (http://rcmcash.org/).


the activities to conduct and the affected populations to participate. Other reports note opportunities for corruption (‘zombie crews’, where payments are made to non-existent workers) and examples of meaningless work. Careful consideration should also be given to the purpose of a CFW scheme. If it is to provide cash to households, then cash transfers should be preferred – don’t think that people need to ‘earn’ the money by undertaking work for the sake of it.

Safety nets

Safety net programmes identify vulnerable people with ongoing and regular support, usually to meet basic needs. Such approaches have been widely used in food-scarce locations and in situations of chronic poverty. In Ethiopia, for example, the Productive Safety Net Programme (PSNP) provides transfers of food, cash or a combination to chronically food-insecure households. Around 8 million people participate in the scheme. In Kenya, four major unconditional cash transfer programmes operate under the National Safety Net Programme (NSNP), providing monthly payments of between KES 2,000 and KES 2,700 (around $20–$30).

Conditionality

Any form of transfer (cash, voucher or in-kind) can be provided with conditions (conditional) or without (unconditional). Unconditional means that no action is required to receive the transfer. Conditional transfers require that households do something in order to receive the transfer (e.g. sending children to school). In some instances conditions are put in place for receiving instalments of large grants related to livelihoods recovery or shelter, such as rebuilding part of a shelter. Conditions are usually imposed to encourage behaviour change, which may not be appropriate during an emergency. Conditions are more common in social protection programmes than in humanitarian ones, such as large-scale conditional cash transfers in Latin America. Because CFW requires work to be completed, it is sometimes described in humanitarian operations as a conditional transfer.

3.3.2 Deciding when to use cash transfers

Decision-making on cash transfers in urban areas follows the same general criteria as any other response. The main questions are whether people can buy what they need safely at

---

38 Juillard and Jourdain, ALNAP Lessons Paper, p. 18.
Is the urban economy cash-based?  
Yes?  
Do the most vulnerable groups have access to markets?  
Yes?  
Are basic goods available for the most vulnerable groups in the urban markets that they usually access?  
Yes?  
Are urban financial institutions functioning?  
Yes?  
Are mobile phone, ATMs, internet and point-of-sale networks functioning?  
Yes?  
Are there security risks, such as riots or robbery, related to in-kind distributions or large gatherings of people in urban settings?  
Yes?

If yes to the preconditions, an urban cash transfer response is appropriate. Consideration of advantages of using different types of cash programming (grants, cash-for-work or vouchers) and transfer mechanisms is required.
reasonable prices, and whether money can be delivered efficiently, safely and accountably compared to other possible forms of assistance. The decision-making tree in Figure 3.2 highlights the kinds of responses that can be used based on the circumstances.

Reasons for using cash transfers in urban areas include:

- The cash-based nature of urban living, for example to buy food and pay rent.
- Providing cash supports local markets.
- Urban areas have the financial infrastructure and institutions for distributing transfers.
- In-kind distributions are likely to be more costly and difficult and may be less or not at all appropriate.

The potential risks and challenges of using cash transfers and vouchers in urban areas are similar to those elsewhere:

- Protection issues, such as security risks, and cultural barriers that prevent women from receiving transfers. Some groups, such as elderly or illiterate people, may find it difficult to access or spend transfers.
- Although generally better than in rural areas, e-transfer infrastructure in urban areas may still be limited – see the example from Niamey in Box 3.3.
- Lack of familiarity with the technology used to deliver transfers, such as mobile phones and ATM cards.

**Box 3.3 Challenges in mobile money transfers in Niamey**

One study of a cash transfer programme operated by Save the Children for refugees in the Nigerien capital Niamey found that ‘mobile phone delivery mechanisms could be more cost-efficient than the MFI [micro-finance institutions] mechanisms’. There were however significant set-up costs. According to the study, this was due to the need for training, given low levels of familiarity with mobile phone technology. ‘The study estimated that it would take 10 months to offset these costs.’


---

A ‘toolkit for practitioners’ identified the following recommendations for cash transfers in cities:

- Building trust with other urban stakeholders through partnerships and collaboration is key, especially in relation to government, which can act as both facilitator and gatekeeper of cash transfers.
- Effective private sector relationships are essential. Activities include the use of technology (such as mobile cash transfers) for effective and timely coverage.
- Design cash transfers to be flexible and aimed at a number of outcomes.
- Design cash transfers according to the regulatory environment.
- Advocacy is an essential programming tool. Advocacy around the use and benefits of cash transfers, for instance to host governments, can lead to more effective programmes and wider coverage.
- Combine cash transfers with other forms of support to meet basic needs, promote livelihoods and increase access to basic services (often referred to as cash plus).

Cash programmes in displacement contexts should always consider in their design host populations, who may be at the same level of poverty as (or poorer than) displaced people (see the example in Box 3.5 from Jordan). The aim should be to reduce tensions between host and displaced groups. The provision of cash transfers and vouchers in humanitarian responses and in social protection programmes has created opportunities for stronger links between the two; in the Philippines and Nepal, for instance, aid agencies have ‘topped up’ existing social assistance transfers to people affected by disaster, and in Mali...
features have been tweaked to align with social protection programmes. The government-led safety net programmes in Ethiopia and Kenya mentioned above provide higher transfer values and reach more people in response to worsening food insecurity.

3.3.3 Markets and market analysis

Markets here are taken to mean where providers exchange goods and services with purchasers. Markets are both physical and non-physical spaces; they can be formal and informal, i.e. where people sell goods without paying government taxes. Informal markets in low- and middle-income countries are often substantial.

Markets may be affected by the disaster or crisis, and understanding them is important in designing and implementing humanitarian interventions. As one study notes:

*Market awareness should be integral in humanitarian aid decision-making, in all sectors and regardless of the modalities of intervention being considered. Trying to understand the impacts of a crisis without understanding how a market has been and will be affected is not to see the whole picture.*


---

IRC’s cash transfer programme in the cities of Mafraq, Irbid and Ramtha in Jordan provides three types of funding to assist both Syrian refugees and Jordanian households: unconditional payments for six months for vulnerable women, a one-off winterisation payment for families and a one-off emergency payment for those especially vulnerable. Cash is distributed via ATMs and through the hawala system, ‘a method of transferring money whereby an agent in one location distributes funds to recipients upon confirmation that equivalent funds have been received by his/her associate in another location’. Additional support includes counselling and discussion groups on managing money. A financial management training course helps with developing business plans, with viable proposals eligible for start-up grants.


---


**Driving questions**

**DQ 1.1** Which markets should be focused on?

**DQ 1.2** How are markets structured?

**DQ 1.3** What is the impact on the population’s access to markets?

**DQ 1.4** What is the capacity of traders to respond?

**DQ 1.5** Do market actors behave competitively?

**DQ 1.6** Do macro factors influence the market’s capacity to respond?

**DQ 5.1** Which market information is relevant when developing a baseline and predicting the likely effects of a chosen scenario?

**DQ 5.2** How can market information help to identify potential responses?

**DQ 5.3** How can market information help analyse the feasibility of response options?
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Driving questions

**DQ 3.1** Which prices need to be monitored and how?

**DQ 3.2** Have prices significantly changed and why?

**DQ 3.3** How to respond to significant price changes?

**DQ 4.1** Which market systems have been most affected by the project?

**DQ 4.2** Which actors have been affected by the project?

**DQ 4.3** What has been the impact of the project on the primary beneficiaries and their access to the market?

**DQ 4.4** What has been the general impact of the project on traders?

**DQ 5.3** Which market-specific preparedness measures should be put in place?

**Tools**

| Tool 3.1: Check-list on secondary data |
| Tool 3.2: Check-list for field monitors |
| Tool 3.3: Organising primary price data |
| Tool 3.4: Analysing price changes |
| Tool 3.5: Responding to price changes |
| Tool 4.1: Checklist for interviews with beneficiaries |
| Tool 4.2: Market mapping |
| Tool 4.3: Checklist for focus group discussions with beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries |
| Tool 4.4: Checklist for focus group discussions and interviews with traders |
| Tool 5.1: Partner selection |
| Tool 5.2: Contract template |

Driving questions

**Driving questions**

**Evaluation**

**Price monitoring**

**Driving questions**

**DQ 3.1** Which prices need to be monitored and how?

**DQ 3.2** Have prices significantly changed and why?

**DQ 3.3** How to respond to significant price changes?

**DQ 4.1** Which market systems have been most affected by the project?

**DQ 4.2** Which actors have been affected by the project?

**DQ 4.3** What has been the impact of the project on the primary beneficiaries and their access to the market?

**DQ 4.4** What has been the general impact of the project on traders?

**Driving questions**

**DQ 5.3** Which market-specific preparedness measures should be put in place?
In recent years a number of market assessment tools have been developed to help agencies understand markets, and how and where their interventions can be most effective. Often this is linked to sectoral interventions, for example where purchases can be made and at what point in a supply chain to intervene, for instance with shelter provision. Examples of market analysis tools include:

- ICRC’s Market Analysis Guidance (MAG) ‘provides processes and tools aimed at integrating market analysis into the different phases of the project cycle’ (see Figure 3.3, pages 100 and 101).
- The Cash Learning Partnership (CaLP)’s minimum requirements for market analysis identifies four areas: ‘scope of the assessment, analysis, data collection, monitoring and ensuring validity of data’.
- The Emergency Market Mapping and Analysis (EMMA) Toolkit, developed by Practical Action Consulting, IRC and Oxfam, has been widely used in a number of rapid-onset emergencies and protracted crises (see Box 3.6). Examples of the use of EMMA in urban areas can be found at www.emma-toolkit.org/tags/urban.

Further information on markets and crises can be found at the Markets in Crises discussion group (https://dgroups.org/dfid/mic).

**Box 3.6 Using EMMA in Lebanon**

EMMA was used in a rapid assessment in the North and Bekaa Governorates of Lebanon to understand how refugees and host communities use markets to earn money, and how interventions could be designed that supported livelihood opportunities for both populations. The analysis looked at labour markets, in particular construction, the service sector and agricultural labour.

The analysis concluded that ‘Overall … the construction labor market system has been drastically impacted by the crisis in Syria and that income opportunities for refugees or host communities in construction are very limited. In the current context where the supply of labor (from refugees, Syrian migrants, and Lebanese workers) exceeds the demand for workers, it is not feasible to promote large-scale construction-oriented livelihood programs’. The report however provided recommendations concerning programmes, including ‘link[ing] refugees and Lebanese with employment services and trainings providing the necessary tools to identify and qualify for income-earning possibilities’.

In summary, using cash in urban humanitarian response seems an easy decision: people living in cities survive largely by buying goods, and markets abound. The recent surge in cash programming bears testimony to the benefits of this approach in terms of lower transaction costs, increased agency for the people receiving cash assistance and the benefits to local economies. Of course cash, like any other approach, is not a panacea and has its limitations (cash programming in shelter recovery, for instance, can be questioned if technical assistance is reduced as a result). Where cash has been used well in urban areas, it has been part of a larger coordinated programme of recovery, and has been accompanied by good market analysis.

### Useful resources


The Cash Learning Partnership (www.cashlearning.org/resources/library).


International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement, *Cash in Emergencies Toolkit*, 2016 (http://rcmcash.org/).


### 3.4 Geospatial analysis and mapping

Geospatial analysis – often translated into maps – to identify locations, concentrations of people and transport routes, among other things, has been used widely in urban humanitarian response for some time.\(^{49}\) More recently, geographic information systems

(GIS) have provided additional analytical capability to crisis response, combining and visualising spatial data in new ways.\textsuperscript{50}

This section\textsuperscript{51} discusses the development and use of GIS and mapping in humanitarian action. Examples are provided from Haiti, Guinea, Iraq, Syria and Nepal. This section links closely to a number of others, in particular assessments and profiling, which use mapping to visualise data (Section 3.6).

### 3.4.1 GIS and mapping

One of the biggest challenges for humanitarian action has been the lack of up-to-date and accurate maps to guide decision-making. This has changed radically as spatial data has entered the mainstream through the increasing availability of remote sensing (primarily satellite) imagery, the digitisation of a wide range of maps and the arrival of the Global Positioning System (GPS) into civilian use. In urban areas, providing coordinated management information linked to space, density and access is of course especially helpful.

As the internet has enabled people to connect to commercial services – most obviously those provided through mobile phone networks, such as Google Maps and Uber – geospatial for analysis has become useful, not just for technical specialists working in GIS, but also for the general public. This became clear in the response to the 2010 Haiti earthquake, when geospatial tools combined with networked communities to enable the real-time updating of visual information that involved affected communities themselves.

Advances in mapping using geospatial analysis allow humanitarian actors to assess, plan, target and coordinate assistance more effectively and efficiently. Recent research\textsuperscript{52} identifies three particular applications: improving situational awareness – knowing which organisations are operating in specific areas, what they’re doing and how to contact them; needs assessment – where ‘a single, integrated, localized overview of needs across all sectors’ can be achieved; and operational circumstances – ‘knowing the available resources in the region, and logistical options to deliver aid and mobilise these resources’. These are illustrated in the example in Box 3.7.

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{50} GIS was first used in the humanitarian sector in mine action, and has also been used in the health sector, particularly for epidemiology.

\textsuperscript{51} This section benefited from inputs by Paul Currion.

\end{footnotesize}
Box 3.7 Ushahidi and crisis mapping

The Ushahidi platform was one of the first to take advantage of the spread of the internet to enhance mapping capabilities. It was created in Kenya as a means of monitoring violence during elections in 2008, but its deployment in Haiti following the 2010 earthquake brought it to wider public attention and helped people recognise the potential of crisis mapping. Ushahidi was successful because it:

- Filled information gaps during the first days and weeks, before the UN and large organisations were operational.
- Provided situational information for small NGOs that did not have field presence.
- Helped small privately funded responses to target needs (through having access to up-to-date information).
- Provided situational awareness with a geographic precision lacking in other tools available to the public.
- Directly engaged Haitians and the Haitian diaspora.

Figure 3.4 illustrates the kind of information that was mapped, including infrastructure damage, hazards and security threats.

Source: Ushahidi (www.ushahidi.com/).

Figure 3.4 Map showing camps and destroyed housing
3.4.2 Crisis mapping

Crisis mapping is undertaken by a wide range of organisations. These include NGOs providing mapping services and staff in humanitarian emergencies, for example MapAction (https://mapaction.org/) and CartONG (https://cartong.org), as well as volunteers working remotely from around the world to support responses, such as the Standby Task Force (www.standbytaskforce.org). Crisis mapping helps organisations to coordinate better as maps can provide a shared awareness of who is doing what, where and when (often referred to as ‘4W’).

Crisis mapping has expanded to include new data sources. Building on map data and satellite imagery, crisis mapping also includes crowd-sourced information and data collected by drones. Geospatial analysis of this kind is not exclusive to urban response, but there tends to be more spatial data covering a denser population over a wider range of sectors in urban areas. Both residents and responders also have access to and experience of richer communication technologies than in rural areas, creating more potential, both for faster and wider data collection, and for analysis to inform decision-making.

The diversity of crisis mapping initiatives can be seen in Table 3.1, which shows a sample of crisis mapping efforts between 2010 and 2011; there have been many more such initiatives since then. Because of the grassroots nature of many of these projects, there is often little continuity between them, and it has been difficult to track them and learn from them.

3.4.3 Crisis mapping in urban conflict contexts

Mapping is especially helpful in conflict contexts to track changes in security conditions, protection issues, ‘no-go areas’ and population movements. The Urban Analysis Network Syria (UrbAN-S) project, operated by the Joint IDP Profiling Service (JIPS), uses mapping as a key element in its profiling. JIPS is working with a number of partners, including iMMAP and Mercy Corps, ‘to provide up-to-date and holistic analyses of critically-affected cities in Syria’, with the aim of providing usable information to local government, NGOs and UN organisations. The project uses an area-based approach (see Section 3.2) to gather and present information, including damage assessments and city profiles (see Section 3.6).

---

53 Many of these organisations are members of the International Network of Crisis Mappers: http://crisismapping.ning.com/.


55 See www.jips.org/jips-country/syrian-arab-republic/.

56 See https://immap.org/.
### Table 3.1 Crisis mapping initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>categories/haiti</td>
<td>content/about-us</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis</td>
<td>Earthquake</td>
<td>Deep-sea oil rig explosion</td>
<td>Wildfires</td>
<td>Earthquake/tsunami</td>
<td>Political crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiator</td>
<td>Individual/organisation</td>
<td>Grass roots (Louisiana Bucket Brigade (LABB))</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Individual/organisation</td>
<td>Intergovernmental organisation (OCHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main partners</td>
<td>Emergency Information Service (EIS), InSTEDD, Ushahidi, Haitian telcos, Tufts University, US State Department</td>
<td>Tulane University Disaster Resilience Academy</td>
<td>Russian bloggers</td>
<td>Georepublic Japan, OpenStreetMap Foundation Japan</td>
<td>UNOSAT, NetHope, volunteer technical community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aim of the map</td>
<td>Report emergencies, public health issues, security threats, infrastructure damage, natural hazards, services</td>
<td>Track oil spill effects and response, provide visible testimony of community impacts</td>
<td>To link those who need help with those who want to help, listed assistance centres</td>
<td>Reports and notices from public and private officials, news on disasters, evacuation centres and requests for help</td>
<td>Track conflict events (armed confrontations, attacks, etc.), list needs and responses, track mass displacements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who used the map</td>
<td>Emergency responders, diaspora communities, media, government officials</td>
<td>Local stakeholders (citizens, universities, businesses, etc.), media</td>
<td>Local stakeholders (those needing and offering help), media</td>
<td>Local stakeholders, diaspora community, public and private actors, media</td>
<td>Emergency responders, diaspora community, government officials, media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of the map</td>
<td>Test-ground for crisis mapping, better maps of Haiti, reference point for crisis responders</td>
<td>Provided public insight and accountability, information on clean-up efforts</td>
<td>Delivery of relief</td>
<td>Go-to map for corporations, government and organisations, created transparency in crisis relief</td>
<td>Increased situational awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of government</td>
<td>Core partner (US government)</td>
<td>Not directly involved, aware of the map, provided information</td>
<td>Not directly involved; after crisis, Civic Chamber of Russian Federation became involved</td>
<td>Not involved initially, became involved by submitting reports</td>
<td>Intergovernmental body (UN) involved and led the effort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Center for Security Studies (CSS), ETH Zurich.
Two examples of crisis mapping, in relation to the Ebola response in West Africa and in the response to the 2015 earthquakes in Nepal, are given in Box 3.8.

**Box 3.8  Crisis mapping in West Africa and Nepal**

**Humanitarian Open Street Map Team and the Ebola response**

The Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team (HOT) developed from an informal group of volunteers into a registered non-profit organisation with full-time staff. HOT has built a volunteer community providing map data for humanitarian response based on OpenStreetMap (OSM) (www.openstreetmap.org).

HOT was active during the 2014 Ebola response in West Africa. MSF-Switzerland deployed a GIS Officer to Guinea and acquired satellite images, but decided to outsource the creation of detailed maps of cities and major roads to HOT. Within three days, 244 volunteers had mapped three priority cities, and within five they had mapped more than 90,000 buildings, which would not have been possible for the GIS Officer working alone. A review of HOT’s work found that it had been valuable in the Ebola response, particularly where data could be used by decision-makers to allocate resources.

HOT has partnered with MSF and the American and British Red Cross Societies to launch the Missing Maps Project (www.missingmaps.org/), an open, collaborative project whereby members of the public help to map areas where humanitarian organisations are responding to crises. HOT has also moved beyond crisis mapping into community-based mapping run by implementing organisations. This is part of a wider trend as networked technology has enabled local communities to engage with crisis response in the same way as international actors, and facilitated coordination between actors inside and outside the crisis area, where spatial data becomes a useful focal point for coordination.


---

57 See www.hotosm.org/.

Figure 3.5 (page 110) provides an example from the Global Shelter Cluster of mapping in Mosul in Iraq.

In summary, recent developments in geospatial technology provide valuable ways of visualising data in spatial terms. This is particularly helpful in urban contexts, which are often defined and described in physical and spatial ways. Such information can promote coordination efforts, as witnessed by the presence of maps on the dashboards of a number of cluster websites. It also provides a simplified visualisation of complex data for use by a wide range of actors, not least affected people themselves. Presenting maps digitally also allows for quick updates that can keep up with the fast-changing conditions typical of crises and post-disaster contexts.

Box 3.8 (continued)

Post-earthquake crisis mapping in Kathmandu

In response to the 2015 earthquakes that struck Nepal, Kathmandu Living Labs (KLL) launched the QuakeMap website to enable users to report their needs to emergency organisations. KLL worked with other organisations, including HOT, to understand what maps were needed for earthquake relief; online volunteers around the world used post-quake satellite images to update pre-quake maps, while KLL staff scraped images of damage from social media and mapped the damaged city on foot. QuakeMap was used by search and rescue teams, emergency services, international relief agencies and the Nepalese army, which downloaded reports from QuakeMap every two hours, passed on requests for assistance to their relief division, verified reports and updated the database once problems were resolved. QuakeMap closed to new reports in July 2015, but KLL continued to work on mapping projects, as well as becoming involved in other data collection projects related to disaster risk management in Nepal.

For a further description of KLL’s work following the earthquakes, as well as other organisations, see J. McMurren et al., Nepal: Open Data to Improve Disaster Relief (London: ODI, 2017) (http://odimpact.org/files/case-nepal.pdf).

Figure 3.5 (page 110) provides an example from the Global Shelter Cluster of mapping in Mosul in Iraq.

In summary, recent developments in geospatial technology provide valuable ways of visualising data in spatial terms. This is particularly helpful in urban contexts, which are often defined and described in physical and spatial ways. Such information can promote coordination efforts, as witnessed by the presence of maps on the dashboards of a number of cluster websites. It also provides a simplified visualisation of complex data for use by a wide range of actors, not least affected people themselves. Presenting maps digitally also allows for quick updates that can keep up with the fast-changing conditions typical of crises and post-disaster contexts.

59 KLL is a not-for-profit civic tech company founded in 2013 following a World Bank project mapping critical geographical and infrastructural features in Nepal on OpenStreetMap. See www.kathmandulivinglabs.org/.

60 QuakeMap.org: www.kathmandulivinglabs.org/projects/quakemaporg.

Figure 3.5 Locations of shelter and non-food items, Mosul, Iraq, 2017

Source: www.sheltercluster.org/sites/default/files/docs/irq_3w_shelter_nfi_mosulresponse_10oct2017_0.pdf.
3.5 Context analysis

Context can be defined as ‘the environment and circumstances within which something happens and which can help to explain it’. The aim of context analysis is to ‘help humanitarian actors have a better understanding of the dynamics in a given setting’. To these ends, while closely related to other forms of analysis, such as needs and vulnerability assessment, context analysis is more to do with understanding the unique aspects of a specific location, rather than the consequences of a disaster or conflict.

Useful resources

City72 Toolkit (http://toolkit.sf72.org/map/).


‘OpenStreetMap in Humanitarian Response’ (www.preparecenter.org/content/openstreetmap-humanitarian-response).

---


This section defines and discusses context analysis. It reviews a number of context analysis tools and outlines stakeholder analysis, network analysis, conflict analysis and governance analysis. The section provides links to other sources of information and further resources. It links closely to other sections, in particular assessments and profiling (Section 3.6) and monitoring and evaluation (Section 3.10).

### 3.5.1 Different forms of context analysis

There are many kinds of context analysis, depending on what is being analysed. Examples include stakeholder analysis, market analysis, such as the Emergency Market Mapping and Analysis (EMMA) Toolkit, political analysis, governance analysis and conflict analysis. There are also many different tools for each form of analysis: concerning conflict analysis alone, one recent review found ‘literally dozens of conflict analysis tools’.

---

64. This section benefited in particular from inputs by Leah Campbell of ALNAP.

65. EMMA is ‘an approach to assessing market systems in post-emergency contexts that aims to improve emergency responses by encouraging and assisting relief agencies to better understand, support and make use of local market-systems in disaster zones’. See [www.emma-toolkit.org/](http://www.emma-toolkit.org/). See also Section 3.3 on cash and markets.
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IRC’s *Urban Context Analysis Toolkit*, created specifically for urban situations, aims to ‘enable users to appreciate stakeholders, existing power relations, resource distribution, governance and legal frameworks, sources of livelihoods, social networks, and access to services’. The toolkit covers: approaches to stakeholder analysis; opportunities to strengthen existing or future programming; and identifying entry points for programming and risk mitigation strategies. See http://pubs.iied.org/10819IIED.

3.5.2 Stakeholder analysis

Many humanitarian organisations use stakeholder analysis in some form in their programming. Stakeholder analysis can be a ‘context tool’, but only if it is used to better understand the range of actors relevant, not just to one project, but to the context itself.
With this in mind, stakeholder analysis may be best described as ‘an approach, a tool or set of tools for generating knowledge about actors – individuals and organizations – so as to understand their behaviour, intentions, inter-relations and interests; and for assessing the influence and resources they bring to decision-making or implementation processes’. Stakeholder analysis helps in understanding the interests and capacities of these actors, and the relationships (including conflicts, power dynamics and networks) between them (the range of urban stakeholders is discussed further in Section 1.5 on urban actors).

3.5.3 Network analysis

Social networks describe the connections between individuals, for example within organisations and communities. Social Network Analysis (SNA) is the process of mapping these relationships. SNA can help humanitarian organisations understand patterns of interaction between stakeholders, as well as a possible lack of connections. Impact Initiatives is developing a toolkit specifically for urban analysis, which uses SNA to identify key informants who can provide contextual information about a specific area. See www.impact-initiatives.org/settlement-based-assessment-and-analysis-in-contexts-of-displacement.

