

Facilitator: Jennifer Kvernmo (IOM)

Speakers: Marcus Forsberg (PHAP) & Aninia Nadig (Sphere)

Action points

- Vision:
 - o Digital / environmental
 - o Cross linkage to other Humanitarian Standards Partnership Toolkits
- Structure:
 - o Rephrasing as positive commitments
 - o Address typologies through key actions which will require reformulation
 - o Annexes SOPs, Tools
- Localisation:
 - o Guidance notes
 - o Contextual guide F&D process (Field)
- Consultations

Jennifer Kvernmo (IOM) opened the session with an introduction to the history of the Cluster's work towards producing standards for camp management (CM). Initial efforts to produce standards received constructive feedback and a new methodology was proposed. The 2018 Global CCCM Meeting provided a broad consultation and set goals to refocus and ensure alignment with the CM Toolkit with harmonization at the site level.

Four country level in person consultations were conducted in IDP and refugee contexts in South Sudan, Bangladesh, Iraq and Somalia utilizing workshops, 1-1 interviews, and focus group discussions with affected populations. 42% of those consulted were representing the Government.

On top of these in person consultations, an online consultation with Professional Association of Humanitarian Workers (PHAP) was also conducted. Marcus Forsberg (PHAP) presented on the PHAP consultation. PHAP has previously worked on other standards consultation processes such