Further information about area-based approaches can be found in Section 3.2.

Figure 3.7 gives an example of the results of a stakeholder analysis from Sierra Leone.

3.5.4 Conflict analysis

Conflict analysis considers the ‘broader range of issues which contribute to a conflict situation and within which it occurs’. An example of conflict analysis is the use of Participatory Violence Appraisal (PVA), which aims to identify ‘both tipping points of particular types of violence as well as violence chains, through the voices of local people themselves’. Examples of conflict analysis can be found at www.wvi.org/peacebuilding-and-conflict-sensitivity/publication/good-enough-context-analysis-rapid-response. For an example of the use of conflict analysis in DRC see www.alnap.org/system/files/content/resource/files/main/GECARR_DRC-CaseStudy.


68 IRC, Urban Context Analysis Toolkit.

69 Ibid.


Figure 3.7 Stakeholder analysis in Sierra Leone

3.5.5 Governance analysis

Governance analysis aims to ‘understand the range of structures, institutions and stakeholders/actors that have influence over responses to an urban crisis and the power dynamics at play within and between formal and informal institutions’.\(^{72}\) Commonly used in the development sector, governance analysis is understood here as an umbrella term encompassing institutional and social analysis, power analysis and political economy analysis. Governance analysis is often used at macro (national) levels,\(^ {73}\) but can also be used at other scales. Like the other kinds of context tools discussed here, governance analysis looks at trends and seeks to ‘move beyond a description of symptoms, and to understand the underlying causes’.\(^ {74}\)


3.6 Assessments and profiling

Assessments provide the basis on which many of the key decisions in an emergency are made, and as such are a vital part of emergency response. Similarly, profiling is aimed at understanding the needs of displaced people, usually in conflict settings. Undertaking assessments and profiling in urban areas in relation to conflict and disasters is complex for many reasons: density, spread, sheer numbers of people, ‘hidden’ vulnerability (where people may prefer to remain invisible), and existing high levels of poverty, which makes it

---


difficult to identify people in need specifically due to humanitarian circumstances (such as IDPs and refugees in protracted crises).

In recent years, aid agencies and academics have done a considerable amount of work to improve practice and knowledge in urban assessment and profiling. This section discusses multi-sectoral and single-sector assessments, identifies a number of sector-specific urban assessment toolkits, and reviews profiling in urban displacement. The section ends by referencing assessments relating to urban violence. This section closely links to the sections on targeting and response analysis and context analysis. It also relates to Section 3.4 on geospatial analysis and mapping.

### 3.6.1 Multi-sectoral assessments

A 2017 systematic review of best practice in urban assessments recommends taking a multi-sectoral approach:

> **Sector-based vulnerability analyses and targeting approaches are ill suited to complex urban crises, where needs are interrelated. A population’s needs for shelter, WaSH, health, food security and livelihoods do not exist in isolation from one another. Rather, needs interact to shape vulnerability, and must thus be met with a multi-sectoral approach to guide targeting.**

Taking a multi-sectoral approach in urban areas is also recommended in IFRC’s shelter assessment guidelines. There are however concerns about multi-sectoral assessments. For instance, in addressing shelter, there is a risk that needs are reduced ‘purely to the number of damaged buildings’, and that ‘Nuances such as markets analysis, tenure needs and spatial uses are therefore lost’ (single-sector assessments are discussed further below).

A number of multi-sectoral assessment toolkits are available. Urban-specific toolkits include the Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)’s urban multi-sector vulnerability assessment tool (UMVAT). This is used in displacement contexts and includes advice on initial assessment planning, tool contextualisation, data analysis and report writing. Tools include: a multi-sector questionnaire for use in KoBo Toolbox for mobile devices; a guidance

---


78 See http://pubs.iied.org/10823IIED/?k=USA.
document (including assessment methodology, sampling techniques, aspects to consider during contextualisation, data collection techniques and approaches to trend and data analysis); focus group discussion and key informant checklists; and training materials. See http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/10823IIED.pdf.

Another source of urban-specific technical guidance is ACAPS’ *Rapid Humanitarian Assessment in Urban Settings*, which covers research questions and approaches according to a number of urban themes. See www.alnap.org/resource/20125.

Other multi-sectoral assessment toolkits that have an urban application include:

- Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (VCA), a longstanding approach which ‘uses various participatory tools to gauge people’s exposure to and capacity to resist natural hazards’. VCA draws on participatory methodologies such as Participatory Rapid Appraisal (PRA). While often used in rural areas, VCA has strong application in urban settlements. IFRC’s manual *Integrating Climate Change and Urban Risks into the VCA* provides useful guidance and steps for conducting urban VCAs. See www.ifrc.org/Global/Publications/disasters/reducing_risks/VCA/1260200-VCA-EN-LR2.pdf.

- UNHCR’s *Emergency Handbook* is an online, easy-to-navigate source of information and links. The handbook provides urban-oriented information on assessments (albeit of a rather general nature) according to sectors. See https://emergency.unhcr.org.

- The IASC’s Multi Cluster/Sector Initial Rapid Assessment (MIRA), which is intended to be undertaken within the first two weeks of a disaster. The aim is to enable humanitarian actors to ‘develop a joint strategic plan, mobilise resources and monitor the situation and the response’. There are five stages to the MIRA process: 1. Initiation; 2. Secondary data analysis; 3. Community-level assessments; 4. Analysis; and 5. Dissemination. See https://interagencystandingcommittee.org/iasc-transformative-agenda/documents-public/multi-cluster-sector-initial-rapid-assessment-mira-manual.

3.6.2 Sector-specific urban assessment toolkits

A number of sectors are ‘urbanising’ their approaches. For example the Food Security and Livelihoods in Urban Settings Working Group has produced a number of guidance documents on conducting food security assessments in urban areas, piloting new approaches in a number of cities including Harare, Guatemala City and Kinshasa. See https://fscluster.org/food-security-and-livelihoods-urban/workinggroup/food-security-and-livelihoods-urban.

---

79 VCA is widely used by the IFRC and Red Cross Red Crescent National Societies: see www.ifrc.org/vca. It is based on Anderson and Woodrow’s work in developing a capacity and vulnerability analysis (CVA) tool. See M. Anderson and P. Woodrow, *Rising from the Ashes: Development Strategies in Times of Disaster* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1989).

A number of learning products have emerged around the use of cash and assessments. Although developed primarily as a guide to implementing cash transfers in urban contexts, *Cash Transfer Programming in Urban Emergencies*, published by the Cash Learning Partnership (CaLP), includes advice on how existing assessment tools can be applied to urban contexts. See [www.cashlearning.org/downloads/resources/calp/CaLP_Urban_Toolkit_web.pdf](http://www.cashlearning.org/downloads/resources/calp/CaLP_Urban_Toolkit_web.pdf).

### 3.6.3 Profiling in urban displacement

Profiling can be defined as ‘The collaborative process of identifying internally displaced groups or individuals through data collection, including counting, and analysis, in order to take action to advocate on their behalf, to protect and assist them and, eventually, to help bring about a solution to their displacement’.\(^\text{82}\)

In urban areas, profiling has been used to ‘obtain better information about the range of experiences, needs and capacities of the displaced, their host families and their non-displaced neighbours in urban settings’.\(^\text{83}\) The Global Alliance for Urban Crises identifies six elements of urban profiling:\(^\text{84}\)

---

**Box 3.10 The Syria Needs Analysis Project**

The Syria Needs Analysis Project (SNAP) was undertaken by ACAPS and MapAction from 2012 to 2015. The aim was to provide information to operational agencies working with Syrian refugees living in urban areas of Jordan. Particular challenges included limited information and a fast-changing situation. In this context, SNAP aimed to contribute to improved targeting and more efficient responses by providing capacity-building and technical support for undertaking assessments. Key activities included secondary data reviews within multi-sector needs analyses, producing scenarios relating to different political and conflict-related outcomes (in order to enable better planning) and analysis of sectors.


---

81 Cross and Johnston, *Cash Transfer Programming in Urban Emergencies* ([www.urban-response.org/resource/7056](http://www.urban-response.org/resource/7056)).


1. The use of spatial analysis, wherein ‘urban conditions are analyzed at granular levels to be able to understand the specific challenges of different neighborhoods and the types of short and long-term responses required’.

2. Prioritising a people-centred approach – ‘The needs of specific population groups must be analyzed both on their own and in relation to the urban population as a whole’.

3. Recognising change over time, i.e. noting history and ‘Comparing present vulnerabilities with past conditions’.

4. Analyse the entirety of a city, noting in particular the interlinked nature of systems.

5. Collaborative action ‘for a more coherent and coordinated response’.

6. Local ownership: ‘Bringing in local stakeholders both as drivers of the process as well as conveners of expertise can vastly enrich the usefulness of the data and the quality of the analysis’.

For further discussion and elaboration and lessons from practice, see P. Sitko and A. Massella, *Urban Profiling For Better Responses To Humanitarian Crises*, GAUC, 2019 (http://urbancrises.org/resource-library/).

Undertaking a profiling exercise can be expensive and complex. Clarity among actors on the purpose and scope of the work is important. One study found that, in profiling undertaken in the Middle East, ‘many of the people involved did not realise at the beginning that the extensive data-collection and analysis exercises undertaken would not directly result in a useable targeting tool’ leading to ‘widespread frustration at the perceived slowness of the process’.85 Supporters of profiling argue that it is necessary in order to better tailor responses to assist displaced people in complex urban environments. According to the Joint IDP Profiling Service (JIPS), profiling comprises:

- A process of data gathering, beginning with building consensus on what needs to be gathered and how, with a validation at the end by relevant stakeholders.
- Collaboration is important, among key stakeholders such as government and NGOs. In this respect, profiling is an important means of engaging with affected communities.86


Comparing displaced and non-displaced communities in order to improve targeting.

Data is disaggregated, e.g. by location, gender and age.

JIPS is an inter-agency service established in 2009 to provide technical support to government, humanitarian and development actors seeking to improve their information about internally displaced populations. See www.jips.org/.

Profiling toolkits include:

- The JIPS Essential Toolkit (JET) provides online tools including questionnaires, data collection and data analysis approaches for profiling. See https://jet.jips.org/.
- Developing a Profiling Methodology for Displaced People in Urban Areas\(^\text{87}\) provides profiling tools and training modules for use by implementing organisations.
- The Profiling and Assessment Resource Kit (PARK) is an online database of documents, tools and guidelines for profiling and joint assessment activities. See www.parkdatabase.org/.
- UN-Habitat’s City Resilience Profiling Programme (CRPP) ‘focuses on providing national and local governments with tools for measuring and increasing resilience to multi-hazard impacts, including those associated with climate change’. The aim is to ‘develop a comprehensive and integrated urban planning and management approach for profiling and monitoring the resilience of any city to all plausible hazards’. See https://unhabitat.org/urban-initiatives/initiatives-programmes/city-resilience-profiling-programme/. UN-Habitat’s City Resilience Profiling Tool can be found at http://urbanresiliencehub.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/CRPT-Guide.pdf.

---


---

**Box 3.11 Profiling refugee groups and local communities in Delhi**

In 2013, JIPS and the Feinstein International Center undertook a profiling exercise of Afghan, Burmese, Somali and Indian households in the same neighbourhoods in the Indian capital Delhi. The aim was to identify differences in vulnerabilities. The findings indicated that vulnerabilities were indeed different. For example, Burmese and Somali refugees had more difficulty finding housing and jobs than Afghans and Indians.

3.6.4 Undertaking assessments relating to urban violence

Assessments in relation to urban violence inevitably need careful planning. The ALNAP Lessons Paper *Humanitarian Interventions in Situations of Urban Violence* recommends and discusses a number of steps, such as the need to carefully assess local needs and strengths, to conduct repeat assessments and to use a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods. See also Section 1.2.2 on urban violence.

In summary, assessments are vital to effective programming in post-disaster and conflict situations. A range of tools exist, and more are almost certainly on the way as knowledge and expertise in urban programming continue to develop. Multi-sectoral assessments are considered good practice, though these may not always be the ‘right’ approach in every context. The visualisation of data through maps and spatial analysis is an important aspect. Profiling is also increasingly gaining recognition as a valuable tool for ‘rooting’ humanitarian action within specific contexts.

3.7 Targeting

Targeting is used to direct limited humanitarian resources to those who need them most. It is closely associated with response analysis, context analysis and assessments and profiling, which are discussed in other sections of this GPR.

This section defines urban targeting, identifies urban targeting challenges and discusses targeting methods. The section ends with the steps involved in urban targeting approaches. An example is given of using cash-based indicators for urban targeting.

3.7.1 Defining targeting and targeting challenges

Targeting can be defined as ‘the process by which individuals or groups are identified and selected for humanitarian assistance programmes, based on their needs and vulnerability. It is a way to focus limited resources on those within the population that would most benefit from support’.  

Targeting in urban areas is fraught with complexity. Vulnerability may well be hidden – those seemingly living well may be in chronic debt, or unable to sustain livelihoods (see the example from Syria in Box 3.10). Conversely, those who may appear to be vulnerable...
may well not be (a single-headed, unemployed household may be receiving remittances, for instance). Accurate baseline vulnerability data may not be available, which can exacerbate the challenge of distinguishing between those with humanitarian needs from those who are in chronic need (for example the chronically poor). In adhering to a strict interpretation of humanitarian need (in order to ‘focus limited resources on those within the population that would most benefit from support’, as noted in the definition above), one review\(^90\) recommends that clear targeting objectives are essential: ‘Many high-risk and rapidly growing urban environments are characterized by widespread need and endemic problems and deficits of development in the absence of any acute crisis. Targeting vulnerable populations in such urban areas affected by crises cannot be an open invitation to permanent missions or demand that all pre-existing needs or deficits of development are met. Clear objectives and exit strategies must be employed’.\(^91\)

A 2017 guidance note, *Targeting in Urban Displacement Contexts*,\(^92\) makes the following useful observations concerning urban targeting. First, that targeting is imperfect: ‘all [targeting activities] will generate errors of inclusion and exclusion’. Second, that targeting requires trade-offs, for example in cost and coverage, and in the time required to undertake targeting and the quality of information gathered. Third, that it is important to remain pragmatic – ‘practitioners should select the mechanism that allows for the rationing and prioritisation of assistance to meet needs as quickly, fairly and transparently as possible’. Fourth, to use mixed methods – ‘given the scale of need and the limitations of each targeting mechanism, it is considered best practice to use more than one targeting mechanism in combination so as to reduce errors and further prioritise resources’.

Concerning displacement situations, one study on urban targeting\(^93\) identifies the following challenges:

- The complex nature and heterogeneity of urban vulnerability – there is no easy distinction between ‘the vulnerable’ and those who are ‘not vulnerable’: most households may be considered vulnerable to varying degrees.
- Accurate data can be limited, biased or non-existent, for instance where a municipality has poor records or there have been recent population movements or rapid

---


\(^91\) Ibid., p. 32.

\(^92\) Smith, Mohiddin and Phelps, *Targeting in Urban Displacement Contexts*.

\(^93\) Ibid.
population growth. Records concerning informal settlements in particular may be missing or inaccurate.

- Extensive chronic poverty – for example, many people live below the Sphere minimum standards, for instance regarding water availability (see Section 3.1 on standards) even without a crisis.
- Targeting and assessments may be open to manipulation (see Section 2.2 on corruption).
- Urban populations are often fluid, for example because of seasonal rural-to-urban migration, intra-urban migration and rapid population growth.

3.7.2 Methods for targeting

A systematic review looking at best practice in urban assessments\(^94\) identified the following methods for targeting vulnerable urban populations affected by conflict, disasters and displacement.

Targeting by displacement or disaster-affected status, i.e. IDPs or refugees versus host populations. This approach can be problematic as it may create resentment, for instance among people not assisted. Evidence suggests that both host and refugee populations should be included given the prevalence of extensive chronic urban poverty, which often leads to similar vulnerabilities among both groups.\(^95\)

Using locally derived assessment tools, incorporating local voices, leading to context-specific indicators that may be more precise and accurate. Recognising the complexity and heterogeneity of urban areas, the review concludes that ‘Evidence suggests locally contextualized tools may represent best practice going forward’.\(^96\) It is noted, however, that this takes time and is resource-intensive. One example of such an approach\(^97\) is from Ethiopia, where a screening tool was developed to rapidly identify female refugee survivors of GBV in order to target services better. The tool was developed through interviews and focus group discussions.

\(^{94}\) Patel et al., *What Are the Practices to Identify and Prioritize Vulnerable Populations Affected by Urban Humanitarian Emergencies?*


\(^{96}\) Patel et al., *What Are the Practices to Identify and Prioritize Vulnerable Populations Affected by Urban Humanitarian Emergencies?*, p. 31.

Targeting by demographic category, such as gender, age, ability and ethnicity. While there are benefits of such an approach in terms of transparency and ease, entire groups who may be vulnerable can be overlooked. For example, ‘In Syria, unaccompanied Iraqi men living in Damascus were not considered for resettlement, despite lacking family or local networks’. There is also a risk of being perceived as partisan. One study from Somalia reported that ‘Targeting is another extremely difficult exercise in Mogadishu. The Somali clan and sub-clan system is such that aid organisations run the risk of being seen as the enemy by one side if they provide aid to another, making needs-based targeting and the allocation of aid a risky endeavour’.

Using pre-existing administrative data. The risk here is that data may be inaccurate, destroyed or biased. ACAPS notes that ‘The civil wars in Sierra Leone and Liberia, and the 2010 Haiti earthquake and 2008 Typhoon Fengshen in Manila, saw the destruction of physical data’.

Self-targeting, wherein people identify themselves as vulnerable, and, for example, may seek help at an office. Drawing on examples from Syria and Somalia, the review found that ‘self-targeting is unlikely to reach the most vulnerable who may wish to remain hidden and proved expensive and difficult to maintain long term or to transition to local authorities’. In DRC, UNHCR and partner agencies used self-identification as one targeting approach for girls engaging in survival sex. Programme staff made contact with girls who were known to frequent specific areas. Staff encouraged girls to introduce them to their friends; support included protection, health and economic help.

Community-based targeting, wherein communities themselves identify who is vulnerable. Effective participatory assessments involve affected populations learning about needs and capacities alongside agencies. For example, following Typhoon Haiyan the NGO ActionAid undertook PRA exercises to enable communities to identify their needs and present them to NGOs. Community-based targeting must be well-planned, with adequate training provided. Problems can arise when this is not the case. One programme by Oxfam and Concern in Nairobi, for example, relied on local community members – community health
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102 This example is from Smith, Mohiddin and Phelps, Targeting in Urban Displacement Contexts, p. 46.

103 Sanderson and Delica Willison, Philippines Typhoon Haiyan Response Review.
Box 3.12 Using cash-based indicators for urban targeting

CaLP’s Urban Toolkit on cash programming notes that good practice in urban targeting ‘requires clear definition of urban-specific vulnerability criteria, a selection process that prioritises the neediest families, and a verification process that can ensure that exclusion and inclusion errors are corrected transparently and quickly’.  

Given the critical role that markets play in meeting the needs of urban residents, chronic and widespread poverty and its link with vulnerability and the growing use of cash and market-based approaches in humanitarian response, income- or poverty-related measures might be particularly useful targeting criteria. Various indicators may be used, each with their own pros and cons:  

- Livelihoods and income. Income is critical in urban areas but hard to measure directly, hence the use of proxies. Questions on type of employment are more likely to succeed and are often useful. Questions on debt are important but can be unreliable and sometimes ambiguous.
- Expenditure. This is highly relevant information but hard and time-consuming to collect. Proxies are easier.
- Assets and housing. This information can be relatively easy to gather and reliable because it can be verified by targeting teams, but may not be well correlated to poverty following an emergency (therefore reducing the usefulness of proxy means tests).
- Receipt of assistance from formal or informal sources. This information is usually highly relevant but can be difficult to interpret in contexts where informal sharing of resources is common.

Finally, regardless of poverty measures, the coping strategies used by individuals and households may be a better reflection of their vulnerability, but may not be captured by the measures listed above. A scale based on locally contextualised coping strategies may allow for a more holistic assessment of vulnerability and thus guide targeting.

workers – to identify beneficiaries. A validation survey found substantial evidence of inclusion error, indicating that the health workers did not correctly identify the most vulnerable households. Problems included a preference for including friends or relatives and a lack of incentives to make the extra effort to uncover every vulnerable household in their area, as they did not receive compensation. 

104 Cross and Johnston, Cash Transfer Programming in Urban Emergencies.

105 MacAuslan and Farhat, Review of Urban Food Security Targeting Methodology and Emergency Triggers.

Area-based targeting, where neighbourhoods or wider administrative areas are selected. Criteria for this may vary, such as levels of damage following a disaster or conflict, pre-existing activities by aid agencies (which may want to continue working in the same area), negotiations within coordination meetings to assign activities and level of legality (host governments may for instance not give permission for agencies to work in informal settlements). Area-based approaches are discussed further in Section 3.2.


Cash programming is discussed further in Section 3.3.

### 3.7.3 Steps in urban targeting

The guidance note *Targeting in Urban Displacement Contexts* provides principles for targeting criteria and decision-making tools. Figure 3.8 (page 128), from the guidance note, illustrates five steps in urban targeting in relation to the project management cycle.

In summary, good targeting involves trade-offs, including time, affordability, quality of data and achieving something ‘good enough’ for informed programming. Transparency concerning ‘who is getting what and why’ is critical.

### 3.8 Response analysis

Response analysis is essentially what follows assessments and other activities such as profiling, where findings are reviewed, leading to programme design. During response analysis, programme options are decided. Response analysis should include a consideration of elements such as costs and benefits, actors’ engagement and capacity, risk analysis and technical feasibility. Good response analyses therefore are used to engage a large number of stakeholders. Response analyses can be wide-ranging and complex, and in urban areas need to be managed, in order to be realistic in terms of timeframe, scope, numbers and types of actors engaged with (the list can verge on limitless) and complexity.

This section identifies the challenges of undertaking an urban response analysis. It presents a number of response analysis frameworks that are applicable to post-conflict urban

---
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Figure 3.8 Five steps in urban targeting

Step 1: Assessment and analysis
- Assessment – understanding needs and vulnerabilities

Step 3: Establish targeting criteria
- Section 5 (supporting Tool 1)

Step 4: Choose the targeting mechanism(s)
- Section 6 (supporting Tool 2 and 3) (Annex D)

Step 5: Manage and monitor targeting implementation
- Section 7

Programme implementation

Programme management cycle

Monitoring

Response analysis – defining priorities and objectives for programmes

- Verification processes
- Communication and feedback mechanisms including appeal and redress process
- Monitoring
- Checklist to guide programming
- Annex D; Methodological guidance for implementing CBT and Scorecards

• Linkages between assessment, response analysis and targeting
• How to design and implement vulnerability assessments to inform targeting
• How to use response analysis findings to inform targeting
• Checklist to guide programming

Step 2: Decide whether to target
Section 4

• Benefits and risks of blanket distribution
• Checklist to guide programming

• Defining vulnerability; types of vulnerability criteria; their appropriateness for targeting multi-sectoral assistance in urban contexts; key considerations for their use
• How to identify vulnerability criteria
• Checklist to guide programming
• Supporting tool 1: Selecting targeting indicators

• Overarching considerations when selecting the targeting mechanism
• The range of targeting mechanisms; the main advantages and risks of these in an urban context and possible solutions; guidance on the step-by-step process for geographical targeting
• Checklist to guide programming
• Supporting tool 2: Selecting targeting mechanisms
• Supporting tool 3: Geographical vulnerability indicators
• Annex D: Methodological guidance for implementing CBT and Scorecards
contexts. It presents an urban-specific response analysis tool, and provides two examples of sectoral response analysis frameworks, for food security and cash programming.

### 3.8.1 Challenges of undertaking an urban response analysis

Response analyses can be large, take substantial time and resources and require research expertise. In one example, of a food security response analysis in East Africa, activities comprised an extensive literature review as well as qualitative interviews with approximately 150 key informants. Interviews were conducted with headquarters and field level staff (Ethiopia, Somalia and Kenya) of a variety of local, national and international NGOs, UN agencies, the Red Cross movement, global experts, as well as national government and donor officials.109

Response analyses (as with almost any other approach) can be susceptible to misuse, however unwittingly. One study concluded that:

> The term ‘response analysis’ implies that response choices are made solely on the basis of evidence and analysis. However, many factors contribute to how agencies select a response, and ‘response choice’ does not always involve an evidence-based, analytical process. Research … suggests that response choices are also driven by the capacity and organisational ethos of the implementing agency, the personal experience of programme staff and a range of external factors, including donor resources and policy, government policy in the recipient country, media and political influences, the costs of reporting and compliance associated with different resources, the capacity of partner organisations and considerations (or assumptions) about the risks associated with different responses. Sometimes the complexity of the context can severely constrain response options.110

Other challenges include:

- Engaging stakeholders adequately, which takes time and effort. Stakeholders can be various and there can be a large number of them. They can include (but are not limited to) municipal water and electricity utilities, private providers acting as utilities (water, electricity, sanitation services), health structures, neighbourhood associations, criminal gangs/organisations, the police, political parties and religious associations.
- Spending time on response analysis rather than addressing the immediate needs of vulnerable people.
- Needs can be extremely complex, making meaningful analysis difficult.

109 Ibid., p. 1.
110 Ibid.
### Table 3.2 Frameworks that can be adapted to urban post-conflict contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response analysis framework</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Disaster phase and sectors covered</th>
<th>Conflict-specific?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refugee Coordination Model (RCM), UNHCR</td>
<td>Sector leads undertake response analysis in close collaboration with UNHCR, developing the Refugee Response Plan. The role of UNHCR in ensuring appropriate implementation of the response analysis process is not very clear. OCHA follows a similar decentralised approach whereby cluster leads in consultation with their members identify responses. Although the RCM is not designed for urban contexts, it would utilise information from the analysis of urban contexts. However, there is no guidance on how to apply these processes to an urban context in which the stakeholders and contextual aspects such as conflict may require greater sensitivity.</td>
<td>Phases: All refugee situations and throughout a refugee response, whether it is in a new or protracted emergency, or located in a camp, rural dispersed or in urban settings or in mixed situations. Sectors: Multiple sectors depending on results from needs assessments.</td>
<td>Inherently, as UNHCR has a protection mandate. However, the needs assessment for refugee emergencies (NARE) and related needs assessment guidance does not provide robust guidance on how to respond in such contexts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR Handbook (<a href="https://emergency.unhcr.org/entry/60930">https://emergency.unhcr.org/entry/60930</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Sector Response Analysis Framework (draft)</td>
<td>The MSRA is designed to facilitate the consolidation of sector assessment data into a multi-sector analysis process, and as such responsibility lies with sector specialists or assessment and analysis specialists, in line with standard humanitarian programme planning. Therefore, ensuring the engagement of any additional leadership and/or decision-makers in the process. The MSRA is relatively new and has not yet been field-tested. To be used in conjunction with the Operational Guidance and Toolkit for Multi-Purpose Cash Grants (ERC, 2015).</td>
<td>Phases: All phases. Sectors: Multi-sector approach using expenditure basket calculations.</td>
<td>Not specifically. However, relevant tools are suggested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Save the Children, 2015 (not available online)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Management Procedure</td>
<td>Although not a framework per se, this procedure is designed to guide Response Team Leaders and Deputy Response Team Leaders through the key actions and processes of managing a Save the Children response, from launch to close or transition. Essentially an internal tool, providing step-by-step guidance and checklists to support the implementation of an accountable, quality and timely response. There is clarity as to who is involved in the various stages of developing a response strategy, [but there is] less information on how decisions related to responses are made.</td>
<td>Phases: All phases. Sectors: Multi-sector approach.</td>
<td>Not specifically. However, relevant tools are suggested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Save the Children, 2016 (not available online)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box 3.13  **NRC’s Urban Response Analysis Framework**

NRC’s Urban Response Analysis Framework (URAF) aims to provide an urban-specific multi-sectoral response analysis tool for use in situations where people have been displaced. The intention of the URAF is to: identify which needs should be prioritised in multi-sector programmes, and which populations should be targeted; define programme objectives, response modalities and delivery mechanisms; and identify programme- and context-related indicators for monitoring. The URAF comprises six steps, which are given, along with outputs, in Figure 3.9.

Figure 3.9  **The URAF’s six steps**

1. **Step 1: Have the urban population’s multi-sector needs and vulnerabilities been assessed?**
   - Output: Multi-sector needs assessment data for specific group and/or area

2. **Step 2: Who are the population(s) in need? What are their priority needs?**
   - Output: Prioritised needs for population(s) in need, potential target population identified

3. **Step 3: What opportunities and limitations exist in the internal and external operational environment?**
   - Output: Operational context overview and SWOT analysis

4. **Step 4: What are the programme objectives, potential response options, modalities and delivery mechanisms?**
   - Output: Programme objectives, potential multi-sector responses and assumptions

5. **Step 5: What response options, modalities and delivery mechanisms are recommended?**
   - Output: Multi-sector programme response decisions, monitoring indicators

6. **Step 6: To maintain response appropriateness what needs to be monitored?**
   - Output: Multi-sector programme response decisions, monitoring indicators


132  |  Urban humanitarian response
• Needs and issues may change rapidly, potentially rendering an analysis that is not sufficiently flexible out of date.
• The risk of doing harm, for instance by using out of date findings or not engaging sufficiently with relevant stakeholders.

3.8.2 Different response analysis frameworks

There are a number of different frameworks relating to conflict and disasters. Table 3.2 presents a number of response analysis frameworks that can be applied to post-conflict situations in urban areas, though none has been specifically designed for urban use.

3.8.3 Sectoral response analyses

Response analyses can be used to investigate the most appropriate delivery mechanisms in particular sectors (while recognising that sectors need to work closely together and multi-sectoral responses are important, as discussed in Section 3.2 on area-based approaches and referred to elsewhere in this Good Practice Review). Examples below concern food security and cash programming.

Food security

Figure 3.10 identifies the steps undertaken in response analysis, and where it appears in the programme management cycle. The example concerns food security needs following a rapid-onset disaster, or in preparation for a declining food situation where an early warning has been given. Cross-cutting considerations are indicated.

Cash programming

The following example from UNHCR identifies high-level critical decisions concerning the use of cash. The analysis is based on asking simple and clear questions, leading to a decision on the best modality for cash delivery based on the particular circumstances.

Cash-based programming is discussed further in Section 3.3.
Figure 3.10 A roadmap for response analysis
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Figure 3.11 UNHCR cash delivery assessment tool
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3.9 Design and management

This section presents four design and management approaches for complex and fast-changing environments: adaptive management; collaborating, learning and adapting (CLA); action planning; and human-centred design, design thinking and user journeys. This section combines design and management because the tools used in design, such as logframes, invariably become management tools as well.

This section is closely aligned to the previous sections on assessments and response analysis. Without due attention to these prior activities, project design and its eventual management is unlikely to succeed. It is also closely associated with the following section on monitoring and evaluation (for example where tools such as adaptive management are also applicable). It also links to the section on area-based approaches (ABAs), which use some of the approaches discussed here.

3.9.1 Logframes

The logframe analysis tool (and its variants across agencies and donors) remains the ‘industry standard’ for aid programme design and management. Logframes provide: a clear project focus (the purpose); clear accountability (the indicators); and a test of potential project risks (the assumptions). When used well, logframes can be helpful tools for collaborative design (for example organising a stakeholder workshop to formulate a jointly-owned project). They also build in accountability. However, their use in urban areas can be limited for a variety of reasons, including:

- As a rigid design and management tool, they can be difficult to amend (especially if the logframe has formed part of a contract, as it often does).
- Outputs are usually predetermined, and may take little or no account of project iterations or changes in conditions.
- They risk reinforcing a single-sector focus, especially if one sector dominates the purpose statement.
- Indicators may measure inputs and not outputs. For example, counting the number of houses built does not indicate quality, affordability or appropriateness.
- They focus on short-term outputs, with little if any consideration of longer-term outcomes or impact.

In reaction to this, a number of design and management tools that suit urban humanitarian settings are being piloted and used. Some of these are described below.

### 3.9.2 Design and management tools that adapt to changing urban environments

Previous sections of this GPR have underscored the complexity of cities, where any engagement needs to be flexible, iterative and open-ended, working in areas that are fast-changing with high degrees of uncertainty and dynamic change. Given logframes have their limitations in this area, other urban- and complexity-oriented tools exist. Four of these, which have evolved from and/or have application to the uncertainties and complexities of urban programming, are presented here.

1. **Adaptive management**

Adaptive management is ‘a programming approach that combines appropriate analysis, structured flexibility, and iterative improvements in the face of contextual and causal complexity’. Work in this area is being undertaken by the International Rescue Committee (IRC) and Mercy Corps, which have set up an approach called ADAPT (Analysis Driven Agile Programming Techniques) to develop tools that work in complex and fast-changing environments.

In recent research across programmes in six locations, emerging lessons included the need for the following:

- Appropriate data and reflective analysis, for example through having many data sources and the ability to analyse incoming information, with the time for reflection.
- Responsive decision-making and action, linked closely to context analysis and assessments, with decisions taken as closely to operational realities as possible.
- Dynamic and collaborative teams, building in mentorship and collaborative approaches and hiring people who are adaptable and flexible.
- Agile and integrated operations, linking functions such as human resources, finance and procurement.
- Trusting and flexible partnerships, flexible budgets and flexibility in organisational activities.

---


112 In Africa, the Middle East and Asia. The studies were not specifically on urban areas, though they do relate to complex and dynamic humanitarian situations, hence their relevance to this review. See Chambers and Ramalingam, *Adapting Aid*. 
ALNAP’s work on organisational flexibility\footnote{A. Obrecht with S. Bourne, \textit{Making Humanitarian Response More Flexible}, ALNAP Background Paper (London: ALNAP/ODI, 2018) (www.alnap.org/system/files/content/resource/files/main/ALNAPpaper%20Making%20humanitarian%20response%20more%20flexible_1.pdf), p. 42.} describes three components of adaptive capabilities in humanitarian settings: knowing when to change, including timing and motivation; deciding what the change is; and implementing the change through changing/adapting plans and mobilising resources. Figure 3.12 identifies these three components in the context of the project management cycle, referred to in the research as ‘the adaptiveness cycle’.

Further useful sources of information on adaptive management include:


2. Collaborating, learning and adapting

The collaborating, learning and adapting (CLA) approach provides tools to support iterative learning leading to modifications and improvements during the life of a project. Three key elements of CLA are:

- Connect CLA tools to activities, to track the progress of a project.
- Do analysis quickly, to enable adjustments to project activities that may need changing.
- Share information and findings with stakeholders as they emerge.

### Box 3.14 Building in flexibility in human resources and finance functions

Adaptive management, action planning and CLA require flexible internal systems within organisations. Research on the use of these approaches in managing area-based programmes found three key requirements. The first was that the internal systems of agencies, such as human resources (HR) and finance, need to be aligned to the flexible and iterative nature of the approach. One way to achieve this is to involve HR and finance staff at the earliest stages of the design of an ABA, which can help support services function more smoothly in the subsequent implementation of the programme. The second requirement was for HR functions to be more flexible: job descriptions may need to be written to be open-ended, which may require post-holders to apply skills beyond those in which they may have been formally trained. The third requirement was that staff profiles would be closer to a combination of social entrepreneur, negotiator and networker, rather than, say, engineer, house-builder or logistician (while recognising that technical skills such as these are essential).

For more information on CLA, see https://researchforevidence.fhi360.org/how-to-successfully-apply-the-collaborating-learning-and-adapting-cla-approach-in-your-programs.

Building flexibility into programme management is discussed in the example in Box 3.14.

3. Action planning

Action planning is a project design and management approach that relies on neighbourhood-level participation and consensus-building. Its nine characteristics are:

1. Problem-based and opportunity-driven, to give clarity on actions to be undertaken.
2. Based on achievable actions, to build confidence that recovery can take place.
3. Reliant on local knowledge and skills, emphasising local ownership.
4. Non-reliant on complete information, using the principle of ‘optimal ignorance’ to avoid the notion that everything needs to be known before anything can happen.
5. Small in scale and neighbourhood-based, in order to instill neighbourhood-level ownership.
6. Embraces serendipity, e.g. chance encounters that lead to the forging of local connections.
7. Actions are incremental rather than comprehensive – this is particularly important given the short funding cycles often associated with post-disaster recovery.
8. Starting points rather than end states, reflecting the complexity of urban programming, where initially envisaged approaches may not be appropriate as the project progresses.


A database of other process-oriented, people-centred design tools can be found at www.spatialagency.net/.

4. Human-centred design, design thinking and user journeys

Human-centred design\footnote{For further information, see A. Wells, Design for Humanity Report, 2018 (https://issuu.com/iiha/docs/design4humanity-report-2018).} means collaborating with people in need to design spaces, projects and policies that benefit them directly. This approach (which has been around for a number of years within the design community) recently gained attention with the first ‘Design for Humanity Summit’ in 2018, which aimed to inspire humanitarians and designers to develop a humanitarian design charter by:

- Amplifying the discourse on the role of design processes in humanitarian response.
- Integrating human-centred design processes into the norms of humanitarian action.
- Building a coalition of humanitarians and designers to launch research and pilot projects.

Human-centred design was used in Regent Park in Toronto, where a 69-acre public space is being redeveloped. Designers aimed to improve the lives of residents and 25,000 Syrian refugees in the area using a range of collaborative and consultative design processes.\footnote{R. Smyth, ‘Business of Home Feature: Designers are Collaborating with the UN on Humanitarian Initiatives’, Institute of Humanitarian Affairs, 2018 (https://medium.com/humanitarianpulse/business-of-home-designers-are-collaborating-with-the-un-on-humanitarian-initiatives-12b6e9bec867).}

Design thinking, closely related to human-centred design, is ‘a collaborative tool that mixes empathy with systems design to develop more user-friendly human systems’.\footnote{C. Bennett et al., Constructive Deconstruction: Imagining Alternative Humanitarian Action (London: ODI, 2018) (www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/resource-documents/12206.pdf), p. 1.} While used in the design world for a number of years, with a track record of success, design thinking is little known in humanitarian circles.\footnote{See for example T. Brown, Change by Design: How Design Thinking Transforms Organizations and Inspires Innovation (New York: HarperCollins, 2009). See also http://designthinking.ideo.com/.} Design thinking was recently used in research to rethink humanitarian aid, where the aim was to ‘reimagine what a more effective humanitarian system would look and act like if we truly “put people at the centre” and designed the system from the perspective of its users up and down the humanitarian value chain’.\footnote{Bennett et al., Constructive Deconstruction, p. 1.}

experience of receiving cash transfers from different standpoints. Actively empathising with participants enabled the research team to identify unmet and latent needs, as well as opportunities for change from the users themselves. The study also used behaviour mapping and a survey of 264 respondents. The resulting data presents individuals’ ‘journeys’ and their positive and negative experiences of cash delivery mechanisms. This information is combined with more traditional statistical analysis and data presentation.

In summary, programme design and management in urban areas needs to be more flexible, iterative and adaptable in order to respond meaningfully to fast-changing, complex and interlinked environments. The above examples, many of which are relatively new to humanitarian aid, provide pointers as to how these approaches may evolve.

### 3.10 Monitoring and evaluation

In nearly all humanitarian aid programmes, the tasks of ongoing monitoring, programme evaluation and learning are vital to success. Monitoring and evaluation activities are particularly important in complex urban settings, where initial programme design is likely to require modifications and adaptations in response to a rapidly changing operating environment.

The subject of monitoring and evaluation in humanitarian action is vast, and a wealth of tools and approaches are available (several are given in this section). This section, like others, does not intend to review the entirety of monitoring and evaluation, but aims instead to highlight key principles, challenges and opportunities relating to urban areas. The section introduces some of the challenges in urban monitoring and evaluation, identifies emerging lessons and approaches for urban monitoring and evaluation and discusses remote monitoring in conflict situations. As with some other sections of this GPR, this is an area of emerging rather than established good practice.

Monitoring and evaluation ties in closely to a number of other sections discussed in this GPR, especially those within the project management cycle, in particular assessments (Section 3.6) and design and management (Section 3.9).

---

121 This section benefited in particular from inputs from Paul Knox Clarke, Amelie Sundberg, Neil Dillon and Leah Campbell of the ALNAP Secretariat.
3.10.1 Challenges in urban monitoring and evaluation

The complexities of designing and enacting urban programmes are discussed throughout this GPR. The process of monitoring and evaluating programme activities and outcomes needs to deal with this complexity and the ways in which humanitarians respond to it (including by adapting to rapid changes in the environment, engaging with a multitude of actors and in some instances undertaking multi-sectoral programming).

Two reports from ALNAP\textsuperscript{122} describe some general challenges to monitoring and evaluation in humanitarian interventions, which are particularly relevant for urban emergencies:

- Identifying what needs to be known in the balance between robustness and speed is particularly difficult in cities due to the interconnectedness and density of people and services, which often cannot be untangled in a clear way. The monitoring information needed is also likely to change as an emergency evolves, but not all organisations are accepting of an iterative approach (for a further discussion on tools relating to this, see Section 3.9 concerning design and management).

- Considering how to use secondary data rather than resorting to primary data collection (which can be time-consuming and costly to collect) is important in urban contexts.

- Humanitarian evaluations are often undertaken in periods of severe disruption, which can limit access to information and key informants.

- In situations of conflict, it may be difficult for evaluators to access affected communities, limiting the amount of information available from the users of humanitarian goods and services (in urban environments, access may also be a problem even where there is no overt conflict – in certain particularly violent parts of a city, for example).

- Conflicts can also generate sharply differing points of view, which may make it difficult to arrive at an objective assessment of a particular issue. To reduce the risk of bias, triangulation (basing findings on more than one source) is always necessary.

Several other challenges to evaluation are intensified in urban environments:

- Given that cities are dynamic, the humanitarian situation, and humanitarian needs, can change very quickly. This means that the objectives and activities of urban programmes often change. Monitoring and evaluation systems need to be flexible enough to capture these changes, and broad enough to identify unexpected results.

The density and interconnectedness of services in urban areas means that humanitarian needs are generally multi-sectoral and intertwined. For example, disruption in electricity generation can lead to water and sanitation systems closing down, with knock-on effects on health and education services. Closure of markets can prevent people from accessing food, health services or employment – which in turn can make it even harder to access food. To understand the humanitarian situation, and to capture the effects of humanitarian programmes, monitoring systems and evaluations will generally need to be multi-sectoral, and will often need to be multi-agency.

Any specific humanitarian intervention will very often have a number of important but indirect effects, which will tend to occur in combination with the effects of other interventions. For example, the provision of child-friendly spaces may help improve the psychological and physical well-being of children, but it is possible that this effect was also a result of better provision of water (so that children or their parents did not have to go longer distances in an unsafe environment to collect water). Where the chain between cause and effect is long, and where many elements are involved, evaluators need to think about how they consider causality: they cannot assume that an intervention will lead, in a straight line, to an outcome.

The sheer numbers of people in urban environments mean that deciding who to include – and who to leave out – in any form of consultation may be challenging. It is also important to remember that communities may not be defined by physical boundaries. Communities of practice and interest may also be relevant (see Section 1.1 on ways of seeing the city for a discussion of communities).

Given the complexity of cities, the learning functions of evaluations – understanding what works and why – are particularly important. This challenges evaluations to rigorously consider the reasons for success or failure.

The diversity of urban populations means that there will often be multiple interests involved in any intervention, making humanitarian activities the focus of much political attention. Evaluators should be prepared to navigate the politics of the city.

Potential key informants may be from a wide range of sources, including local and national government, journalists, businesspeople and academics. Gatekeepers may or may not be apparent, but will almost always be there. Challenges in negotiating with gangs, for instance, and seeking access to particular neighbourhoods may be exacerbated in urban environments (see Section 1.5 on urban actors).

### 3.10.2 Emerging lessons and approaches for urban monitoring and evaluation

Lessons and approaches to urban monitoring and evaluation include:
Build monitoring and evaluation into the programme from the beginning

In urban programmes, it is especially important to include monitoring and evaluation as part of the original programme design. Urban programmes tend to be particularly ‘information heavy’, as a result of the number and diversity of people and elements involved, and the need to capture changes in the context. For programmes to be successful, resources for information collection and – particularly – analysis need to be made available at the planning stage. Many urban programmes are also fairly small compared to the level of needs across a city. To be effective, they often rely on scaling up. This depends on good information on what worked, what didn’t and why (see Section 3.2 on area-based approaches for a further discussion of scaling up). Several of the programme design approaches outlined in Section 3.9 combine iterative programming with ongoing data collection and analysis. These approaches cannot be used successfully without a clear monitoring and evaluation plan.

ALNAP’s Evaluation of Humanitarian Action guide\(^\text{123}\) outlines key issues that should be considered, from the outset, in the design of evaluation systems. These are also broadly relevant for thinking about the design of monitoring systems. They include:

- **The purpose of the evaluation.** Is it to show the value of the programme to donors? To provide learning for future programmes? To allow for course correction of an existing programme? To help with scaling up an existing programme? The purpose of the evaluation will, to a degree, drive the design.

- **Key users of the evaluation.** Who will use it? How can they be engaged in the design to ensure that they get the outputs they need?

- **Focus questions.** No evaluation can tell the user everything about an activity or programme. A good evaluation will identify the most important questions, and then use a methodology that is able to answer those questions.

Focus on specific information needs

Complex, diverse and rapidly changing urban environments create specific information needs. Monitoring and evaluation systems need to ensure that these needs are met, and that the specificities of urban response are reflected in the design of monitoring and evaluation systems.

Ideally, monitoring should consider ‘need to know versus want to know’ – in such a dense environment, where monitoring has to be timely and relevant with scarce resources, untangling information needs is critical. Monitoring needs to consider the context – are there changes in the situation? Have these led – or are they likely to lead – to changes in need? Monitoring also needs to consider the outcomes of activities – what effects (both intended

\(^\text{123}\) ALNAP, Evaluation of Humanitarian Action Guide.
and, where these can be identified, unintended) are activities having? What does this mean for the programme?

Evaluations need to focus on a number of issues:

- Causal factors: the reasons for the relative success or failure of an intervention – either to allow for adaptive programming, or for extending or scaling up pilot programmes.
- Tailoring to context: the degree to which approaches were appropriate to the urban context – potentially against the benchmark of best practice guidelines for working in cities.
- Interconnectedness: the extent to which the programme took account of the interconnectedness of urban response, both in terms of interactions with other actors and the potential unintended spill-over effects of programme activities in other areas.
- Dynamic programming: the rationale for programme adaptations and changes away from initial programme objectives and the quality of the decision-making that led to those changes.

For further information, see:


Engage in complexity and systems thinking

Overall, urban monitoring and evaluation means engaging in complexity and systems thinking (see Section 1.1, on ways of seeing the city). Guides that outline steps for undertaking evaluations in complex settings or using a systems-based approach to evaluation are:
Box 3.15 Using indicators in monitoring a multi-sectoral programme in Guatemala City

In Barrio Mio, an area-based disaster risk reduction and response project in Guatemala City, Project Concern International (PCI) implemented a multi-sectoral programme with activities ranging from women’s savings groups to the installation of retaining walls and water tanks and building the GIS capacities of municipal actors. Initially, the project relied on a set of indicators from its funding proposal. These were separated by sector, and covered issues such as the number of shelters incorporating hazard mitigation measures and the number of people demonstrating good handwashing practices.

A case study on the project found that, while these indicators helped to demonstrate achieved deliverables, they failed to ‘capture the richness of the Barrio Mio project and what it’s been able to achieve – which is far beyond the level of ambition that these indicators suggest’. The Barrio Mio team developed a number of complementary indicators in addition to the list from the donor, though tensions remained ‘between what some describe as a “myopic” focus on the list of indicators and the overall impact the project has had’.


Be aware of the multiple information sources available in the city

Cities are information-rich. Local government, service providers, chambers of commerce, journalists and many others may collect the information that monitoring or evaluation systems require. It may also be possible to make use of geospatial approaches (see Section 3.4 on mapping and geospatial analysis) to identify, or triangulate, changes in context or the effects of programmes. When using secondary data, however, it is important to ensure that the data adequately represents the populations of greatest concern. Official data often ignores certain parts of cities (such as informal settlements), or is aggregated at a high level, and so effectively hides the reality of life for the poorest or most marginalised groups.

When investigating the degree to which outcomes are achieved, or the constraints to achieving them, qualitative data is also important. One literature review on urban crises advises that ‘qualitative data may be required to capture impacts and outcomes that are more difficult to quantify (e.g. impacts on local power structures and urban socio-economic realities)’. Qualitative approaches are critical to explaining how and why something occurs. A 2016 learning workshop of urban disaster risk reduction practitioners emphasised the need for monitoring and evaluation tools to recognise the complex social dynamics in urban neighbourhoods, and the use of qualitative indicators to understand the context.

For further information, see:


126 J. P. Sarmiento et al. (eds), *Urban Disaster Risk: Systematization of Neighborhood Practices* (Miami, FL: Florida International University Extreme Events Institute, 2016).
Use people-centred approaches

As discussed throughout this Good Practice Review (and introduced in Section 1.1 on ways of seeing the city), people-centred approaches are key. One guide presents an approach which asks households to (retrospectively): describe their livelihoods before the disaster, immediately after the disaster and after humanitarian interventions; identify changes; and describe the contribution interventions have made to these changes. It also lays out good practice in working with affected communities. See R. Few et al., Contribution to Change: An Approach to Evaluating the Role of Intervention in Disaster Recovery (Rugby: Practical Action Publishing, 2013) (https://reliefweb.int/report/world/contribution-change-approach-evaluating-role-intervention-disaster-recovery).

Similarly, the Good Enough Guide: Impact Measurement and Accountability in Emergencies provides a number of simple and effective tools and principles for understanding the impact of humanitarian activities from the perspective of the people who are meant to benefit from them: see www.alnap.org/help-library/good-enough-guide-impact-measurement-and-accountability-in-emergencies.

The ‘most significant change’ (MSC) approach relies on collecting significant change stories coming out of a programme, and the systematic selection of the most significant stories by panels of designated stakeholders or staff. It is particularly good at identifying the more unusual or extreme effects of interventions, and for creating a shared understanding between stakeholder groups involved in a response. See R. Davies and J. Dart, The ‘Most Significant Change’ (MSC) Technique: A Guide to Its Use, 2005 (www.mande.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2005/MSCGuide.pdf).

In addition, a number of toolkits and guidance notes are available to support participatory approaches to information collection and analysis. They include:

- Tools and methods for undertaking PRA (also called Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA)) in urban areas (www.iied.org/rra-notes-21-participatory-tools-methods-urban-areas).
Consider working ‘backwards’, from outcomes to interventions

An important element of many people-centred approaches to evaluation is that they invert the ‘normal’ sequence of evaluation. Rather than starting with an intervention and working forwards to try to identify the results of the intervention, they begin with the changes that people have seen and work backwards to see how these changes link to the intervention. As a result, they are generally better adapted to evaluating urban programmes, where there are often long and complicated causal chains between the humanitarian response and the effects on people’s lives, and where multiple interventions may have contributed to the final outcome. That said, they may be less good at fulfilling donor requirements to show how a single, specific intervention worked. Some of these approaches may also be too demanding for certain types of humanitarian crises, such as rapid-onset emergencies.

The Good Enough Guide, MSC and Contribution to Change discussed above all take this approach, as does the increasingly popular ‘outcome harvesting’ method, which uses a six-step process to identify positive and negative, intended and unintended outcomes, and then articulates verifiable connections between these outcomes and initiatives of interest: see www.outcomemapping.ca/download/wilsongrau_en_Outome%20Harvesting%20Brief_revised%20Nov%202013.pdf.

Traditional approaches for evaluating urban interventions can still be relevant and appropriate. The ALNAP evaluation guide presents different evaluative options, such as project evaluation, process evaluation and impact evaluation and accompanying methods, including case studies, process reviews, outcome reviews, before and after comparisons, interrupted time series and comparison groups.\textsuperscript{127}

Evaluation is not the only way to promote organisational learning, nor is it necessarily the most cost-effective. Formal evaluation of humanitarian action sits alongside a range of additional learning and accountability tools, from beneficiary tracking to monitoring systems and After-Action Reviews. Other learning processes to consider in humanitarian action are also presented in the ALNAP guide.

Consider using iterative approaches

As noted throughout this GPR, cities are dynamic environments, where needs often change quickly. For this reason, many approaches to monitoring and evaluation emphasise an ongoing, iterative process that relies less on establishing whether pre-defined indicators are being achieved and more on understanding what is changing, and how (and whether) the humanitarian response is achieving these changes.

Box 3.16 Iterative monitoring and evaluation of the 2010 Haiti earthquake

Following the 2010 earthquake in Haiti, Groupe URD conducted a number of iterative \(^{128}\) and real-time evaluations. Iterative evaluation aims to ‘analyse how a programme is being implemented in relation to changes in context and needs, and to ensure that the programme remains relevant and that there is effective coordination between the actors involved’. Between 2012 and 2015, Groupe URD maintained a ‘Haiti observatory’ to conduct iterative monitoring, promoting learning and good practice.

More information about the work of the Haiti observatory can be found at www.urd.org/en/field-offices/Our-activities-in-Haiti/.

Examples of this type of iterative approach include:

- **Outcome mapping:** a tool for planning, monitoring and evaluating interventions that focuses on the changes in behaviour that these interventions are intended to achieve, rather than hard outputs such as better shelter units or availability of water. It is designed to be cyclical, with monitoring and evaluation activities feeding into (re)designs of the programme. More information is available at www.outcomemapping.ca/download/OM_English_final.pdf.


- **The collaborating, learning and adapting approach (CLA):** another monitoring approach that is specifically designed to support adaptive programming (see Section 3.9 on design and management). A brief introduction can be found at https://usaidlearninglab.org/node/14633.

The *Good Enough Guide* and MSC approaches outlined above are both intended to be used iteratively.

---

It is worth noting that simply having an iterative approach is not enough: effective monitoring and evaluation of urban humanitarian action requires not only that monitoring and evaluation systems are in place, but also that they are linked to the relevant decision-making procedures and systems. Early engagement with key users (see above) can go some way to addressing this problem. For more suggestions on ensuring that evaluative (and, by extension, monitoring) information is used, see A. Hallam and F. Bonino, *Using Evaluation for a Change: Insights from Humanitarian Practitioners* (London: ALNAP/ODI, 2013) (www.alnap.org/system/files/content/resource/files/main/alnap-study-using-evaluation-for-a-change.pdf).

### Work collaboratively with other stakeholders

Another common feature of many monitoring and evaluation approaches suited to urban environments is that they are intended to be used by multiple stakeholders, including humanitarian agencies, local government and civil society. In fact, many aim to simultaneously produce information and build shared understanding of the context and a shared commitment to response activities.

Shared or joint approaches have important advantages in urban contexts, where, in the wake of a humanitarian crisis, many organisations will be working on response activities that will influence (and hopefully support) each other. Joint monitoring and evaluation could facilitate an understanding broader than any one project, and ‘may provide better opportunities to document challenges, shortcomings, failures and successes’, as well as potentially revealing systemic issues, rather than individual cases.129

It should be noted that collaboration can often be difficult, particularly where the agencies involved are competing for funding or where they have very different organisational structures and cultures. See Section 2.1 on coordination for further discussion on this. See also T. Beck and M. Buchanan-Smith, *Joint Evaluations Coming of Age? The Quality and Future Scope of Joint Evaluations* (London: ALNAP/ODI, 2008) (www.alnap.org/help-library/joint-evaluations-coming-of-age-the-quality-and-future-scope-of-joint-evaluations). The UN Evaluation Group’s Resource Pack on Joint Evaluations (2014) may also be helpful: www.unevaluation.org/document/detail/1620.

### 3.10.3 Remote monitoring in conflict situations

Monitoring in conflict situations is inevitably governed by access and security concerns. In cases where access by international humanitarian actors is restricted, remote monitoring may take place. In contexts where access is severely limited, remote monitoring, as a wider part of remote management, may be the only option. Remote management can be defined as:

129 Brown et al., *Urban Crises and Humanitarian Responses*. 152 Urban humanitarian response
A reactive stance in response to insecurity that involves some delegation of authority and decision-making responsibility to national implementers. There is commonly a moderate investment in capacity building for nationals and procedures in place that enable better communication, monitoring, and quality. Assumes that decision-making and authority will revert back to international [staff] following the restoration of security.  

A 2017 literature review of humanitarian programming and monitoring in inaccessible conflict settings noted that ‘remote operations require increased monitoring and reporting requirements than traditional programming due to the lack of field presence and direct oversight by international organizations, but often have fewer resources to meet these increased demands’. Challenges include ‘limited opportunities for data collection, poor quality data and inaccurate information, and lack of monitoring skills and capacity of local staff, among others’.

An important issue here concerns risk transfer to local staff and other local actors. Concerning this, the same literature review concluded that ‘Remote operations involve the transfer of risk from international to local actors, who are assumed to be at lower risk for targeting and therefore safer when implementing. This is often a false assumption as they face unique threats that are often not acknowledged in security assessments. Additionally, local actors are infrequently present at trainings on security, and are often left with minimal security-related equipment when expatriates evacuate’.

Where access is impossible, such as in conflict situations, existing data and resources are sometimes used. For example, Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) and World Food Programme (WFP) used government data for their 2018 monitoring report on food security in 16 conflict-affected countries.

For more discussion on remote monitoring, see:


---


131 Ibid.

132 Ibid., p. 8.

Box 3.17 **Libya Joint Market Monitoring Initiative (JMMI)**

The Joint Market Monitoring Initiative (JMMI) was established in 2017 by REACH and the Libya Cash and Markets Working Group (CWG) to monitor market dynamics in order to improve cash programming. REACH describes the methodology for data collection as follows: ‘The methodology for the JMMI is based on purposive sampling. In each assessed market, at least four prices per item need to be collected from different shops to ensure the quality and consistency of collected data.

‘Partner field teams, in coordination with the CWG, identify shops to assess based on the following criteria: 1. Shops need to be large enough to sell all or most assessed items. 2. Prices in these shops need to be good indicators of the general price levels in the assessed area. 3. Shops should be located in different areas within the assessed city or baladiya.

‘In locations where it is not possible to identify four large markets that fulfil criterion (1), smaller shops, such as grocery shops, vegetable vendors, butchers and bakeries, are added to the shop list, as long as they fit criteria (2) and (3), in order to guarantee at least four prices per item of interest. Each month, price data is collected from the same shops whenever possible to ensure comparability across months.

‘The CWG primarily targets urban areas throughout Libya, aiming to ensure coverage of markets that serve as commercial hubs for surrounding regions. Data is collected via the KoBo mobile data collection application. The CWG maintains a joint KoBo account for the JMMI. The data collection tool is published alongside the dataset every month and disseminated to the humanitarian community’.

Monitoring takes place monthly of a ‘minimum expenditure basket’, comprising both food and non-food items. REACH reports that ‘By following the price developments of products such as bread, beans, soap and fuel, REACH and the CWG have been able to provide humanitarian actors [with] information on the financial burdens faced by households dependent on market priced goods in their respective localities’. The information indicates variations between cities and regions, wherein ‘the assessment noted clear spatial patterns both in May and June [2018] with basket costs generally lowest in coastal port cities and highest in southern Libya’.


Chapter 4
Sectoral responses

4.1 Housing, land and property rights

Engaging in housing, land and property (HLP) rights is vital for effective post-disaster recovery efforts and providing assistance to people caught up in long-term displacement situations. HLP can be a complex area to work in and act upon in urban areas (not least given the large number of forms of tenure arrangements) – but failure to engage can lead to wasted aid investments, increase affected people’s vulnerability and generate conflict over contested land.

This section\(^1\) defines HLP and identifies some of the challenges that complex HLP can bring to urban humanitarian response. It identifies challenges in HLP programming and discusses addressing HLP issues in shelter programmes.

HLP rights are a vital part of shelter and settlements programming, and so this section links closely to Section 4.2 on shelter and settlements. It also links closely to protection (HLP falls within the Global Protection Cluster, and is further discussed in Section 4.7), area-based approaches (Section 3.2), WASH (for example in accessing services; see Section 4.4), conflict (Section 1.2.1) and violence (Section 1.2.2).

4.1.1 Defining HLP

HLP rights are about ‘having a home, free from the fear of forced eviction; a place that offers shelter, safety, and the ability to secure a livelihood. HLP rights are referenced and defined in several international human rights instruments, and organisations providing protection and assistance to persons affected by crisis should respect the human rights, including HLP rights, of affected persons at all times, and advocate for their promotion and protection to the fullest extent’.\(^2\)

Key to HLP is tenure, defined by the Global Shelter Cluster as ‘the relationship among people, as groups or individuals, with respect to housing and land, established through statutory law or customary, informal or religious arrangements’.\(^3\) Within this are many forms of urban tenure arrangements, including private ownership, leasehold, cooperative housing, rental

---

\(^1\) This section benefited in particular from inputs by Leah Campbell of ALNAP.


accommodation (on both formal and informal land) and living in informal settlements. Land ownership types include statutory, customary, religious or hybrid arrangements (see Table 4.1 for examples).

An important element is the distinction between tenure that is de jure (how things should happen according to the law) and de facto (how they happen in reality). This is particularly important in relation to informal settlements (which by law should not be there, but which account for the tenure arrangements of hundreds of millions of people).

4.1.2 HLP in urban areas

HLP is particularly complex in urban areas, for a number of reasons. Cities have a wide range of different living arrangements, from single-family occupancy to multiple occupancy, such as in a high-rise tenement. Multi-occupancy buildings may comprise both owners and renters. In some instances people may share rooms in shifts. People who rent property may do so under different forms of agreement with the landlord (see Table 4.1).

Another complexity relates to informal settlements, i.e. people living on land with precarious ownership arrangements who may have no formal documentation of ownership. Research by IDMC 4 notes the following points about HLP rights and urban informal settlements:

- ‘Urban informal settlers are particularly vulnerable to disasters and represent a significant proportion of those displaced.
- ‘Housing assistance tends to be based on ownership criteria rather than needs, which excludes many urban informal settlers who are mostly tenants or squatters.
- ‘The complex and unclear tenure situation in informal settlements, combined with weak urban governance, hinders the provision of housing assistance. As a result, a disproportionate amount of international resources are dedicated to temporary shelter rather than long-term interventions.
- ‘Housing responses for urban informal settlers displaced by disasters require consistency and continuity between humanitarian and development assistance to address beneficiaries’ immediate needs as well as their underlying vulnerability to future disaster and displacement.’

The IFRC notes, following the Pisco earthquake in Peru in 2007, that 78% of landowners received grants for reconstruction, while tenants and informal settlers were generally excluded. 5


In displacement situations, refugees and IDPs are at high risk of tenure insecurity, given that many will have limited choices about where to live, and will often have little option but to live in informal settlements or in places with little or no formal rental agreements. Research by NRC\(^6\) on women’s HLP rights in six countries (Afghanistan, Ecuador, Lebanon, Liberia, Palestine and South Sudan) found that the rights of women ‘are often neglected in humanitarian response’. HLP vulnerability is highly gendered. According to UN-Habitat, ‘less than 2% of women’s land and property rights are registered worldwide.’\(^7\) NRC’s research found that inheritance and marital property laws can protect women’s HLP, that ‘there is a need to recognise the significance of religious and customary structures’ and that existing discrimination is often worsened during displacement. UN-Habitat explains that ‘After disaster or conflict, women-headed households – whose land rights are secured through male relatives – may become vulnerable to a loss of land and access to livelihoods.’\(^8\)

Disputes over land rights can fuel conflict and discrimination. Regarding IDPs in Mogadishu, IDMC notes that ‘land and property disputes are at the heart of the urban conflict.’\(^9\) The report notes that ‘Common housing, land and property (HLP) challenges include the unlawful appropriation and attribution of public land titles by government officials and private owners, inheritance disputes and claims over land and property by returning IDPs, refugees and migrants’.\(^10\) The report also notes that ‘An estimated 80 per cent of court cases heard in Mogadishu’s supreme court are related to land’.\(^11\) Crises can also be an opportunistic moment for illegal or unjust land acquisition, which can sometimes include overt violence and carefully planned legislative measures.\(^12\)

Finally, crises can also lead to a loss of documentation such as personal identification. One report on providing access to identification for refugees in Jordan states that

---


\(^8\) Ibid.


\(^10\) Ibid.

\(^11\) Ibid., p. 8.

‘In humanitarian crises, the absence of identity documents can create a multitude of administrative dead ends for refugees: reducing their ability to register with authorities and/or humanitarian organisations, limiting their freedom of movement, preventing them from accessing formal employment or education, and making it difficult or impossible to access a wide range of humanitarian services’. In Haiti, many humanitarian organisations were ill-equipped to deal with the complications of issuing identification to displaced earthquake survivors.

Records relating to land ownership, housing and property may also have been lost or destroyed. ‘In post-disaster situations, particularly following conflict, legal frameworks can collapse altogether, making the task of verifying the legal status of land and property ownership especially difficult, as was the case in Kosovo.’ Combined with damage to their residence, the absence of HLP documentation may prevent displaced people from returning to their place of origin.


See also M. Lombard, Land Tenure and Urban Conflict: A Review of the Literature, Global Urban Research Centre Working Paper 8 (Manchester: Manchester University, 2012) (http://hummedia.manchester.ac.uk/institutes/mui/gurg/working_papers/GURC_wp8_000.pdf).

4.1.3 Challenges in HLP programming

HLP challenges cannot usually be addressed by short-term responses. However, short-term actions can make a lasting impact on HLP for affected populations. Key challenges include the following:

---


15 Brown et al., Urban Crises and Humanitarian Responses.

16 This section draws in particular on NRC, Security of Tenure in Urban Areas.
Ignoring HLP issues can do harm

There are a number of ways in which humanitarian responses can affect HLP rights. For example, assistance to repair homes or resume livelihoods activities can cause tensions if there are competing rights and claims. Use of land for humanitarian activities (such as the provision of services in temporary camps, use of space to store stock and the construction of critical infrastructure) can have ‘unintended and negative impacts for the population such as forced evictions and forced relocation, notably for unregistered tenants and families living in informal settlements’.

Post-disaster recovery efforts that ignore tenure can also have negative consequences, including agencies being sued by absentee landowners when they discover housing has been built on their land without their consent. Failure to consider tenure can also lead to forced evictions of people who have been assisted. In addition, ‘The threat of unexploded ordnance in urban and peri-urban areas creates risks for HLP rights. Ordnance clearance and release of land, houses and properties may be used to legitimize secondary occupation or exacerbate pre-crisis disputes’. See Section 4.3 on debris and waste management.

HLP issues can be long-term, systemic problems

After a sudden-onset or displacement crisis, urban residents may be rendered homeless, ending up in spontaneous camps (set up by people themselves) or planned camps (set up by agencies). People may live for months or years (for example in Port-au-Prince after the earthquake in Haiti) with no formal tenure arrangements, and be subject to removal at any time.

People displaced into cities often find themselves living among poorer residents who them-selves face HLP challenges, ranging from exploitative/confictual relationships with landlords to insecure land tenure. In Tripoli in Lebanon, some of the most vulnerable settlements are considered illegal by municipal authorities.


---


Table 4.1 Gradation of tenure options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form of tenure</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Level of rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street/pavement dweller</td>
<td>Squatter or informal settler</td>
<td>No rights (no protection against forced eviction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squatter tenant (partial possession)</td>
<td>Squatter or informal settler renting a plot/land with some degree of protection (e.g. may be administrative, such as access to utilities)</td>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squatter ‘owner’</td>
<td>Squatter or informal settler with some degree of protection (e.g. may be administrative, such as access to utilities)</td>
<td>Limited rights against eviction if there is a temporary form of protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant in unauthorised subdivision</td>
<td>Tenant without legal protection with regard to zoning or land use</td>
<td>No rights (no protection against forced eviction) if the site is unsuitable for development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner in unauthorised subdivision</td>
<td>Owner without legal protection with regard to zoning or land use</td>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal owner in unauthorised construction</td>
<td>Owner with legal protection with regard to zoning or land use, but without construction permit</td>
<td>Limited rights to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant with contract</td>
<td>Tenant with legal protection and temporary contract (may be formal or informal contract), including approved zoning and construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaseholder with contract</td>
<td>Long-term leaseholder with renewable and registered contract, including approved zoning and construction</td>
<td>Access to full set of rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeholder</td>
<td>Legal owner, including approved zoning and construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The degree of tenure can be an indicator of vulnerability

Wider vulnerability and the level of tenure are often linked: those with greater insecurity – such as IDPs, refugees and poorer urban dwellers – are almost always more vulnerable.\footnote{NRC, Technical Guidelines for Identifying and Addressing HLP Issues in Informal Settlements/Camps and Collective Centres in Northern Syria (Oslo: NRC, 2017) (www.alnap.org/help-library/technical-guidelines-for-identifying-and-addressing-hlp-issues-in-informal).}

Table 4.1 identifies different tenure categories and associated rights. The first six of the nine types of tenure identified relate to the informal sector.


For an example of an initiative to address land rights and informality in Freetown, Sierra Leone, see Development Action Group, *Sierra Leone Urban Research Centre Pro-poor Land Rights and Informality* (Freetown: SLURC, 2018) (www.slurc.org/uploads/1/0/9/7/109761391/final_dag_report.pdf).

**Box 4.1 Due diligence requirements in camp settings, northern Syria**

In northern Syria, NRC produced guidance for working in informal settlements and collective centres, emphasising that ‘Whether they are working in new or existing informal settlements/camps or collective centres, humanitarian actors have a duty to establish who the owner of the land/property on/in which their intervention will be conducted is and to secure their agreement before commencing activities’.

Due diligence requirements include:

- **Conduct a stakeholder analysis**, which should be updated on a regular basis, to gain and maintain an understanding of stakeholders’ roles in managing and influencing HLP issues.
- **Ensure that you are dealing with the real owner** of the land/property prior to intervention. There may be more than one owner, in which case humanitarian actors need to ensure they are dealing with all.
- Beware fraudulent claims, i.e. people claiming land they do not own for recompense.
- Use of Collective Centres – Collective Centres include public and private buildings that are used to offer temporary shelter to IDPs. At some stage the community is likely to want to use the building for its originally intended purpose. It is always important, therefore, to consider how long the collective centre will be available before planning interventions.
- **Lease/Rental Agreement put in place** – there should be a written agreement between the owner of the land/property and the party who is leasing it.

Undertake due diligence to ensure that activities are rooted in a knowledge of legal conditions. Due diligence is the cornerstone of HLP rights. The aim is that those engaging in HLP have as clear an understanding as possible of the operating context concerning land and tenure. This includes understanding land rights, working to avoid the future threat that affected people may be forcibly evicted from the arrangements planned and preventing the risk of igniting conflict around construction activities. The example in Box 4.1 discusses the steps for enacting due diligence in camps in northern Syria.


Engage with reality

There is often a gap between the reality of what actually happens and the laws that dictate what should happen (referred to above as de jure and de facto). Complex HLP arrangements also mean that not everything that would be ideal will be possible. In the past, efforts may have ‘pushed for certain unworkable solutions, such as mass resettlement, rental ceilings and moratoriums on evictions, rather than accepting that such solutions were unrealistic’. The example from Haiti in Box 4.2 illustrates some of the difficulties encountered in complex situations.

Box 4.2 Addressing land tenure in Port-au-Prince

After the 2010 Haiti earthquake, many humanitarian organisations struggled with complex land tenure arrangements in Port-au-Prince. The scale of the crisis put pressure on organisations that had never had to address the complexity of land tenure in this way before. When organisations began to clear rubble and debris, this created conflict with some residents who felt that the debris was the only thing they had to identify their land. In this tense environment, it was unclear whether it was possible to address land issues at all. In the Katye project, implementing organisations PCI (formerly Project Concern International) and CHF International sought to rebuild the Ravine Pintade neighbourhood. They engaged extensively with community members before removing debris, and used a community consensus process to verify land rights. This allowed debris removal and emergency shelter activities to go ahead, and paved the way for further community participation in planning the neighbourhood’s reconstruction.

Source: Campbell, Barrio Mio and Katye.

21 Levine et al., Avoiding Reality.

### 4.1.4 Addressing HLP issues in shelter programmes

As the above example from Haiti illustrates, shelter and settlement programmes in post-disaster reconstruction need to fully engage with HLP issues. In displacement situations (i.e. involving IDPs, refugees and people displaced by disasters and violence) this can include working with existing neighbourhoods to ensure that new arrivals are sufficiently accepted, and investing in local neighbourhoods, including infrastructure and services, so that incoming and host populations equally benefit. Concerning rental situations, firming up rental agreements and providing support for resolving disputes is a key activity. Here it may be beneficial to place rental agreements in the names of both women and men in a household in contexts where women may be especially vulnerable.22

---

**Box 4.3 Community rental agreements in Lebanon**

‘While implementing a neighbourhood approach project in several neighbourhoods in Lebanon, Care International provided infrastructure upgrades for vulnerable Syrian and Lebanese households (a mixture of renters and owners). Where the household was renting, an agreement was signed by the renter, landlord and a representative from a community committee which gave consent for the works to be implemented, and promised the tenant would not be evicted or face a rental increase for a given period of time. While the rental agreements would not be enforceable by law, the involvement of a community committee helped to ensure these agreements were upheld. Monitoring has shown that a high percentage of tenants who received assistance in this project are still living in the upgraded home and had not been evicted or had their rent increased, even 1–2 years after the agreement had expired’.  


---

**Provide support to prevent unlawful evictions**

Forced eviction is a humanitarian concern in displacement situations as well as in post-disaster contexts (failure to engage with the threat of evictions undoes recovery and support efforts and increases protection risks). NRC’s advice is to undertake assessments in eviction

---

22 NRC, *Security of Tenure in Urban Areas.*
Box 4.4 Evictions in Lebanon

‘A study of UN-Habitat and UNHCR in 2014 in Lebanon indicated how most eviction cases are due to refugees’ inability to pay their agreed rental fees. Other reasons for eviction were related to security concerns; either local tensions or proximity to an Army position. It was found that eviction often takes place outside of a legal framework and in violation of both international and Lebanese national laws. Moreover, refugees often do not have access to courts to address objections.

‘Refugees often resort to social networks. Mediation and legal advice offered by international NGOs are effective means in addressing HLP protection concerns. Although taking a rights-based approach should be the main focus, it may be complemented by market-based incentives to effectively ensure refugees’ HLP protection. For example, state private land and awkaf (religious endowment land) can offer formal opportunities to improve regulation of landlord tenant relations’.


Humanitarian organisations can support crises-affected people to reclaim their HLP rights by facilitating access to legal support to replace lost identification and documentation. In Iraq, one individual who had been denied food rations because she did not have identification was given access to rations following the intervention of a lawyer provided by IRC and UNHCR. Identity needs will vary by person – for example, some individuals will find it particularly difficult to obtain legal recognition, and may not want digital records of their identity, such as people fleeing persecution.

---


situations to differentiate between forced evacuations and lawful evictions. In the former there are grounds to provide legal support. Catholic Relief Services (CRS)’s experience in the response to Typhoon Haiyan in Tacloban city in 2013 highlighted the need to enable safe tenure conditions by facilitating notarisation or legalisation of rental or land usage contracts, and to coordinate with local authorities on referral pathways for legal support to tenants. The example in Box 4.4 summarises research concerning evictions and the support provided by agencies.
Monitor and evaluate tenure

This is often overlooked, but is essential if understandings of tenure are to be improved, both within active programmes and also for longer-term activities in other crises. As noted above, monitoring and evaluation should be undertaken within multi-sectoral, holistic programme approaches, such as area-based approaches (discussed in Section 3.2). Monitoring and evaluation is discussed in Section 3.10.

Use advocacy measures to improve tenure

Advocacy concerns improving the operating environment in which agencies enact programmes. Activities and outcomes of successful advocacy can include liaising with city authorities to ensure that displaced people have fair access to resources, where disputes can be resolved and where refugees’ access to formal employment can be secured. Advocacy approaches range from informally engaging decision-makers to formal positions and statements (these may be more successful when agencies are aligned). Advocacy can however be risky depending on the nature of governance in a particular setting, and the freedom civil society enjoys to criticise power-holders.

After Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines, a number of organisations advocated to the government against the imposition of a ‘no build zone’. One national NGO, Urban Poor Associates, supported by Christian Aid, organised 200,000 families in a campaign against the policy, resulting in a government agreement to cease evictions until permanent and decent housing was available, and securing the right to build transitional shelters.25

In summary, HLP rights cannot be overlooked in humanitarian action in urban settings. In post-disaster recovery, decisions on location that ignore HLP can lead to wasted investments, while in protracted crises the vulnerability of affected households may be heightened by uncertainty around where they live. Failure to address tenure adequately can lead to conflict and violence. Effective HLP engagement therefore involves understanding the context, identifying barriers, engaging with relevant actors (landlords, the private sector, municipal authorities and affected people) and, perhaps above all, given the subject’s complexity, deciding on what can realistically be achieved over what timeframe.

4.2 Shelter and settlements

Shelter refers to a safe place for people to sleep, eat and carry out household functions. In urban areas, while there are a range of options for meeting shelter needs, there are also a number of challenges. In cities, many different forms of shelter exist, including shacks in informal settlements, apartments, individual rooms with shared services, shared accommodation, temporary hostels, high-rise buildings and individual houses. In many cities, people also live on the street and in parks in makeshift structures.

Settlements relates to the areas where people live and form communities, such as neighbourhoods, often with high densities, shared services and shared public spaces.

This section discusses some of the challenges and opportunities in enacting urban shelter and settlements activities. It covers damage assessments, cash transfer programming, technical support, settlements-based approaches, building local skills and recovery and location considerations. Examples are provided from rapid-onset disasters and protracted crises.

Useful resources


This section links to a number of others in this Good Practice Review. It links closely to Section 1.3 on displacement. The many forms of rights to live in places, i.e. tenure and its relationship to HLP rights, is a vital component of shelter, discussed in Section 4.1. Using cash, for example to subsidise rents for refugees, is also an important tool. Cash is discussed in Section 3.3. Shelter and settlements also relate closely to protection (Section 4.7) and WASH (Section 4.4). In programming terms, it especially relates to area-based approaches (Section 3.2), and links closely with market assessments.

4.2.1 Challenges

Addressing shelter and settlement needs in a post-disaster setting has been described as ‘one of the most intractable problems in international humanitarian response’.26 In urban areas there are a number of challenges, including:

- Density, leading to a lack of space. After a rapid-onset disaster spontaneous camps often appear, where households gather in open spaces such as parks and squares and may build temporary shelters. Space is at a premium, with ensuing problems of water, sanitation and protection.

- Verticality. Urban living includes living in high-rise buildings. After a rapid-onset disaster, the repair of such buildings may be outside the scope of operational agencies.

- Timeframe. In displacement situations, the shelter and housing needs of refugees and IDPs may last for years or decades. In post-disaster situations, people may be in temporary camps and living in makeshift shelters for years (as was the case in Port-au-Prince following the earthquake in 2010).

- Government controls. While this is an operational reality for all stages of programming across all sectors, in many post-disaster situations governments – for their own reasons – have prevented agencies from engaging in shelter recovery programmes, for example following the 2005 earthquake in Pakistan.

- Land ownership, which can be complex and varied. For instance, land that may appear vacant may be owned by absentee landlords; people living in ‘illegal’ settlements may be omitted from rebuilding efforts (see Section 4.1 on HLP rights).

- Linking to long-term municipal planning decisions. Temporary housing often becomes permanent, with quick decisions such as where to site a camp leading to long-term consequences. Where to locate camps therefore is a critical planning issue, but one that is sometimes overlooked when enacting relief and recovery operations (see below).

• Diversity of stakeholders. The multiplicity of actors in urban settings (including the private sector, civil society, political parties and spontaneous volunteer groups), as with other sectors, means that time is needed for negotiation if a degree of consensus is required (see Section 1.5 on urban actors).

The Global Shelter Cluster’s inaugural *The State of Humanitarian Shelter and Settlements* report emphasises the need to take an ‘urban approach’ to enacting shelter programmes in cities, meaning taking a systems view of the city (discussed previously in Section 1.1), understanding the context (see Section 3.5), adopting a cross-sectoral approach (see Section 2.1), aligning humanitarian action with longer-term development and planning considerations, such as protection and HLP rights (discussed in Sections 4.7 and 4.1).

As the Global Shelter Cluster acknowledges, a systemic challenge in urban shelter provision has been that the global shelter approach, until relatively recently, has been geared towards the provision of physical shelter products, such as tarpaulins, tents, temporary shelters.

**Box 4.5 Urban shelter needs in the Nepal earthquakes**

Three of the 14 districts worst affected by the earthquakes in Nepal in 2015 were in towns and cities, with the government’s Post-Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA) reporting that 25% of the damage occurred in urban areas. However, urban response was largely overlooked. One report notes that: ‘In urban areas, renters whose rental accommodation was damaged or destroyed by the earthquake are a potentially very vulnerable group that there is little information on, especially as they are outside of the reconstruction grant programme’.

Research among 13 international NGOs that responded to the earthquakes appears to confirm this omission. As one key informant stated, urban areas ‘got camps, relief items and cash, and there it stopped’. Another said that their organisation did not work in urban areas as these were ‘not comfortable’. A third key informant stated that ‘urban people have been left out just because they look better’. A fourth said that the response ‘missed urban affected communities, areas and issues’. In a survey of NGO personnel, in response to the statement ‘Sufficient attention was given to affected urban areas’, no one strongly agreed and 17% agreed, while 59% were neutral and 24% disagreed or strongly disagreed.


---

(of various types) and shelter kits. While these can be useful in urban areas – especially tarpaulins and tents in an immediate post-disaster situation – temporary shelter in urban areas has proved problematic, for reasons including space constraints, the time taken to deliver it and the cost.\textsuperscript{28} There is also growing awareness of the environmental costs of the provision of temporary materials.\textsuperscript{29}


4.2.2 Opportunities

Cities, by their nature, offer varied approaches and opportunities for shelter and settlements programming. Many do not include building temporary shelters (beyond perhaps tents in open spaces after a rapid-onset disaster), but rather are about reusing and/or reconstructing existing building stock (see damage assessments, Section 4.2.3), or using financial mechanisms and rental arrangements. For instance, support to rent apartments or rooms is widely provided in many displacement situations involving refugees and IDPs (see the Lebanon case study in Box 4.8). Figure 4.1 identifies different typologies for urban shelter pre- and post-disaster, for displaced and non-displaced populations.

Deciding what \textit{not} to do is perhaps at least as important as deciding what to do. Rebuilding a ten-storey apartment building is probably beyond the timeframe, affordability and remit of most agencies. A key question, as with other sectors, must be: how can limited resources best help those affected? Concerning shelter, housing an urban population is more in the remit of city planning and government decision-making. To these ends, whatever actions aid agencies take in this sector ought to consider not only meeting immediate basic needs, but also, where possible, how to invest in the long-term recovery of affected households, especially the most vulnerable.

The essential fact (illustrated well by the Baghdad case study in Box 4.6) is that successful shelter programmes are heavily process-oriented. This is a key point repeatedly made throughout the Global Shelter Cluster’s \textit{The State of Humanitarian Shelter and Settlements 2018} report – that failure to engage sufficiently in process, for instance the inclusion of


\textsuperscript{29} See Section 4.3 on debris management. This is also discussed by Jake Zarins in the chapter on ‘Leading by Example: Looking to the Future for the Shelter and Settlements Sector’, in Global Shelter Cluster, \textit{The State of Humanitarian Shelter and Settlements 2018}. 
Figure 4.1 Urban pre- and post-disaster housing options

Box 4.6 Shelter reconstruction in Baghdad

An urban shelter response in Baghdad undertaken by NRC illustrates the need to engage with the complexity of the situation, and that adequate programming takes time and involves building relations. Four steps are identified. The first is ‘positioning’, involving engaging with stakeholders, identifying challenges and opportunities, learning from history and learning from recent responses. The second step is ‘analysing’, which comprises understanding the context (using context analysis – further discussed in Section 3.5 – looking at institutions, relations and power) and developing a theory of change. The third step is ‘strategising’, including identifying entry points, precedents and trade-offs. The fourth step is ‘implementing’, including accessing information, knowing where to look, knowing who to ask, building a team and building relationships with governments and communities.


people in critical decisions on location, undermines eventual shelter programmes. As the report notes, quoting shelter practitioner Graham Saunders, programmes that do not engage sufficiently in process (and focus on the shelter product alone) ‘fail to maximize local enterprise opportunities or acknowledge cultural or contextual concerns, and reflect the relative lack of involvement of specifiers and end-users in the design and development process’.\(^{30}\)

### 4.2.3 Damage assessments

Damage assessments are used to evaluate the state of buildings and infrastructure following a disaster. ALNAP and RedR\(^{31}\) identify the following forms of damage assessment:

- Rapid Visual Assessments (RVAs), an initial review of the quality of a building or infrastructure, undertaken by trained teams.
- Structural Integrity and Damage Assessments (SIDAs) undertaken by engineers and other suitably qualified personnel.
- Detailed Engineering Assessments (DEAs), also undertaken by engineers and other suitably qualified personnel.


The research has recommended undertaking assessments on a neighbourhood-by-neighbourhood basis and being aware of population movements (given that, post-disaster, people may move temporarily elsewhere, and return later on). See also Section 4.3 on debris management.

4.2.4 Using cash programming in shelter provision

As with other sectors, using cash provides greater choice in the kinds of activities that can be undertaken. Cash has obvious traction in urban areas where markets exist. For housing recovery, affected people may use cash for rent or to rebuild, or use the money to move to a better location – something which may be especially attractive to people living in poor-quality and unsanitary conditions (see the case study on Haiti in Box 4.9). Cash programming in relation to shelter also encourages an ‘owner-driven approach’ (as opposed to a ‘donor-driven approach’), long recognised as beneficial in supporting local people to make their own decisions.

In protracted crises, cash transfer programming provides options for households, in particular in cities where there are functioning rental markets (which is just about everywhere). Cash also has application in rapid-onset disasters, in terms of giving people greater choice, enabling local purchases of materials (thus supporting local markets, vendors and materials) and reducing transaction costs. Shelter practitioners also note that a challenge remains in ensuring technical quality (if technical assistance is not included as part of a shelter cash programme).

---

Box 4.7 RVAs in Nepal and Haiti

An RVA of buildings was carried out in Nepal after the 2015 earthquakes. Assessors conducted both internal and external inspections. Later assessments were carried out by engineers. After the 2010 Haiti earthquake, satellite imagery was used (comparing locations before and after the disaster) as part of a damage assessment process that took some 14 months to complete. Buildings were marked with a simple colour coding: safe buildings were marked green, more risky buildings yellow and dangerous buildings red.

Quality improvements can be achieved by adding conditions or restrictions on the use of funds by recipients of assistance. For example, conditional transfers imply that households meet certain quality targets before receiving the next tranche. Restricted cash transfers imply that recipients need to use the funds for specific purposes or buy from selected vendors. This allows for monitoring of the quality of construction materials.

Research from ALNAP and RedR\textsuperscript{33} notes that ‘cash response can create shocks in urban markets as it generates artificial inflation and impacts availability and access to markets’. To reduce this risk, the research recommends undertaking market assessments, for example of the rental market, construction markets and supply chains. Cash modalities and methods of market analysis are discussed further in Section 3.3 on cash and markets.

See also:


\textbf{4.2.5 Providing shelter assistance with technical support}

In shelter building programmes, combining materials with practical training and technical assistance is vital. Failure to do so may make rebuilt structures more dangerous than the ones that were there before. Experience also shows that, within neighbourhoods, decision-making needs to be transparent, with the ongoing engagement of affected households. This can be a lengthy process, but it is a vital one given that, for most households, a home represents their biggest asset. In shelter programmes using cash transfers, structural quality may not be fully adhered to by recipients of assistance, for example because stronger construction costs more money.

\textbf{4.2.6 Settlements-based approaches}

For recovery involving reconstruction, a settlements-based approach has been shown to work well in urban areas. Based on long-standing principles of urban planning, settlements-based approaches focus on long-term recovery programmes working with neighbourhood groups in local contexts, combining different sectors (such as WASH and protection).
Settlements-based approaches have attracted a lot of interest in the last few years in particular. Also known as area-based approaches, this is discussed in Section 3.2.

A wider settlements-based approach underscores that shelter and settlements programmes involve, not just shelter or housing, but also infrastructure, such as roads, walls and steps, and services, such as water, sanitation and lighting. Any programme therefore needs to treat this as a multifaceted reconstruction project, with associated technical skills, foresight, links to planning, adherence to building regulations and links to other sectors. This is complex and takes a long time.

4.2.7 Building local skills

If engaging in reconstruction, use this to contribute to the local economy and also strengthen skills. Supporting local businesses to supply construction materials that are locally sourced helps generate jobs and income. Shelter reconstruction programmes can include training for craftspeople, masons and carpenters, providing a form of investment in long-term recovery. Training should be high-quality, for example through the use of certified schemes recognised by employers (see Section 4.5 on livelihoods).

4.2.8 Recovery and location considerations

In the reconstruction of settlements, policy and practice has been to keep households in their original locations, if it is safe to do so, to maintain social and historic ties. The World
Bank’s disaster recovery guidelines state that ‘Relocation disrupts lives and should be minimized’. Following the Haiti earthquake, UN-Habitat adopted a strategy of ‘safe return’ (i.e. rebuilding in the original neighbourhood if safe to do so); following the 1985 Mexico City earthquake, homeless residents were encouraged to camp close to or in their neighbourhoods while reconstruction took place.

This approach may not apply in all circumstances (for example where land is rendered dangerous from landslides). It is also questionable for people living in informal settlements and sub-standard living conditions. In these circumstances, relocation elsewhere may well be a better option. The case study in Box 4.9 presents experience following the Haiti earthquake, where neighbourhoods living in poor conditions have relocated.

In summary, there are a range of options for enacting programmes for people affected by rapid-onset disasters or forcibly displaced by conflict. At the same time, shelter programming is complex and multifaceted. Post-disaster reconstruction is slow, expensive and beset by challenges, some of which have been identified in this section. Urban shelter programming differs markedly from rural shelter recovery programmes (on which the experience of the shelter sector is largely based), and planned refugee camps, for obvious reasons of space, density, complex land ownership arrangements, markets and building restrictions. Urban shelter programmes are often as much (if not more) to do with rental markets and

Box 4.9 Construction in Canaan, Haiti

Over 200,000 homes and more than a million people were displaced by the earthquake in Haiti in January 2010. The severity of the damage, combined with pre-disaster population densities (with many people living in poor-quality tenements), caused large numbers of people to move out of the capital, Port-au-Prince, to an empty piece of land called Canaan. By the end of 2016, the population of Canaan had increased to 200,000, making it the country’s fourth-largest urban area. This ‘represents a massive mobilization of local grass-roots organization and private and/or redirected humanitarian resources’. The rapid growth of Canaan is attributed to the government declaring the area public land in 2010, which led to informal growth and house-building by investors. This is not new – ‘Port-au-Prince had seen opportunistic rapid urbanization during previous periods of political and economic crisis over the past two decades’. The lessons from Canaan point to the capacity of affected people to determine and act on their own recovery.

landlords than they are to do with agency-driven physical reconstruction. Successful shelter programmes take note of this complexity and plan for long-term, neighbourhood-oriented and inclusive recovery programmes, such as those described in Section 3.2 on area-based approaches.

4.3 Debris and disaster waste management

After a rapid-onset urban disaster such as an earthquake, landslide or tsunami, millions of tons of rubble need clearing and, where possible, reusing. Large amounts of rubble and debris also must be cleared during and after armed conflict, as well as unexploded bombs, munitions and booby traps, known as explosive remnants of war (ERWs).

This section defines debris and disaster waste; identifies hazard types and the kinds of waste that can result from disasters and conflict; and key actors and good practice in debris clearance. The section ends with a discussion of ERWs.

4.3.1 Defining debris and disaster waste

Debris can be defined as ‘a mixture of building waste and rubble typically arising from damaged buildings and their demolition. This waste stream can include natural materials such as clay and mud, trees, branches, bushes, etc’. The broader term ‘disaster waste’ includes ‘all solid and liquid waste generated from a disaster, not limited to debris’. Within humanitarian response, debris clearance is often coordinated by the shelter cluster, while waste management falls under WASH (see Section 4.4).

Table 4.2 identifies some of the types of waste that can result from disasters and armed conflict.

4.3.2 Key actors

A wide range of actors are engaged/can engage in debris management, including:

- People working in the informal economy collecting and recycling waste – in many cities, waste picking and recycling is a large factor in the lives of many poorer people.

---


36 The full list is: ‘concrete, steel, wood, clay, tar elements from damaged buildings, infrastructure, household furnishings, parts from power and telephone grids such as electrical poles, wire, electronic equipment, transformers, parts from water and sewage distribution centres, natural debris such as trees, mud and plants, chemicals, dyes and other raw materials from industries and workshops, waste from relief operations, damaged boats, cars, buses, bicycles, unexploded ordnances (UX), packaging materials, pesticides and fertilizers, paint, varnish and solvents and healthcare waste’.
### Earthquakes

Structures collapse ‘in-situ’, i.e. floor slabs collapse on top of each other, trapping waste within damaged buildings and structures. This can lead to challenges in sorting out hazardous waste (e.g. asbestos) from non-hazardous (e.g. general building rubble).

Handling waste often requires heavy machinery, which communities may not be able to afford or have difficulty accessing.

Collapsed buildings may overlap across streets, making access difficult for search and rescue and relief operations.

Quantities of waste are high compared to other disaster types since all building contents normally become waste.

### Flooding

Floods often lead to mass displacement, which in turn requires shelters and camps and leads to large volumes of household waste.

Initial damage depends on the structural integrity of infrastructure, while building contents are normally damaged extensively. Mould may be present and timber may have begun to rot.

Buildings are typically stripped by owners and waste placed on roads for collection. Waste is often mixed with hazardous materials such as household cleaning products and electronic goods.

Flooding may bring mud, clay and gravel into affected areas, making access difficult once the floodwater recedes. Removal may be required for relief and recovery operations. The mud, clay and gravel may be mixed with hazardous materials, requiring further assessment before dumping.

### Tsunamis

Strong tsunamis can cause widespread damage to infrastructure, spreading debris over large areas. Debris is often mixed with soil, trees, bushes and other loose objects such as vehicles. This makes waste difficult to handle and segregate.

Strong winds can tear the roofs off buildings, after which they may collapse.

Poorly constructed houses and huts can ‘fold’ under roof tops. Even brick and concrete walls may collapse.

Waste is spread over open land, streets and marketplaces. This would include roofing materials, small items and dust carried by the wind. This may cause serious problems where asbestos is present.

Ships and boats are often thrown ashore and destroyed, requiring specialised waste management. Vessels that sink in harbours need to be removed.

Electrical and telephone grids as well as transformers containing oil and PCBs may be destroyed.

Intense, short-term conflicts can involve rockets, missiles and bombs, which, combined with land combat, result in damage to buildings and infrastructure, key strategic installations being bombed and/or widespread damage to industrial and residential areas.

Damaged infrastructure is often burnt, resulting in the destruction of most internal furnishings and fittings. This reduces the quantities of debris to be managed and leaves primarily non-flammable items such as concrete, bricks and stones. Bridges, roadways, railway structures, etc. are often targeted. Their clearance requires heavy machinery such as excavators and bulldozers.

Waste collection vehicles may be damaged or commandeered for military purposes.

Unexploded ordnance (UXO) including undetonated landmines may be present among waste.

Protracted conflicts share similarities with short-term, intense conflicts, but there is often more widespread damage to building and infrastructure, and increased use of landmines on or near strategic roadways and facilities.

---

**Table 4.2 Hazard types and waste**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earthquakes</td>
<td>Structures collapse ‘in-situ’, trapping waste within damaged buildings and structures. Handling waste often requires heavy machinery. Collapsed buildings may overlap across streets, making access difficult. Quantities of waste are high.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>Floods lead to mass displacement, requiring shelters and camps. Initial damage depends on structural integrity. Buildings are typically stripped and waste placed on roads. Floodwater may bring mud, making access difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsunamis</td>
<td>Strong tsunamis cause widespread damage, spreading debris over large areas. Debris is mixed with soil, trees, and other objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurricanes, typhoons, cyclones</td>
<td>Strong winds can tear roofs off buildings. Waste is spread over open land and streets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict – short-term</td>
<td>Intense, short-term conflicts involve rockets, missiles, and bombs, resulting in damage to buildings and infrastructure. Damaged infrastructure is often burnt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict – protracted</td>
<td>Protracted conflicts share similarities with short-term conflicts, with more widespread damage and increased use of landmines.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Public and private sector solid waste management service companies.
• Specialist organisations dealing in hazardous waste removal (see below).
• Local authorities responsible for waste management.
• National and city governments.
• In armed conflict situations, specialist organisations experienced in the disposal of explosive materials (see below).

4.3.3 Good practice in debris clearance

A UNDP review of debris management in disaster and armed conflict situations identified the following key lessons.

Put people at the centre of debris clearance efforts. In contexts without hazardous materials, the review notes that ‘debris removal and recycling is inextricably linked to getting the community back onto its feet in the often slow and painstaking job of returning to normalcy’.

Start clearance immediately, given that a comprehensive debris management plan may take several months to formulate and adopt. Main arteries should be cleared first, followed by markets and schools. Hazardous sites should be cleared last, to discourage people from moving to them.

Balance the above with a recognition of the value of debris. Debris provides materials for emergency shelter as well as fuel for heating and cooking food or boiling water. Much debris has value to the owner and considerable use in the recovery effort. As CARE notes, ‘Simply collecting and disposing of all debris without taking these factors into account will make the recovery process more costly and more difficult than if the debris is transformed into a positive contribution to recovery’. Studies indicate that 30%–40% of urban debris is recyclable, and metals and plastics can be sold both locally and internationally. Debris removal also provides an opportunity to build capacity through coordination, communication and partnerships between the private sector, NGOs and local authorities. Debris clearance is also an opportunity for cash for work programmes.

Be respectful of personal belongings, which may be mixed up with rubble. Rubble itself may be seen as a personal possession, for instance where it demarks a residence. In a number


of instances in Haiti after the 2010 earthquake, the presence of rubble was the only proof of land ownership (see Section 4.1 on HLP rights).

Be mindful of health and safety – such as safety clothing for people working on clearance. UNDP recommends a group health insurance scheme for workers, including those engaged in cash for work programmes.

Strike a balance between the social benefits of labour-intensive rubble removal involving local communities and engaging private companies, which may utilise heavy machinery, for example bulldozers, and get the job done much more quickly.

Assess the quantity of rubble to be removed in order to plan the work at hand: the timeframe, equipment and labour needed and the means of removal and disposal. Debris removal should take into account traffic conditions and the time it is likely to take. Unusable rubble needs to be transported to areas where it will not cause problems later. This needs planning.

Additional good practice includes:

Recycling opportunities exist for plastic sheeting. Plastic sheeting is one of the most common items of emergency assistance following a rapid-onset disaster. Given the volume of such assistance, recycling and reusing these materials present opportunities for local entrepreneurs and others. A Global Shelter Cluster Operational Guidance Note provides advice on the repair, reuse and disposal of plastic sheeting – see www.sheltercluster.org/sites/default/files/docs/recycling_reuse_and_disposal_of_plastic-sheeting.pdf.

Hazardous materials need specialist clearance. Often, debris can include toxic elements, for instance composites of construction materials. These should be analysed at an early stage through rapid assessments. Once hazardous materials are found, they should be managed according to best practice and the legal framework in the location concerned. Asbestos is a common problem after disasters and requires special attention due to its impact on health. For further information, see www.sheltercluster.org/sites/default/files/docs/Asbestos%20in%20Emergencies%202010.pdf.

4.3.4 Explosive remnants of war

Explosive remnants of war (ERWs) are a common danger during and after conflict. ERWs include landmines, booby traps, cluster munitions and unexploded ordnance, which can explode at any time. ERWs can stay in place for decades. Children are particularly vulnerable ‘given their propensity to play with foreign objects that may look like toys or otherwise
Box 4.10 Debris removal after the Haiti earthquake

The earthquake in Haiti in 2010 produced around 10 million cubic metres of debris. In its aftermath, the government and the UN implemented an Action Plan for National Recovery and Development (PARDN), which included debris clearance and the demolition of dangerous buildings. Lessons include:

- Ensure clarity on neighbourhood expectations, capacities and constraints.
- Coordinate between key actors at all levels – between different parts of government, and between neighbourhood leaders and other organisations, such as NGOs and other civil society organisations.
- Set up community platforms: ‘The effective participation of the population through community platforms must take place in the early stages of the programme and must consider gender and generational equity issues’.


Contamination can also ‘contribute to population displacement, loss of livelihoods, impeded or obstructed access to essential infrastructure and services, and increased vulnerability’. ERWs may be within collapsed buildings, making them harder to detect, and finding ERWs and making them safe slows down reconstruction. Following Israeli airstrikes in Gaza in 2014, for example, some 7,000 ERWs were left in damaged buildings. In such instances, ‘the process of removing contaminated rubble is often dangerous in itself as unexploded ordnance can detonate and injure clearance teams’.


43 ICRC and InterAction, When War Moves to Cities, p. 11.
A number of specialist humanitarian organisations work in this area, including the Mines Advisory Group (MAG) and the Halo Trust. At the time of writing the Halo Trust was piloting a project for more effective urban demining, which aims to ‘assess the level and nature of explosive contamination in post-conflict urban environments, primarily in the Middle East, and develop new clearance methods’. Civilians may also sometimes engage in clearing ERWs:

*In Aleppo, the Syrian Civil Defense, or White Helmets, works to clear rubble and detonate ERW using nonexplosive methods. This group of volunteers also disseminates information about the dangers of ERW to people through discussions in schools, mosques, and other public spaces. When surveyed, Gazans, having experienced three conflicts between 2008 and 2014, were well aware of methods for reporting ERW to the proper authorities; this is likely attributable to mine risk education efforts.*

Projects relating to ERWs also include risk awareness training, victim assistance and support for protection needs. Examples can be found on the database managed by UN Mine Action (www.mineaction.org/en/portfolio-of-mine-action-projects). International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) provides ‘criteria for all aspects of demining’, including guiding principles, legal requirements and responsibilities of respective actors. Further information can be found at: www.mineactionstandards.org/standards/international-mine-action-standards-imas/imas-in-english/.

### 4.4 Water, sanitation and hygiene

Implementing water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) programmes in urban areas is complex and difficult. As a basic need, effective WASH is vital to prevent loss of life and the spread of disease, a consideration that in dense urban areas can be especially acute. The reliance of people living in cities on essential services such as water and sanitation makes them especially vulnerable to service disruptions during crises.

This section identifies general WASH issues. It discusses WASH in protracted crises and in displacement settings, and reviews good practice in water and hygiene. This section links

---

44 See [www.maginternational.org/](http://www.maginternational.org/).


47 ICRC and InterAction, *When War Moves to Cities*, p. 11.

48 This section benefited in particular from the inputs of Michael Talhami, Urban Adviser – Water and Habitat Unit, ICRC.
closely to a number of others in this GPR, including health, protection, shelter and cash, and of course to all sections relating to project management.

4.4.1 WASH challenges

The range and quality of urban WASH is vast, from sewage and water supply systems in the wealthier parts of cities to the almost complete absence of water and sanitation facilities in informal settlements. Vital components of WASH interventions include social and institutional factors (for example who gets what quality of service, depending on where they live in the city and their level of access), as well as technical solutions (the ways in which water and sanitation is provided and managed).49

Like other sectors, WASH in cities presents particular challenges related to the scale, complexity and interconnectedness of urban service systems. Issues to consider include:

- Deteriorating and aging infrastructure and service systems, including but not limited to water, wastewater, electricity and solid waste management.
- Dilapidated buildings requiring repair and maintenance of water and sanitation systems. These structures may be several storeys high, including residential buildings, hospitals and schools.
- Different types of ownership (private and/or public), operation and maintenance of municipal water and sewerage services.
- Private sector service providers such as pit latrine emptiers that operate on a small scale but play an essential role in cities (particularly in low-income areas).
- The wide range of urban WASH needs and issues, from the use of water-borne sewage systems to cesspits and pit latrines in informal settlements.
- People living in informal settlements may rely on water pumps and/or water vendors (public or private, formal or informal) for their water supply.
- Sanitation conditions in informal settlements can be extremely poor, affecting health, protection concerns and dignity, for instance in relation to menstrual hygiene, and options for excreta disposal may be limited.

A complex web of stakeholders are involved in WASH, each with their own aims, mandates and areas of expertise. All have shifting relationships with each other, and understanding this web of relationships is a crucial part of urban WASH programming. For a discussion of service providers, with examples from Lebanon and Jordan, see L. Diep et al., Water, 49

---

4.4.2 WASH interventions

During an acute emergency, humanitarian organisations may substitute for a service provider by installing tanks or bladders and initiating water trucking. In protracted settings, considerations include encouraging municipal authorities to cover the financing for the salaries of their staff, as well as funding for operations and maintenance, and mobilising the central government and possibly development actors (once the situation allows for their return) to actively commit to restoring services and helping the utility move towards financial stability. Support to service providers typically aims to help them meet their responsibility to operate, maintain and repair the system. In these circumstances, humanitarian organisations have a role to play in providing spare parts and consumables, and sub-contracting out repairs and rehabilitation work to construction companies.

The long-term provision of basic water and sanitation services is the mandate of official service providers (public and/or private), not NGOs. Humanitarian responses must therefore clearly understand whether substituting for those service providers or supporting them is more appropriate. If the former is required, then there must be a clear strategy and roadmap for establishing (or re-establishing) a sustainable service, and when and how responsibilities will be transferred back to official service providers. Building the resilience of water and sanitation utilities through coordinating with and supporting local actors in the short term will better prepare them to adapt and serve urban populations during future humanitarian crises.

In contexts of displacement, UNHCR states that ‘WASH interventions in urban areas aim to provide refugees [and IDPs] with safe access to water of sufficient quality and quantity, and good quality sanitation; to improve hygiene practices; and improve WASH in hospitals, health and nutrition centres, schools and other institutions, in order to achieve the same quality of services as host communities and ultimately reach national WASH service standards’. To that end, UNHCR identifies the following key activities:

- Assess gaps and needs in WASH infrastructure.
- Constantly monitor WASH needs and changes in needs.
- Work closely and coordinate with government ministries, water providers, municipalities and other actors (such as UN agencies and donors), noting that ‘The best outcome is to provide urban refugees with full access to national services’.

---

• UNHCR also notes that water needs paying for. For those unable to afford this, cash grants are an option. State-supplied water is almost always cheaper than bottled water or water supplied by vendors.


4.4.3 Water

Water here means ground water, water treatment and testing, surface water, desalinated water, collected rainwater and water supply (water supply is any water that is intended for consumption, hygiene and industrial use). Typical stages from source to consumer include production, treatment, transmission, storage and distribution. Issues concerning urban water delivery include: 51

• Water delivery ranges from piped water supply in wealthier parts of the city to water points (such as wells and boreholes) and vendors selling water in informal settlements. Humanitarian interventions in an emergency, where water supply is disrupted, should ensure that they do not distort the long-term market for formal water supply.

• Water systems need technical know-how to work. This may be in short supply immediately after a disaster, and restoring water systems may take time. Effort is required to support local engineers (often including employees from the water and wastewater service provider/utility) and other technical specialists.

• Water quality can be an issue at any step from source through to consumption, and hence needs to be factored in from production to treatment, transmission, storage and distribution. Household-level treatment may need to be considered in some cases.

• There may be issues regarding legal constraints around providing permanent water supply to temporary shelters.

• The provision of drinking water using disposable plastic bottles can create a solid waste management concern. Currently there is little recognition of or responsibility for addressing this issue among implementing agencies.

51 ALNAP and RedR UK, Urban WASH in Emergencies.
Box 4.11 Wastewater evacuation in Gaza

‘In 2014, the hostilities in the Gaza Strip at times required urgent action to ensure the safe evacuation of wastewater from built-up areas. In coordination with the Coastal Municipal Water Utility (CMWU), the ICRC supported the repair of wastewater infrastructure that was damaged. These actions included repairs successfully carried out on a sewage pumping station, a wastewater treatment plant, sections of the network, both inlet and outlet pipes to lagoons, as well as an effluent pressure pipeline. These quick repairs carried out by a construction contractor helped to prevent untreated wastewater from flooding into the streets and nearby homes, seeping into the aquifer, or flowing off to the sea. Ultimately, these actions aim to safeguard public health.’


- Wastewater can be a problem. The focus in areas where there is a wastewater collection and treatment system is to ensure that the network remains intact and that lifting stations continue to function properly so that wastewater is safely evacuated from a built-up, populated area.

- Sewage systems that utilise wastewater collection and treatment systems can be a significant problem. In urban settings, humanitarian actors often focus on water supply and leave wastewater as a distant priority. In Aleppo during the Syrian conflict, the wastewater treatment plant was damaged and looted to the extent that there was nothing humanitarian organisations supporting the local service provider could do to bring it back online. This can pose a health risk for the population, for the general environment and for groundwater.

In repairing water systems, agencies need to identify where their best efforts lie. This almost certainly involves partnering with the private sector and/or public sector institutions (see the example from Gaza in Box 4.11). It also means advocating for supply to reach less developed parts of the city, so that repairs do not focus only on wealthier areas or areas experiencing rapid influxes of IDPs and refugees. The repair of city water supplies provides an important advocacy opportunity for extending the reach of water supply to poorer settlements, and relief activities can be complemented by work to strengthen the preparedness and capacity of official service providers to respond to crises in the future.


---

4.4.4 Water in protracted conflict situations
In protracted conflict settings, one aim is to reduce the rate of service decline, such as water supply, to avert a public health crisis and mitigate displacement (or at least that displacement that is in part caused by a lack of access to essential services). For example, programmes may seek to ensure that municipal service providers have the spare parts and consumables they need, which year after year is logistically heavy in protracted settings (for instance, consumables for water treatment such as aluminium sulphate, sodium hypochlorite and silica sand).

Repair and rehabilitation work can continue during active conflict. For example, in coordination with the local service provider, ICRC arranged for a construction company to carry out repairs to the main water transmission pipeline to the Syrian city of Hama, home to 1.3 million people. While the assessment and repairs took a week, negotiation to ensure safe access took three. In the words of one ICRC water specialist, ‘we often say that emergency response is less about the technical side and the ability of the contractors to perform the work, and more about the politics and negotiations that are necessary to ensure that you have safe access’.53


4.4.5 Sanitation
Sanitation here refers to ‘the provision of facilities and services for the safe management of human excreta from the toilet to containment and storage and treatment onsite or conveyance, treatment and eventual safe end use or disposal’.54 Typical stages from household sources (toilets, and potentially including grey water from sinks and drains at household level if there is no storm water system in place) to treatment and potential reuse include collection, transmission, treatment and discharge. Sanitation in urban areas is varied, ranging from the use of water-borne sewage systems to cesspits, latrines and open defecation. In long-term emergencies, access to quality services may be diminished, while people caught up in rapid-onset disasters may find themselves without access to sanitation (see Section 4.8 on health).


54 WHO, ‘Sanitation’ (www.who.int/topics/sanitation/en/).
Box 4.12 Sanitation and a cholera outbreak in Zambia

A prolonged cholera outbreak in Lusaka, Zambia, from December 2017 to March 2018 originated in peri-urban areas of the city, where many residents access water using unregulated boreholes or shallow wells located close to poorly constructed pit latrines that leach their contents into a high water table. The response to the outbreak included public and private sector service providers. For example, the Lusaka Water and Sewerage Company (LWSC) identified the pit latrines that were in danger of flooding in two peri-urban areas, and subsidised existing faecal sludge management (FSM) businesses, within community-based WASH organisations known as Water Trusts, to empty them safely. The outbreak did not lead to private sanitation service providers curtailing their operations to the same extent as during previous outbreaks, and encouraged greater engagement of public stakeholders such as Lusaka City Council and LWSC with FSM and sanitation provision in lower-income areas of the city. Post-payment for pit emptying services continued throughout, albeit at subsidised prices.


One review of practice notes that ‘Safe excreta disposal is particularly difficult in an urban context. Issues of access for sludge removal and land availability for disposal can be especially challenging and need to be given immediate priority’. For example, following the 2010 earthquake in Haiti ‘excreta disposal was a major problem. Pit latrines and elevated latrines (both used as rudimentary cesspits) and portable toilets were used but desludging proved difficult because of the limited number of desludging vehicles, traffic congestion, and the cost’. A DFID evidence review paper found that ‘There is good evidence that for urban on-site sanitation systems to be effective they must allow for pit-emptying, or at least replacement of the pit, and the safe disposal of the pit contents where necessary’.

Another review of good practice in urban sanitation in emergencies found the following:

- WASH interventions should prioritise both the immediate collection of human waste and identification of final locations of disposal.
- During flooding, agencies should also prioritise the prevention of the overflow of raw sewage from pits, septic tanks and water borne sewage networks.

55 ALNAP and RedR UK, Urban WASH in Emergencies.


• For excreta disposal, digging pits may not be feasible, given space, legal and ground constraints.

• Where pits are used, the building of urinals and urine diversion toilets is good practice to reduce pit-filling times. Other options include building raised latrines (used for example by Oxfam in Haiti after the 2010 earthquake on sites where space was limited, digging was impossible or landowners refused permission to dig). Other useful approaches include compost toilets, biodegradable bags and urine diversion toilets (where biodegradable bags are used, it is important to put an organised collection system in place).

• Chemical toilets have a high maintenance cost and limited storage capacity. They may also be in short supply following an emergency.

• Final disposal of faecal matter can be a difficult and contentious issue. Environmentally suitable locations should be identified as part of government contingency planning. Where this has not been done, agencies should prioritise identification of suitable locations.

• For recovery actions, agencies need to work closely with water and sanitation/sewage departments, but with adequate agency coordination (through the WASH cluster or similar).

• Care should be taken concerning permanent system repair, which may be outside the scope and experience of agencies and which may also inadvertently not be focusing on more vulnerable locations such as informal settlements which usually have no such facilities.58

Protection concerns in relation to sanitation are also an issue. WHO’s 2018 guidelines on sanitation and health note that ‘Public and shared sanitation in urban settlements has been linked to stress from lack of cleanliness, anxiety and withholding relief due to long lines, women’s and girls’ fear of harassment from men and boys, and lack of privacy or safety’.59 Protection is discussed further in Section 4.7.

4.4.6 Hygiene

Hygiene here refers primarily to community engagement and participation, hygiene kit distribution and health data monitoring, as well as information, education and communication (IEC) to promote behaviour change. A review of good practice regarding urban hygiene found that:


In Haiti following the 2010 earthquake, Oxfam disseminated hygiene messages through mothers’ clubs, schools and children’s clubs, as well as household visits and poster campaigns. Social media and mobile phones were also used.

A simpler but still effective way of encouraging people to use sanitation facilities is to ensure that they are clean and well maintained, either by the community or by paid attendants. These attendants can also communicate hygiene messages.

Good hygiene practices in dense urban areas are critical to reduce disease incidences. Oxfam identifies first-response activities in dense areas as the minimising of high-risk practices such as indiscriminate open defecation, “flying toilets” (where excreta are thrown into public spaces in plastic bags) and the reduction of transmission of faecal–oral disease.\(^60\)

In addition:

- Concerning urban hygiene promotion, community consultation is key, which can be challenging in cities, where people have a wide range of social, economic and cultural backgrounds, making it difficult to tailor specific messages.

- In relation to vector control, people affected by emergencies and conflicts are vulnerable to vector-borne diseases through greater exposure to bites and contact through poor shelter, lack of sanitation, stagnant water and poor nutrition, and by injuries that can become infected. In Syria, a reported increase of leishmaniasis was caused by sand flies in building debris.\(^61\)

### 4.4.7 Cash and WASH

As with other sectors, the use of cash in relation to WASH is increasingly resonating in urban emergencies. This reflects in part a wider recognition that WASH actors may need to take on more of an enabling approach than a provider role given the complexity of cities and of urban response.\(^62\) A 2016 Global WASH Cluster position paper on cash and markets concluded that cash ‘may be effective in overcoming financial barriers to accessing WASH goods and services when combined with complementary approaches in contexts with an enabling environment’.\(^63\)

---

60 Sanderson and Knox Clarke, *Responding to Urban Disasters*, p. 15.


Box 4.13 Refugee water access in Jordan

‘An integrated needs assessment carried out by Oxfam GB in March 2013 found that, while the majority of refugees in host communities can access water through the municipal supply system, the cheapest source of water, this is intermittent and unreliable, and many are forced to buy water from private vendors in order to meet their needs.

‘To develop [a further] understanding a market assessment was undertaken in Oxfam’s operational areas (the urban areas of Balqa and Zarqa Governorates, and in the informal tented settlements around factories and agricultural land) based on the Emergency Market Mapping and Analysis (EMMA) approach [see Section 3.3].

‘Given the deficiencies of the municipal supply, private wells are a critical part of the water market system. These wells, which are privately owned and operated, sell water to the Water Authority, to supplement supplies in the piped network, and to businesses, water transporters (water trucks) and individual households.

‘Refugees may often not have access to truck operators, and rely on their neighbours and landlords to make contact with them … Refugees with limited contact with their neighbours struggle to set up these types of arrangements. Even if these households manage to access truck operators, they may not have the capacity to store all the water that they have paid for.

‘In summary, the market assessment established that access to water for poor households (in particular refugees) is primarily determined by purchasing power and the availability of adequate water storage capacity within the household. More fragmented social connections also restrict access to the water trucking market.’

The market analysis enabled a range of response options for immediate implementation.

‘As a result of the analysis the following activities were identified:

- ‘Increasing access to drinking water through water vouchers linked to local water vendors: Water vouchers (commodity vouchers) for bottled drinking water are distributed to beneficiaries, to be redeemed from contracted vendors.
- ‘Increase access to drinking water by providing household water filters: The distribution of water filters to individual households is a viable solution. Filters are available on the local market, and beneficiaries have requested them.
- ‘Increase households’ water storage capacity: The extra [piped] water … allows all users to fill a minimum [water storage] tank … and so the aim is to increase the storage capacity of households possessing less than this.'
Box 4.13 (continued)

‘The market assessment confirmed that, for the urban areas of Balqa and Zarqa, the market system is vital for water access. In water-scarce and densely populated areas such as these there are few viable options for WASH programming. Critically, the market assessment was able to analyse the functionality, capacity and scope for expansion of the market system, making possible a range of short- and longer-term responses to help refugees to access water in an equitable manner and at a fair price, without stretching the market beyond its capacity.’

For the full article, see T. Wildman and C. Brady, ‘Can Jordan’s Water Market Support the Syrian Refugee Influx?’, *Humanitarian Exchange* 59, November 2013 (https://odihpn.org/magazine/can-jordan%C2%92s-water-market-support-the-syrian-refugee-influx/).

Table 4.3 Comparing cash and hygiene kits in Jordan and Haiti

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Benefits of CBI over hygiene kits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>• Freedom of choice to refugees in selecting which items they need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More convenient than queuing to receive non-food items (NFIs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Satisfaction levels among beneficiaries were much higher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Saved time for the team and therefore more cost effective than providing the kits directly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased monthly revenues of traders by 8,000 JOD ($11,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Helped integration of refugees in the host community, as they were contributing to the local economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>• Freedom of choice to IDPs in selecting which items they need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced security risks associated with mass distributions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


A review by UNHCR of cash programmes in refugee situations in 23 countries found that cash ‘can successfully support activities aiming to improve sanitation at the household level’.64 Cash-based interventions (CBIs) ‘have mostly been used to increase access to drinking water through a variety of water vendors, as well as to improve access to kits for water storage and treatment, repair and recover the piped water network and ensure maintenance of water supply.’65


65 Ibid., p. 6.
Table 4.3 shows some of the benefits of CBIs over hygiene kits in urban areas of Jordan and Haiti. The same review identified the following lessons in enacting cash in WASH interventions:

- Understanding WASH market systems is important for programme effectiveness.
- Cash can help market actors overcome supply-side barriers in WASH markets.
- The perceived risks of cash in relation to vouchers are not backed up by evidence (for instance that cash may be used for non-WASH activities).
- Cash can complement rather than replace other forms of support, such as technical assistance.

As in other sectors, cash works only where markets are functioning, which in turn requires market analysis. Figure 4.2, from the UNHCR study cited above, illustrates some of the key considerations when using cash in WASH. In summary, WASH is a vast area, including a wide range of actors, as well as expensive infrastructure. What actions an agency takes depends largely on its mandate, on whether engagement is short-, medium- or long-term, and to what degree efforts are intended to address systemic problems as well as immediate needs.

Large-scale infrastructure reconstruction efforts fall outside of the mandate, budget and capacity of humanitarian actors. However, the repair and rehabilitation of specific assets within a system may not. In protracted crises, a key activity is to ensure that systems do not fail. While there is a sense of urgency to address immediate needs such as the destruction of or damage to infrastructure, addressing underlying needs such as a lack of proper operation and maintenance is more difficult because it requires a preventative maintenance approach.

**Useful resources**


The sustainable sanitation alliance (SuSanA) hosts a large library on urban sanitation: see https://www.susana.org/en/.

UNICEF’s online library of WASH-related issues can be found at www.unicef.org/wash/3942_documents.html.

Sanivation focuses on WASH issues in East Africa: see www.sanivation.com/.

Figure 4.2 Key considerations when using cash in WASH

- Understand who does not have access to water and why.
- Understand who is not using good sanitation or hygiene practices and why, to decide the appropriate response. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) surveys are useful.
- Interview women and people with specific needs to understand their preferences for sanitation and hygiene items, if these items can be found on the local market, or if they prefer in-kind assistance.
- What markets require special assessment tools? Assess water quality of local sources and providers.

- Monitor prices and supply of basic WASH items, water, etc.
- Monitor water quality both at point of sale/exchange and at household level.

- CBIs to increase access to WASH goods and services (water, sanitation and hygiene kits, household water treatment, materials for WASH infrastructure, etc.).
- Employment schemes or incentives can be used to rehabilitate water storage facilities, or construct latrines.
- Temporary measures such as water vouchers in lieu of water trucking, until a more sustainable source of water can be found.

- For water vouchers, contact vendors who meet quality standards and a capacity assessment.
- Focus group discussions with women to determine appropriate WASH items and establish adequate voucher or cash transfer value.
- Employment schemes for sanitary and waste disposal (e.g. latrines, environmental management for vector control) must be accompanied by technical advice and support.
- Complementary programmes such as IEC on WASH are essential where KAP surveys reveal a need.
- Shortages and price inflation can be mitigated by supply-side interventions (e.g. rehabilitating public water sources, and unconditional grants reducing the demand on single items).
- SMS messaging with WASH messages.

Source: UNHCR, Cash Based Interventions for WASH Programmes in Refugee Settings, p. 20.
4.5 Livelihoods

Cities are home to a wide range of income-earning opportunities, both formal and informal. Re-establishing livelihoods after a disaster as quickly as possible, and supporting livelihood opportunities for refugees and IDPs, are vital activities.

Livelihoods links with other sections in this Good Practice Review, in particular cash and markets (Section 3.3) and assessments (Section 3.6). It also links to protection, where some livelihood activities may be risky to those engaging in them, or where people may be unable to undertake livelihood activities due to age or infirmity (see Section 1.4 on vulnerability).

This section defines livelihoods. It discusses livelihoods programming after rapid-onset disasters. For refugee settings it identifies challenges to and opportunities for effective programming. The section ends with points for engaging refugees in livelihoods opportunities.

4.5.1 Defining livelihoods

Livelihoods projects in emergency contexts ‘aim to preserve and restore the income-earning opportunities of affected communities while stimulating economic recovery’. Livelihoods programming can account for a large portion of post-disaster recovery expenditure. In urban areas, and in particular in relation to refugees and their access to jobs, this definition can be expanded to include the need to influence the policy environment in which livelihoods can be secured (this is discussed further below).

Following rapid-onset disasters, livelihood programmes can aid recovery and help improve opportunities for poorer and more vulnerable people, for instance through providing certified skills training (see the case study in Box 4.14). For protracted displacement situations, livelihoods programming is vital. Refugees and IDPs with little if any savings or remittances or insufficient access to employment are particularly vulnerable, and risk falling into deeper debt. A study of Syrian refugees living in Lebanon found that almost 90% of households surveyed were in debt in 2015, compared to 81% in 2014. Building livelihoods

66 Livelihoods here refers to income-earning opportunities, rather than ‘sustainable livelihoods’ as used within developmental thinking.


68 For example, expenditure on livelihoods programmes from the Disasters Emergency Committee among its 13 operational NGO members in the 2015 Haiti earthquakes accounted for 31% of total expenditure for recovery funding, second only to shelter.

therefore presents opportunities to reduce dependency, and to tap into the varied resources and opportunities available within cities.

### 4.5.2 Livelihoods in post-disaster settings

One of the IASC’s strategic objectives in urban post-disaster recovery is: ‘Restore Livelihoods and Economic Opportunities as a Priority, starting in the Emergency Phase for Expedited Early Recovery in Urban Areas’.\(^7\) According to the IASC, livelihood restoration in response strategies can be strengthened by:

- Linking livelihoods to shelter reconstruction, including training people in relevant skills (see Box 4.14).
- Engaging with supply chains, distribution systems and markets (see Section 3.3 on cash and markets).
- Providing support to local suppliers and contractors.
- Enacting protection approaches that tackle extortion and other corrupt practices.
- Engaging younger people in particular (see the case study example from Ethiopia in Box 4.16).

---

Key considerations in programming for livelihoods recovery include:71

- First, distinguish between basic needs and livelihoods promotion – after immediate relief needs, more detailed assessments may be required to understand and support different livelihood options, the market, skills, etc. Adequate assessments are critical to avoid people being forced into livelihoods they may have little interest in, and also address gendered views of livelihoods (for instance that women should learn basket-weaving).

- Second, be timely in implementing programmes – given the fast-changing nature of urban environments, the time between assessment and implementation needs to be short.

- Third, strong partnerships with city authorities can help ensure that activities become established and are in line with existing policies and laws.

- Finally, link relief and recovery actions with longer-term development programmes, where they exist.

### 4.5.3 Refugee livelihoods

The key finding of a wide-ranging study of urban refugees across eight countries is that ‘A common thread weaves the argument that urban refugees could be highly beneficial to cities if they were allowed to pursue productive lives absent of legal restrictions, harassment and insecurity’.72 It is unsurprising that, globally, the majority of refugees choose to live in cities. This is in no small part due to the livelihood opportunities they offer. Research by UNHCR in a number of countries73 concluded that most refugees of working age are either employed or self-employed, in both the formal and informal economy. That said, livelihood opportunities for urban refugees face a number of challenges, including:

**Prevention of the right to work.** Many refugees are refused the right to work in their host countries, closing off opportunities to engage in society, pay taxes and enjoy various forms of insurance. Skilled and experienced people who find themselves as refugees are prevented from formally applying for positions that match their vocation or expertise.

**Precarious existence in the informal economy.** Many refugees have no other option but to work in the informal sector. This in many ways is a benefit (at least as against having no work

---

71 This discussion is based on the British Red Cross’s experiences in urban livelihood recovery in Port-au-Prince, Haiti.


at all) and has been the mainstay of millions of urban dwellers for decades. However, the informal economy does not bring the benefits usually associated with formal employment, such as social security, health and safety and fair employment practices.

Refugees may have little or no documentation to prove they are qualified in a certain profession. They may also lack the funds to begin an enterprise or establish a livelihoods activity. They almost certainly lack (in the initial stages at least) the social capital to link with existing employment activities. Refugees may also be from a rural background, and may have to re-train to be relevant to the urban labour market.

*Exploitation risks.* Refugees working in the informal sector may be exploited, for instance being paid less than locals. In Lebanon, this has increased tensions between displaced and host communities living in often impoverished urban areas. Here, host communities perceive the lower salaries as an unfair form of competition. Some refugees may also have no choice other than to work in illegal or risky areas, such as commercial sex or child labour.


### 4.5.4 Opportunities for urban refugee livelihood programming

Interventions related to urban refugee livelihoods include:74

- **Offer legal services** – this is a critical area of work, providing assistance for example in preventing unfair evictions (see Section 4.1 on HLP rights).
- **Provide information on work permits and business registration.** This links to employment support and career guidance (see the examples in Boxes 4.15 and 4.16 from Lebanon and Ethiopia).
- **Link refugees to vocational training schemes** – preferably certified.
- **Make links with existing employers** (where formal refugee employment is permitted) and support job applications, such as help with formulating CVs.
- **Provide help in accessing financial services.** This may include raising awareness of loans offered at unaffordable interest rates, to help refugees avoid falling into unsustainable debt.

---

74 This section draws in particular on UNHCR, *Designing Appropriate Interventions in Urban Settings*; IRC, *Finding Economic Opportunity in the City.*
• Offer financial assistance, such as seed funding to set up income-generating enterprises.

• Make links with educational establishments and promote relevant courses.

4.5.5 Engaging refugees in livelihoods opportunities

UNHCR observes that, ‘In urban settings, successful livelihood interventions result from a good understanding of the context, of the attitudes of potential beneficiaries and the capacity to identify existing services which can support refugees in the employment
ambitions’. Strategic interventions for engaging refugees in improved livelihoods opportunities include:

- Advocating for host governments to meet their international obligations to allow refugees to work, which should ‘foster an enabling environment for the economic empowerment and self-reliance of displaced populations’.  

- Recognising and capitalising on livelihoods efforts that build social cohesion. According to IRC, ‘Anecdotal evidence indicates that livelihoods programmes can enhance social cohesion between displaced and host communities to some degree. This goal should be considered a critical component of urban humanitarian response’.  

- A recognition of the need for long-term engagement by donors, agencies and others to provide support in establishing urban refugees in their chosen locations.  

- In programme design, build in a diversity of approaches, such as combining livelihoods programming with cash transfers.  

- Build relationships with all actors. IRC’s research on the livelihoods of refugees in Lebanon and Jordan found that effective collaboration with government (at different levels), the private sector, service providers and community-based organisations improved programme efficiency and effectiveness and helped make programmes more locally relevant.


The Secure Livelihoods Research Consortium (SLRC) ‘aims to strengthen the evidence base and inform policy and practice around livelihoods, basic services and social protection in conflict-affected situations’. See https://securelivelihoods.org/about-slrc/.

---


76 UNHCR, *Designing Appropriate Interventions in Urban Settings*.

77 IRC, *Finding Economic Opportunity in the City*, p. 22.

78 Ibid.
See also:


### 4.6 Education

The Inter-agency Network for Education in Emergencies (INEE) defines education in emergencies as ‘quality, inclusive learning opportunities for all ages in situations of crisis, including early childhood development, primary, secondary, non-formal, technical, vocational, higher and adult education. Education in emergencies provides physical, psychosocial, and cognitive protection that can sustain and save lives’. The need is substantial: the Global Education Cluster estimates that 58 million primary school age children and 20 million of secondary school age are currently out of education due to conflict. Girls in refugee situations are usually the worst affected. According to UNHCR estimates, only 50% of refugee children have access to primary education, and 22% secondary. In Lebanon, ‘more than half of [Syrian] refugee children in the age group 3–18 are still out of school, mainly adolescents and youth’.

This Good Practice Review, while finding a large amount of information on education in conflict and emergencies, unearthed very little specifically directed at cities, or concerned

---


81 See for example UNHCR, *Her Turn: It’s Time to Make Refugee Girls’ Education a Priority*, UNHCR, 2019 (www.unhcr.org/herturn/).


84 See for example the INEE online toolkit (https://inee.org/), which includes resources, information, the INEE *Minimum Standards for Education* and related materials on education in emergency programmes.
with naturally triggered disasters in urban areas. In fact, according to one study, currently ‘no global policy instrument or document has carefully considered the unique educational needs of urban refugees’. That said, with the majority of IDPs and refugees living in urban areas, much of the good practice discussed in this section is relevant to urban areas.

This section identifies good practice and challenges in protracted displacement settings and discusses education in armed conflict settings. The section ends by identifying the need for psychosocial support (PSS) in education in emergencies. The section links with a number of others, in particular protection (Section 4.7), WASH (Section 4.4) and forced displacement (Section 1.3).

4.6.1 Good practice in displacement settings

Students who are refugees or internally displaced and live in urban environments need to be integrated into existing public systems of education, presenting numerous challenges relating to overcrowding, teacher shortages and discrimination. In situations of migration, existing services can quickly become overwhelmed. In Lebanon, for example, the government has established a system in its largely urban public schools to accommodate nearly 500,000 Syrian refugee school-age children (this is further discussed in Box 4.17).

UNHCR’s advice on good practice in protracted displacement settings includes:

- Take a holistic approach – if building schools, coordinate this with infrastructure (such as water and sanitation), teacher training and the provision of materials.
- Provide and/or advocate for free primary education.
- Do not set up parallel education structures.
- Lobby decision-makers to recognise foreign school certificates to enable refugee children and adolescents to enroll.
- Where possible set up support classes, for example for learning a new (local) language and remedial classes.
- Integrate interventions into existing education systems.

---


86 This section benefited in particular from the inputs of Dr Francine Menashy, Associate Professor in the Department of Leadership in Education at the University of Massachusetts.


Other elements of good practice include:

- ‘Explore options for leveraging teaching expertise among refugee populations. Amidst the teacher shortages in many countries, including those affected by conflict and/or hosting refugees, national governments should be encouraged to find ways to identify and leverage teaching expertise among refugee populations … Recognize and strengthen teacher qualifications for integration, repatriation and resettlement.’

- Apply ‘psychosocial well-being approaches that teachers can use to both provide their learners with additional social-emotional support and help them identify when students might need help that exceeds teachers’ knowledge and abilities’.

- Apply ‘language teaching methods that allow teachers to support second language acquisition and learning among students entering a classroom with a new and unfamiliar language of instruction’.

- ‘Provide opportunities for communities to stay apprised of and influence the policy making process, including establishing synergies between community-led efforts and formal education’.  

Coordination between sectors is crucial. UNHCR notes that ‘coordination between workers in the education, protection, shelter, water and sanitation, health and psychosocial sectors is important in establishing learner-friendly, safe spaces’. The case study on Syrian refugee children and education in Lebanon in Box 4.17 illustrates the importance of a coordinated response between agencies and government authorities.

### 4.6.2 Challenges in protracted displacement settings

Challenges to accessing a quality education in protracted displacement contexts include:

- Public systems of education in cities may already be poorly resourced and struggle to provide quality education prior to the crisis.

- Overcrowding in public schools due to absorption of refugee students.

- Lack of teachers, in numbers and also ability.

---


90 See also the section on coordination in the INEE *Minimum Standards*, pp. 31–34.


Box 4.17 Coordinated efforts to support Syrian refugee children in Lebanon’s schools

In Lebanon, ‘more than 221,000 Syrian refugee children aged 3–18 years enrolled in both morning and afternoon shifts during the 2017/2018 school year (of which 71 per cent in the latter shift). Around 57 per cent of refugee children in the age group 6–14 are enrolled in public school. To accommodate this increase … [the Ministry of Education and Higher Education] MEHE initially opened 376 second shift schools, of which 350 schools remain open and at capacity.

‘UNHCR focuses on community interventions identifying out-of-school children and youth, providing counseling and awareness sessions and community-based solutions for those at risk of dropping out. It has set up support activities such as homework groups led by community volunteers, increased parental engagement through parent community groups, and assigned community volunteers to second shift schools to prevent violence and refer child protection cases and children at risk of dropping out to specialized agencies/services, aiming at increased school retention.

‘In 2017, UNHCR also started assessing MEHE schools for a rehabilitation and expansion project that will increase the capacity of approximately 24 schools during 2018, and will ensure they are equipped to provide inclusive education also for children with disabilities. International funding covers both the rehabilitation and expansion of public schools and community retention activities.

‘The Education sector partners work closely together on the annual Back to School campaign. As the Ministry of Education started providing regulated non-formal education programmes targeting out-of-school children and youth as a way of (re) integrating them in certified education, the Back to School (or Back to Learning) campaign is now continuously ongoing throughout the year. In addition to its community outreach, UNHCR uses targeted SMS and Whatsapp messages to spread information widely about available education programmes’.


- Limited materials, such as books and stationery.
- Monitoring and evaluation of refugee education may be difficult when children enrolled in schools are no longer identified as refugees.
- Costs for uniforms, materials and travel to and from school.
- Registration to attend school can be hampered by a lack of relevant documentation, such as IDs and past school certificates.
- Discrimination and xenophobia in schools.\textsuperscript{93}
- Language barriers.
- Refugee children may need PSS (see below).\textsuperscript{94}
- Costly and lengthy school reconstruction post-disaster.
- External pressures: school-age adolescents may also be household heads.

Education may not be an economic priority for struggling families. One report notes that:

\textit{Urban residents face a higher cost of living than those in camps or rural settings; they must rely on existing social services and make ends meet among limited livelihoods opportunities. For refugees who are struggling to provide basic needs for their families, it can prove difficult to prioritize education for their children, especially in the event that school and other fees are expected for enrollment and retention. Children may also be expected to work rather than attend school. 80\% of survey respondents mentioned livelihoods as a barrier to education.}\textsuperscript{95}

Refugee communities sometimes start their own schools, but these are often unregulated and do not provide the recognised official diplomas required for access to higher education and the workforce.

\subsection*{4.6.3 Education in conflict}

School buildings, teachers and students can be deliberately targeted in conflict; UNESCO reports that ‘In several long-running conflicts, armed groups have used attacks on school children and teachers to “punish” participation in state institutions’.\textsuperscript{96} According to the \textit{Safe Schools Declaration}, a ‘political instrument through which states acknowledge the full range of challenges facing education during armed conflict and make commitments to better protect students, staff, and educational facilities in war time’:

\textit{In the majority of countries affected by conflict over the past decade, fighting forces have used schools and universities for military purposes, such as for bases, barracks, weapon

\textsuperscript{93} UNHCR, \textit{Missing Out}.


\textsuperscript{95} Mendenhall, Russell and Buckner, \textit{Urban Refugee Education}, p. 13. Emphasis in the original.

stores, and detention facilities. This practice can convert educational facilities into military objectives, exposing students and staff to the potentially devastating consequences of attack. More generally, the presence of armed groups or armed forces in schools impairs efforts to ensure the continuation of education during war-time.\textsuperscript{97}

Schools can also be closed for prolonged periods and require lengthy and costly reconstruction following disaster.


\subsection*{4.6.4 Psychosocial support in education in emergencies}

The INEE advocates for the inclusion of PSS in education settings\textsuperscript{98} for the following reasons:

\begin{itemize}
\item ‘Education can offer a stable routine and structure and support a sense of normality, all factors that can support children and youth in healing and developing resilience.
\item Learning spaces provide opportunities for friendship, as well as peer and adult support. These interpersonal skills and relational supports are essential for a healthy social ecology, psychosocial wellbeing, and longer-term resilience.
\item Learning spaces unite the wider community and strengthen the relational supports available for vulnerable children. Activities that engage parents, community leaders, and education authorities are critical in this regard and may also enhance social cohesion.
\item Education settings are ideal for structured play activities that help children learn, recover from distressing experiences and develop social and emotional skills.
\item Social-emotional learning supports the development of social and emotional competencies that strengthen academic performance and improve children’s ability to navigate adversity.’
\end{itemize}


\textsuperscript{98} INEE, \textit{Guidance Note on Psychosocial Support}, p. 9.
4.7 Protection

Protection involves efforts to ensure that people caught up in disasters, conflict or violence, or who have been forcibly displaced, are safe and are offered the full protection of human rights.

This section defines protection. It discusses protection in naturally-triggered disasters and protection and forced displacement. It presents protection and urban warfare and protection and urban violence. The section identifies protection and particular groups, including children, women, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer (LGBTQ+) people and people living with disabilities. It also discusses mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS).

Protection is an enormous subject, cutting across all sectors. As such, this section relates closely to a number of others in this Good Practice Review, including violence, conflict, vulnerability, education, health and HLP rights.
4.7.1 Defining protection

Protection can be defined as ‘all activities aimed at ensuring full respect for the rights of the individual in accordance with the letter and the spirit of the relevant bodies of law, i.e. human rights law, international humanitarian law and refugee law’. 99 This definition, adopted by the ICRC, the IASC and a number of other agencies, explicitly ties protection into human rights and other bodies of law – protection is about securing human rights. A further, broader understanding, relating to protection in urban warfare, encompasses ‘immediate emergency activities pre- and post-bombing, as well as legal and human rights legislation and compliance’. 100

All humanitarian interventions should undertake a protection risk analysis, including the following questions:

- What are the existing/potential protection risks?
- Who is vulnerable to those risks?
- What capacities exist to deal with those risks, including local strategies, institutions or mechanisms that could be used and/or strengthened by international humanitarian actors (to avoid duplication of existing activities/structures)?
- How do/could potential risks interact with the planned project (could the project increase risks? Could risks impact on the project?)
- What mitigation measures can be put in place (to be regularly reassessed)?


For protection measures to work in urban areas – and as with almost certainly every other sectoral response – activities need to be cross-sectoral and multidisciplinary, with local


Box 4.18 Protecting internally displaced communities in Somalia: experience from the Benadir region

‘Given the multi-dimensional nature of protection, multi-stakeholder engagement must be assured. The study findings indicate that IDP protection strategies should be integrated into various government sector plans and that community stakeholder engagement should be included in planning processes. It is imperative that protection activists adapt to the challenging conditions in the communities and promote advocacy and protection efforts to strengthen the resilience of IDPs at individual, household, and community levels.

‘The study proposes a systems-based framework to address protection challenges across the various resilience dimensions, including human capital, health, security, governance and social capital. An integrated institutional framework for the identification, inclusion and support for physical and rights-based protection would offer a galvanised approach to enhancing resilience.

‘The study recommends improvements to the government of Somalia’s existing IDP protection policy, which would help to ensure better identification, inclusion and support for the socioeconomic, physical, and rights-based protection of IDPs. Integrating legal assistance programmes in the current protection and resilience programmes would play a crucial role to support IDPs to access public justice services. Ensuring documentation of IDPs through a central registry and the creation of a special agency for IDPs is also proposed.’


actors as much as possible in the lead. The case study in Box 4.18, summarising findings from research on an IDP protection response in Somalia, illustrates and reinforces this basic principle.

A 2017 guidance note from the Syria crisis on integrating livelihoods and protection observes that ‘Economic insecurity, discrimination, and marginalisation increase the protection risk for the displaced as they seek income-generating opportunities, creating a need for a more integrated approach to livelihoods initiatives’.101 The note presents ten ‘core principles’ for improving protection in relation to livelihoods, including developing complaints and feedback mechanisms and engaging private sector partners in protection.


4.7.2 Protection in naturally-triggered disasters

Naturally-triggered disasters usually expose existing vulnerabilities, including:\textsuperscript{102}

- Lack of safety and security, for example living in temporary, insecure shelter and crime.
- Family separation, particularly affecting more vulnerable groups, such as children, older people and people with disabilities.
- Loss of documentation.
- Weak law enforcement.
- Gender-based violence (discussed below).
- Forced relocation.
- Unequal access to assistance and discrimination in aid provision.
- Abuse, neglect and exploitation of children (see below) and vulnerable adults.
- Loss of communication means/channels and poor complaints mechanisms.
- Lack of access to livelihood opportunities and service providers.

The IASC’s operational guidelines for protection in naturally-triggered disaster situations is organised into four groups as follows:

1. Protection of life; security and physical integrity of the person; and family ties, for example life-saving measures, in particular evacuation and protection against family separation.

2. Protection of rights related to the provision of food, health, shelter and education.

3. Protection of rights relating to HLP (see Section 4.1), livelihoods (see Section 4.5) and education (see Section 4.6).

4. Protection of rights relating to documentation, freedom of movement, re-establishing family ties and assembly and electoral rights.

As well as pre-existing vulnerabilities, the Global Protection Cluster notes that:

*Disasters often give rise to new protection concerns. Population displacement, weak law enforcement and the breakdown of social safety mechanisms can heighten the risks of looting, gender-based violence and child trafficking. When such issues are not addressed in the initial stages of a humanitarian response, violations are both more likely to occur and more likely to continue after the emergency is over. Responses include simple measures such as floodlighting and lockable shelter kits, and more technical programmes such as family tracing, training of border guards, and monitoring of vulnerable groups.*

For guidance on disasters and protection, see the Global Protection Cluster’s ‘Protection Cluster Coordination Toolbox’ at: www.globalprotectioncluster.org/tools-and-guidance/protection-cluster-coordination-toolbox/.

4.7.3 Protection and forced displacement

Forcibly displaced people face a number of challenges, including difficulties accessing employment and livelihood opportunities (which may be a particular problem for refugees, who may not legally be allowed to work), violence, finding somewhere to live, accessing education and discrimination.

Concerning refugees, UNHCR emphasises the need for registration as an essential tool for protection against *refoulement*, accessing basic rights, identifying specific needs, family reunification and the pursuit of durable solutions. Registration is also essential for needs assessment, programme planning and management of operations, and in engaging with official authorities, the police and other public bodies.

There are also many reasons why refugees and IDPs avoid being registered, including protection concerns. These populations can be targeted for assistance in cities without the need for registration through area-based approaches that identify neighbourhoods hosting the highest numbers of IDPs and refugees, although this leaves IDPs and refugees living outside of these areas unassisted. Profiling exercises are also useful to identify areas where these people are living and in understanding their protection needs.

The US State Department’s Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM)’s guidance on refugee protection in urban areas emphasises the need to identify and support the most vulnerable and prioritise access to services and legal protection, while also undertaking efforts that provide wider benefits to community members.104 Community-based protection is emphasised by the ICRC, which states that its approach is ‘to ensure that [ICRC’s] activities on behalf of IDPs and those at risk of displacement support, rather than undermine, communities’ and individuals’ self-protection mechanisms and coping strategies’.105

---


4.7.4 Protection and urban warfare

A 2017 research report concerning civilian protection in urban conflict found that a wide range of actors engage in what can broadly be termed as protection: ‘organisations and individuals engage in what can be loosely termed civilian protection of some type, without necessarily being aware of how this links to international norms and practices or even perceiving themselves as doing protection work’. The report makes the following recommendations to improve civilian protection:

- ‘Develop more inclusive ways to relate international protection norms and frameworks and build a closer engagement with local actors and their understandings, activities and capabilities.
- Extend guidance and information within international humanitarian law and other binding frameworks to operationalise first response activities with a focus on ground-level governance, coordination and capacities.
- Widen the narrative on what constitutes “humanitarian” response, focusing firstly on local capabilities and how international actors can support these, not the other way round.
- Adopt more inclusive mechanisms that integrate a larger set of first response actors and other stakeholders involved in civilian protection.’


4.7.5 Protection and urban violence

IRC’s 2017 report Violence in the City identifies a number of drivers of urban violence, organised according to four levels: structural, community, familial and individual. These are shown in Figure 4.3.

A 2016 working paper on humanitarian protection in violent urban contexts observes that ‘protection work in violent urban settings is characterized by having blurred lines throughout the elements and approaches that interact between each other. For example, this interaction includes blurred lines between emergency and development; rights based approach and needs based approach, and assistance and protection’. The research concludes that, as a result: ‘[this] implies that in urban violence humanitarian actors rarely
tackle the urban problematic with a single approach, but combine different approaches and integrate various forms of response.’

Urban violence is discussed further in Section 1.2.2.

4.7.6 Protection and particular groups

This section reviews protection in relation to particular groups.

Child protection

A 2015 study of child friendly spaces (CFS) in humanitarian emergencies notes that the use of CFS is ‘profoundly different’ in urban areas than in camps, and points to the need to ‘evolve strategies that are more effective in urban settings, where there are so many other activities that children are able to engage in. Increasingly, emergency response requires adjustments to programming to reflect the prevailing and unique challenges of an urban environment. Thus, it is critically important to examine if CFS is the best strategic approach in urban setting[s] for highly mobile population[s]’. 107

A study of community-based child protection mechanisms among urban refugees in Kampala108 found that going to school was ‘the most effective way to protect refugee children from harm … The protective factor mentioned most commonly was that while a child is in school, he or she is safe, supervised and, most of all, busy’. Conversely, not having access to formal education was considered the greatest threat to protection: ‘Young girls were said to be at risk of rape, more so than boys, especially if they were out of school’.

The Child Protection Minimum Standards (CPMS) comprise guidance and information concerning child protection in emergencies, though there is no distinction between rural and urban settings. See https://alliancecpha.org/cpms/.


Further information relating to child protection can also be found at:


**Mental health and psychosocial support**

One global review of MPHSS concludes that ‘There is a lack of guidance on how to support MHPSS programs in non-emergency and/or urban settings’.\(^{109}\) UNHCR’s *Operational Guidance: Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Programming for Refugee Operations* observes that organising MPHSS interventions in urban settings presents problems in terms of access, identifying who is particularly vulnerable and developing appropriate levels and types of support.\(^{110}\) Examples of what has worked include:

• Psychosocial case managers who can connect vulnerable refugees to support services.

• Work to strengthen existing health services to enable refugee access (see Section 4.8 on health).

• Community centres that include psychosocial support within other activities, such as livelihoods development/support.

• Using volunteers to set up support groups and make home visits.

• Identifying and ensuring access to existing mental health services.

• Opening phone hotlines for general information and emergency response.

Generic guidance sources containing information relevant to urban practice include:


Psychosocial support is also discussed in Section 4.6 on education in emergencies.

---


Box 4.20  MHPSS provision for refugees in Costa Rica

‘Casa de Derechos [House of Rights] is a community center that is a joint effort between UNHCR, the Municipality of Desamparados and other implementing partners, in San Jose, Costa Rica. Of the 20,000 or so refugees in Costa Rica, the majority are Colombian, and have resided in urban areas for more than 8 years. UNHCR’s operation is focused on local integration of refugees, and as such, supports a number of activities, such as Casa de Derechos, that provides services and activities to achieve this durable solution.

‘The center provides a range of services and activities, including microfinance, public health outreach, legal services and support groups to refugees and the local community. “Diverse groups” of members of the refugee population have been established to support youth, men and women to discuss common problems and interests. The center hosts a number of programs provided by other agencies and partners, including a legal aid clinic, a program focusing on youth and vocational training, and a labour rights program.

‘The focus on multiple, integrated activities came from recognition from UNHCR and other actors that Colombian refugees often had a range of mental health and psychosocial issues such that “it’s going to be quite difficult for them to integrate because maybe they have so many other issues that they need to resolve somehow before getting to the point where they can actually apply for a job and go to the job interview and actually get the job or use micro credit effectively.” The center also responds specifically to the needs of urban refugees in this context, given in San Jose, “[r]efugees don’t have any places where they would naturally get together. So we needed to establish those bases for them. They might not know any other refugees. So, it’s about trying to facilitate those basic processes.”

‘There is a specific focus within the center on survivors of SGBV, who are able to access counselling and support groups. An evaluation of UNHCR’s AGDM activities described this program as “a model for dealing with SGBV cases,” given staff are well-trained in provision of legal and psychosocial support, and partner organisations refer SGBV survivors to the center for support. As such, the center provides both Level 2 and Level 3 activities from the Intervention Pyramid, providing focused and specialised support to SGBV survivors, and activating social support networks amongst refugees through provision of a place to meet and interact, as well as provision of other services to facilitate local integration’.

Gender-based violence

The IASC defines gender-based violence (GBV) as ‘an umbrella term for any harmful act that is perpetrated against a person’s will, and that is based on socially ascribed [gender] differences between males and females’.  

The Women’s Refugee Commission (WRC)’s Urban Gender-Based Violence Risk Assessment Guidance notes that ‘Refugees living in cities face high risks of gender-based violence (GBV), often on a daily basis. Some of these risks affect members of the host community as well. Where refugee women, for instance, experience sexual harassment or unwanted touching when taking public transportation, it may be the case that all women in that city encounter similar threats whenever they board a bus or take the metro’. The guidance assessment asks questions concerning transport, urban isolation, employment and livelihoods and housing.


Sources of guidance on tackling GBV include:


---

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer (LGBTQ+) people

A 2013 study of sexually and gender non-conforming (SGN) urban refugees in Mexico, South Africa and Uganda documented protection concerns including ‘commonplace’ assaults by the authorities, common assaults by local populations and other refugees and widespread discrimination, leading to reduced access to healthcare, information and social networks.

The study provided a number of recommendations for improving protection, covering awareness training, building SGN networks and advocacy. The full report is Organisation for Refugees, Asylum and Migration (ORAM), *Blind Alleys: The Unseen Struggles of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Intersex Urban Refugees in Mexico, Uganda and South Africa*, 2013 (www.alnap.org/system/files/content/resource/files/main/oram-ba-synthesiseng-lr.pdf).

See also the chapter on LGBT refugees in WRC, *Mean Streets*, cited above.

Concerning naturally-triggered disasters, a 2018 research report from Oxfam states that:

> criminalisation, discrimination and marginalisation creates vulnerabilities before disasters, leading to specific and disproportionate disaster impact on gender and sexual minorities. Systemic institutional and societal discrimination in accessing justice, health, education, employment, housing, and other services does not go away after a disaster, neither does marginalisation due to exclusion from families, communities, religious and other organisations.112

The report also found that ‘humanitarian programs are often blind to the vulnerabilities, needs and strengths of sexual and gender minorities’, and that ‘Assumptions underlying mainstream programs may inadvertently exclude some sexual and gender minorities, or may exacerbate pre-disaster marginalisation’.

People with disabilities

A 2008 *Resource Kit for Fieldworkers* concerning disabilities among refugees and conflict-affected populations observes that ‘Problems of physical accessibility were often worse for refugees living in urban areas [compared to camps], where the opportunities to adapt or modify physical infrastructure were much more limited’. Unable to leave their homes or move around easily, ‘many refugees with disabilities faced greater levels of isolation than before their displacement’. Refugees with disabilities also typically have little contact with local displaced people’s organisations.113 Other research on disabled people forcibly

---


Box 4.21 Making disaster risk reduction and relief programmes LGBTI-inclusive: lessons from Nepal

Research on post-disaster and crisis situations demonstrates that emergencies often exacerbate prejudices and make marginalised people more vulnerable. Although disaster risk reduction (DRR) and relief protocols are increasingly sensitive to the needs of at-risk and vulnerable populations, the specific vulnerabilities of LGBTI people are often overlooked. Nepal offers some compelling examples for implementing LGBTI-inclusive DRR and relief policies and protocols. With full legal protections for LGBTI people (including legal recognition for a third gender category marked “third gender” or “other” on documents and registers, including the federal census), the local political landscape is conducive.

Nepal is also highly disaster-prone. While implementation of LGBTI-friendly DRR and relief programmes has only just begun, Nepal’s experience is indicative of how improvements to existing programmes and policies can be put into practice around the world. LGBTI people may live in non-traditional arrangements. For example, in societies where having children in the household substantiates the claim of having established a “family”, LGBTI people living without children in their homes can suffer.

The scope of inclusion

In relief policies and protocols, there are several important considerations for ensuring inclusion of the LGBTI population. These include, but are not limited to:

- ‘How the definition of “family” or “household” may affect same-sex couples and their households, groups of people who do not live in traditional family units and homeless people or people who migrate. Red Cross-Nepal’s definition of “family unit” includes non-traditional and non-heterosexual groups of people living together.

- ‘How transgender (or, more broadly, non-male, non-female) people can safely access facilities such as health clinics, bathrooms and shelters which are male/female gender-segregated. The construction of Nepal’s first gender-inclusive public toilet in Nepalgunj demonstrates the government’s commitment to inclusive facilities.

- ‘How government-issued identification documents are used to validate citizens or grant access to assistance, and how this might affect people whose current appearance does not match the gender listed and the photo presented on the documents. The government of Nepal recently implemented a 2007 Supreme Court decision to issue citizenship certificates and other documents with the gender designation ‘other’ based on self-identification.
Box 4.21 (continued)

- ‘How people living with HIV/AIDS can access appropriate Anti-Retroviral Therapy (ART) in a safe and timely manner. Nepal currently stocks eight months-worth of ART supplies in the central Kathmandu warehouse.
- ‘How all data collection and intake surveys, interfaces and databases can be adjusted to capture meaningful data on LGBTI populations in emergency situations.

‘As aid organisations become more LGBTI-inclusive, it will be crucial to consider local legal systems and consult regularly with local NGOs and experts. Not only will this improve the nuance of programming, but it will also empower LGBTI people and organisations to act in the wake of disasters. As Nepal’s experience demonstrates, having a friendly legal environment and political landscape can expedite inclusive policies. Nonetheless, small changes to DRR and relief policies across legal and political contexts can prevent significant injury and loss of life, and ensure the continuation of important LGBTI protection and human rights activities despite disasters.’


displaced from Syria likewise found that ‘Persons with disabilities in these communities are not very visible. This was illustrated by the fact that leaders sometimes denied the existence of such people in communities’.114 The research notes a range of challenges facing disabled people, including buying and preparing food, limited employment opportunities and unsuitable accommodation.


---

Box 4.22 Protecting urban refugee women and girls with disabilities from abuse and discrimination in Kenya

In 2016 the Kenyan network Women Challenged to Challenge (WCC) ‘identified refugee women and girls with disabilities as a priority group in all of their programs. The organization started assessing the situations of urban refugee women with disabilities through home visits, in order to better understand the challenges they face. WCC facilitated 20 urban refugee women with disabilities’ participation in the 2016 Humanitarian Action Training for Women Leaders of Disabled Persons’ Organizations (DPOs) organized by WRC. This training presented an opportunity for the women refugees to interact, and share their experiences, with key actors such as UN Women, HIAS, the International Rescue Committee (IRC) and DPOs. As a result of this training, refugee women with disabilities were able to strengthen their advocacy messages and learned from the experiences of those involved in Gender-Based Violence (GBV) programs.

‘Following these activities, WCC developed a new training program for urban refugees with disabilities in Nairobi. This program was described as a “lifechanging event” by all of the women attending. The women learned about economic empowerment, sexual and reproductive health, and legal rights. They also developed their self-esteem and discovered that they were not alone in their situation’.


See also:


4.8 Health

Health systems include ‘all organisations, people and actions whose primary intent is to promote, restore, or maintain health’. Urban health systems represent a complex mix of the built environment and social processes, both formal and informal. City health systems include costly healthcare infrastructure and services, which can be seriously affected by disasters and conflict. Investment in the health sector is expensive, and when losses are substantial they can take years to recover.

Many people affected by conflict and disaster may have limited access to healthcare. Emergencies can cause disruption and strain due to infrastructure damage, loss of medical equipment and health staff and increased demand for services. Finally, baseline deficits in public health infrastructure and the physical and social determinants of health in rapidly growing cities may present a pre-existing health emergency even prior to a disaster or conflict.

This section reviews health in urban emergencies. It discusses urban healthcare provision, and the role of cash and markets in health during urban crises. Health and forced displacement in urban areas is discussed. This section links to a number of others in this Good Practice Review, in particular food security (Section 4.9) and cash (Section 3.3).

4.8.1 Health in urban emergencies

Different emergencies will result in differing needs. For instance, an earthquake causes immediate crush injuries and other related effects (see Table 4.4), while conflict will add penetrating wounds from bombs or gunshots and, for many, longer-term mental health disorders (referred to below). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the health impacts of urban disasters can be organised into four broad categories:

- Communicable diseases, exacerbated by population movements and overcrowding.
- Non-communicable diseases (NCDs), including lifestyle diseases (such as hypertension and obesity) and conditions needing long-term care (such as kidney disease requiring dialysis), exacerbated by disrupted access to medications.
- Mental health and psychosocial (MHPSS) disorders, created or exacerbated by trauma.
- Trauma due to external causes, such as falling buildings or electrocution.


116 This section benefited in particular from the inputs of Dr Ronak Patel, Clinical Assistant Professor of Emergency Medicine, Stanford University.

Similarly, one review\textsuperscript{118} identifies the generalised health consequences of urban crises:

- Infectious pathogens can spread more easily given population movements and density, low vaccination coverage and compromised herd immunity, along with inadequate capacity to detect and respond to outbreaks.
- Underlying poor health from malnutrition, frequent illnesses, inadequate access to care and baseline deficits in water, sanitation and public health infrastructure can all be exacerbated.
- Mental health needs increase directly from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression and anxiety, alongside disruption to prior support and care systems.
- Increased trauma and injury, including from higher rates of urban violence.
- Gender-based violence may increase.
- Chronic diseases can be exacerbated by disruptions in care, leading to acute medical crisis.

Table 4.4 gives examples of health needs resulting from two earthquakes.

The Sphere Project’s 2018 revision notes that identifying people at risk\textsuperscript{119} and who may not have access to healthcare poses particular challenges:

\begin{quote}
people seeking refuge in towns and cities often do not have information about existing health services or how to access them, risking a further increase in communicable diseases. Outreach will help people cope with new urban stresses such as inadequate access to shelter, food, healthcare, jobs or social support networks.\textsuperscript{120}
\end{quote}

The revision also notes that ‘Rumours and misinformation spread quickly in cities’. This was a particular issue in the West Africa Ebola response; as one study by ALNAP explains:

\begin{quote}
Much of the initial communication [around Ebola] was dramatic and negative: ‘Ebola kills’, ‘There is no cure’ and ‘Don’t touch’ … The public, not understanding, responded in panic, hiding sick relatives, reporting fewer cases and spreading misinformation. Several interviewees shared anecdotes of community members who saw neighbours taken to a
\end{quote}


### Table 4.4 Individual health needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disaster</th>
<th>Communicable diseases</th>
<th>NCDs and chronic illnesses</th>
<th>MHPSS</th>
<th>External causes</th>
<th>Environmental health</th>
<th>Vulnerable groups</th>
<th>Social determinants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great East Japan Earthquake</td>
<td>Health consequences of prolonged evacuation Respiratory problems Outbreak risks Influenza and influenza-like illnesses Food and water-borne diseases Tetanus Legionellosis</td>
<td>Chronic medical conditions Health consequences of prolonged evacuation Dialysis patients Hypertension Deep vein thrombosis Diabetes Musculoskeletal diseases Poor diet and lack of exercise Obesity Long-term care Post organ transplant Heart disease Asthma Cancer Chronic lung disease Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>Health and mental health consequences of prolonged evacuation Stress Suicide PTSD Insomnia Constipation Somatisation of mental and psychosocial conditions MHPSS for children, adults and the elderly</td>
<td>Crushing deaths Multi-hazard impacts and approach Deaths Drowning Injuries and trauma PTSD Tsunami-associated pneumonia (soujou hainen) Chemical burns of responders Short- to long-term effects of radiation on health</td>
<td>Environmental health monitoring and management related to radioactive waste Deaths Lack of understanding of radiation and its effects Water and food safety</td>
<td>Care for vulnerable groups: women, pregnant women, elderly, disabled persons and foreigners Hypothermia among the elderly Elderly care facilities destroyed</td>
<td>Risk tolerance of the people for the sake of continuing lives may pose risk to health Mass population movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile Earthquake</td>
<td>Communicable diseases Skin lesions Ectoparasites Respiratory infections Outbreaks or epidemics Endemic diseases</td>
<td>Care for patients dependent on oxygen and dialysis Chronic illnesses</td>
<td>Anxiety Depression Violent behaviour</td>
<td>Broken bones Winter season coming Trauma Effects of earthquake and tsunami</td>
<td>Management of dead bodies Carbon monoxide poisoning Sanitation Human waste disposal Waste water management Water and food safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

treatment unit who never came back. Humanitarians often failed to understand that, where their communications did not address people’s concerns, rumours and misinformation would be likely to fill the gap.\(^{121}\)

In Sierra Leone, ‘rumours spread that the government was using Ebola for political gains. In 2015, research found that only 50% of those surveyed in Freetown considered the government trustworthy, compared with 70% of the population outside the Freetown capital area’.\(^{122}\)


**4.8.2 Urban healthcare provision in terms of health systems**

WHO\(^{123}\) emphasises the need to understand urban healthcare in terms of systems,\(^{124}\) comprising six inter-related sub-systems – governance, health financing, health workforce, service delivery, essential medicines and technology and health information systems. Recommendations include:

- A systems approach helps at all stages of the project management cycle, including assessment, monitoring, documentation and evaluation.
- The recovery phase provides an opportunity to advocate for better policies and planning.
- A critical area that needs strengthening in emergencies is health information management, for example disease surveillance, coordination and patient records management.
- Linked to the last point, external agencies needs to be cognisant of existing systems and their structures (see the case study in Box 4.23).
- Rigorous evaluations of health systems can be ‘a policy window for health systems development’.

---


Box 4.23  Health response on Luzon island to the 2009 Philippines typhoons

Three typhoons ravaged Luzon island in the northern Philippines in September and October 2009. The metropolitan area affected comprised more than 10 cities.

‘During the emergency, national and local governments and hospitals activated their emergency response plans and organized their incident command systems. There was strong national and local government lead response although most information available was from the national government perspective. The delineation of national and local government roles was well documented. The Philippines implemented a national version of the global cluster system where different sectors/needs were divided into focus areas (clusters) led by the government. Strong coordination was achieved between national and local governments (different levels), different sectors and government and non-government through the clusters. On the other hand, there were challenges in integrating national and international response coordinating mechanisms. Clusters were also organized at the local level. Some local governments had strong capacity in preparedness and response because of strong political will and prior planning. The local health sector is a member of the local disaster coordinating body (now disaster risk reduction and management body). Emergency response has been rolled down to the community level through the barangay (village) health emergency response teams. Hospitals had their own network and referral systems. The Philippine experience can give good lessons on how decentralized systems can work.

‘Coordination was challenging within a complex system that was decentralized and with a strong private health sector. Coordination had to be built in different levels and lines – between national and local, between national and international, inter-sectoral and between public and non-government agencies. Coordination at the field level was also a different challenge that should be strengthened. The purpose of the clusters must be clear to partners and its efficiency must be enhanced. Perhaps as a result of decentralization with strong local government units, response efforts have been politicized with possible risks to inefficiency and inadequate access to essential health care and medicines. Some cities had local level contingency and response plans; development and review of these plans must be prioritized. Plans must clearly define the policy shift to emergency mode. The health information system must be strengthened and this includes disease surveillance, assessment and monitoring of needs, sharing of information and documentation. Other response priorities included strengthening of response capacity of the health workforce and regulation of donation practices.’


Section 1.1 on ways of seeing the city provides further discussion of systems.

The case study example from the Philippines in Box 4.23 underscores the importance of a coordinated, systems-based approach to urban emergency health.

**4.8.3 Good practice in strengthening health systems**

Good practice in this area includes prioritising working through, rebuilding or improving pre-existing healthcare systems as early as possible. Health interventions must be sustainable, and must not be seen purely as short-term life-saving measures built in parallel, as they then undercut existing systems. This can be as devastating as the disaster itself, taking years to recover.

To these ends, humanitarian agencies should prioritise training local healthcare providers for a variety of basic emergency and longer-term mid-level treatment to leave behind greater capacity for primary care. Agencies engaged in humanitarian health interventions should also understand that there really is no such thing as an isolated health emergency: health and the ability to affect people’s health in cities is a function of all the complex and interactive systems within the urban space, including shelter, transport, security and infrastructure.

Humanitarian agencies should be prepared to deal with psychosocial needs, which are often under-addressed before a crisis and compounded afterwards. Agencies should also resource interventions for the longer-term physical and psychological rehabilitation required after immediate healthcare needs are met. As part of the immediate healthcare response, agencies should also implement programmes that include screening for women and children who may be vulnerable to gender-based violence, intimate partner violence, trafficking or exploitation.


### 4.8.4 Cash, markets and healthcare during urban crises

The Global Health Cluster and WHO\(^ {125}\) make the following recommendations concerning the use of cash programming:

- Cash assistance is helpful – it can make healthcare affordable.
- Cash for health should not replace supply-side health financing, but should complement it.
- Minimum quality standards in health provision need to be assured.

Cash is discussed further in Section 3.3.

### 4.8.5 Health and forced displacement in urban areas

UNHCR’s *Operational Guidance on Refugee Protection and Solutions in Urban Areas* emphasises the need for a multi-sectoral, holistic approach to refugee health in urban areas: ‘The health status of refugees will not be improved by health services alone; the underlying determinants of health must also be addressed by improving livelihoods and income, food security and nutrition, housing, education and access to water and sanitation services’.\(^ {126}\)

To achieve this, UNHCR advocates a ‘three-pronged approach’ of advocacy, support and monitoring and evaluation.

A review of good practice by UNHCR\(^ {127}\) identifies the following key points to consider concerning health provision in urban refugee settings:

- The availability of health services (for instance ensuring enough beds for the number of patients anticipated).

---


• Distance to the nearest healthcare facilities.
• Financial and legal constraints on accessing health services, including not being registered with UNHCR or not having legal identification documents in the host country.
• Cultural and religious sensitivity. This might, for example, mean ensuring separate facilities for female patients and enough female providers for exams.
• Giving thought to possible tensions between host and displaced communities with regard to healthcare provision and access, for example overwhelming already burdened facilities with additional patients.
• Primary health care and emergency health services need to be free of charge in the initial emergency phase (while taking care not to undermine pre-existing medical payment systems after an emergency).
• Refugees from middle-income countries – as in the Syrian crisis – may be older than is typical for refugee populations elsewhere, and may present with chronic diseases.
• Urban refugees need to be integrated into existing health services.
• Avoid creating parallel health structures.
• Urban refugees need to be connected to food and nutrition programmes and the other basics that underlie good health.
• Monitoring urban refugees’ health needs and how they are being met can be problematic given the ‘hidden’ nature of urban life, and many people may use more than one health provider. This makes arriving at meaningful data on morbidity within urban refugee populations difficult.


In summary, health provision in urban emergencies is complex, with varying timeframes ranging from life-saving action to longer-term provision and care, such as for mental health needs. In protracted emergencies, engaging in healthcare systems is vital, recognising the multi-sectoral, multifaceted and interlinked nature of healthcare provision.

4.9 Food security

According to the 2018 *State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World* report, in 2017 821 million people were undernourished and, after several years of decline, global hunger has been on the increase since 2014 (mostly in South America and Africa). The report also noted that nearly 500 million of the most hungry people live in conflict-affected countries.\(^ {128}\)

This Good Practice Review found considerable information concerning general food security (such as stunting, obesity and child malnutrition), food security operations in rural areas and urban food security in chronic poverty settings, links to which are provided at the end of this section. However, there is relatively little information specifically relating to food security in urban emergencies (with notable exceptions, which are presented here). Information on good practice often relates food security to other sectoral approaches, in particular livelihoods and cash and markets (discussed below, as well as in Sections 4.5 and 3.3).

This section introduces food security. It presents good practice relating to disasters and conflict. The section ends with a list of websites and further reading on urban food security. As well as livelihoods and cash and markets, this section relates closely to Section 4.8 on health and Section 3.6 on assessments.

4.9.1 Food in cities

Food security broadly refers to being able to obtain enough food to lead a healthy and productive life. According to the *Sphere Handbook*, ‘Undernutrition reduces people’s ability to recover after a crisis. It impairs cognitive functions, reduces immunity to disease, increases susceptibility to chronic illness, limits livelihoods opportunities and reduces the ability to engage within the community. It undermines resilience and may increase dependence on ongoing support’.\(^ {129}\)

Food security closely links to other urban challenges and issues. As the 2018 *State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World* report notes: ‘In addition to conflict, climate variability

---


and extremes are among the key drivers behind the recent uptick in global hunger and one of the leading causes of severe food crises. The cumulative effect of changes in climate is undermining all dimensions of food security – food availability, access, utilization and stability’. Food shortages in cities can also lead to social unrest and inflation.

In urban areas, information on malnutrition might be limited. One study notes that ‘the figures that are available mask pronounced inequalities within cities. Within apparently prosperous city centres, in huge slums or in condemned housing blocks, rates of malnutrition and infant mortality may be higher than they are in rural areas’. Consumption patterns may also differ between rural and urban areas, for instance people eating out of the home at street markets. An additional well-documented urban phenomenon is increasing levels of obesity, in both low- and middle-income countries. Recent research indicates that obesity is especially an issue among women.

### 4.9.2 Urban food security in disasters

The Sphere Handbook’s 2018 urban revision gives extensive information on food security and nutrition, which is generally applicable in urban areas. Key lessons following disasters include:

*Use cash.* Cash is often a preferred mechanism for improving food security. WFP states that ‘If deployed in the right context, [cash] can improve access to food, contribute to more consistent consumption patterns and diversified diets as well as reduce negative coping strategies such as selling valuable production assets to buy food’. According to CaLP,

---


133 See for example D. Amugsi et al., ‘Prevalence and Time Trends in Overweight and Obesity among Urban Women: An Analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys data from 24 African Countries, 1991–2014, BMJ Open: 7(10) 2017 (https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/10/e017344). The research concluded that ‘Overweight and obesity are increasing among women of reproductive age in urban Africa, with obesity among this age group having more than doubled or tripled in 12 of the 24 countries. There is an urgent need for deliberate policies and interventions to encourage active lifestyles and healthy eating behaviour to curb this trend in urban Africa’.

134 WFP, Cash-based Transfers for Delivering Food Assistance (Rome: WFP, 2017) (https://documents.wfp.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/communications/wfp284171.pdf?_ga=2.31747838.1528048293.1537155400-478162095.1537155640). While increasing access to food, it should be noted that this in itself may not lead to nutrition security, where markets may comprise highly processed cheap high calorific foods (lots of sugar and fat) with limited nutritional value, or foodstuffs made from poor quality raw materials.
In general, smaller and more frequent cash grants will be spent on food, whereas larger one-time payments will be used for establishing livelihoods or replacing assets. However, households may also use large grants ‘to buy staples in bulk, achieving increased value for money but less diversity in the diet’. For further information see WFP, *Cash-based Transfers for Delivering Food Assistance*, April 2017 (https://documents.wfp.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/communications/wfp284171.pdf?_ga=2.31747838.1528048293.1537155400-478162095.1537155400).


**Use existing markets.** In Haiti, several agencies worked with street vendors immediately after the earthquake to provide food to affected people. Using existing markets can also prevent unfair competition from external food suppliers. One assessment by WFP after the Kashmir earthquake in 2005 concluded that urban food distributions would damage existing markets. Using markets necessitates a market analysis, discussed in Section 3.3. The example from Jakarta in Box 4.24 describes the benefits of using existing markets.

**Take a multisectoral approach.** Access to food can be increased through both cash and livelihoods programmes. One example of this is Oxfam’s Emergency Food Security and Livelihoods (EFSL) approach, which links food security with livelihoods. A review of three Oxfam programmes (in Gaza, Haiti and Nairobi) responding to urban conflict and disaster situations found that combining these approaches is effective. In Port-au-Prince, the evaluation found that ‘The EFSL component contributed to the economic recovery in Port-au-Prince and an improved food security situation through inputs for the rehabilitation of livelihoods of earthquake-affected communities. It provided emergency food and livelihoods-recovery support to approximately 195,000 beneficiaries outside camps, successfully targeting the very poor, the poor, and small community-level business-owners who had lost most or all of their assets’. WFP’s ‘essential needs’ approach uses a multi-sectoral lens to understand vulnerability in urban settings, helping to ‘de-
Box 4.24 Food security in Jakarta during the 1997–98 El Niño

‘In Jakarta, Indonesia, as food security came under pressure from drought and reduced rice production during the 1997–98 El Nino event, a novel programme was established to use commercial markets for aid delivery. Imported wheat was milled into flour by Indonesian flour mills, and Indonesian companies produced pre-packaged noodles, providing jobs for some of those recently made unemployed in the city. In addition the noodles could also be used by street-side cafes, ensuring that small food traders and vendors were not adversely affected by the provision of food aid. The programme worked because it allowed each level in the production/delivery chain to make a profit while maintaining incentives and penalties based on performance.’


structure the multi-layered and multi-dimensional complexity of elements associated to vulnerability through a thorough socio-economic and demographic investigation’.139


4.9.3 Food security in conflict

FAO and WFP identify four ‘pillars’ of food security: availability, access, utilisation and stability. Conflict ‘undermines all these pillars in many, and often interlinked, ways’. Which ‘refers to the fact that all three must be maintained consistently. Conflict undermines all these pillars in many, and often interlinked, ways’.140 One urban food assessment in


Juba found that ‘Urban households, for example, are particularly vulnerable to inflation, food price increases, basic non-food price increases, exchange rate/depreciation, policies and regulations, unemployment, crime, illness/death, diseases including HIV/AIDS and epidemics, separation/divorce, general economic decline, conflicts and population influx, and natural disasters’. In Gaza, Oxfam’s EFSL programme responded to increased food insecurity exacerbated by the Israeli military occupation during 2008–2009 with cash (including vouchers and cash for work) and training for income generation.

Food security in conflict can be greatly affected, and controlled by, a multiplicity of factors, as the extract in Box 4.25 on Syria illustrates.


Box 4.25 Food security in Syria

‘Overall, trade remains hampered by insecurity. Localized mines and improvised explosive device contamination affect supply routes, which, along with reduced food availability, creates high and highly variable food prices. In addition, the removal of subsidies on certain goods, high inflation rates, lack of employment opportunities and income sources have substantially reduced households’ purchasing power. However, some trade routes have recently reopened such as those linking Damascus to other urban markets in the governorates of Aleppo, Al Hasakeh, and Deir-Ez-Zor. As a result, in October 2017 prices of a standard WFP food basket in some markets of Aleppo, Al Hasakeh and Rural Damascus were 12 to 35 percent lower than their yearly levels. Cereal import requirements are expected to continue to increase for the 2017/18 marketing year (17 percent compared with the previous year) due to below-average domestic production.’


Useful resources


A number of tools exist derived from a developmental understanding of food security. For example, the Household Economy Analysis guidance include a section on adapting tools to urban use (www.heawebsite.org/about-household-economy-approach). The guidance also provide examples of urban assessment criteria to monitor food security, as well as expenditure and income patterns.


Hungry Cities Partnership (http://hungrycities.net/).


WFP’s reports concerning urban food insecurity can be found at www.wfp.org/news/urban-food-insecurity-1871.

World Food Programme and urban safety nets: www.wfp.org/content/wfp-and-urban-safety-nets.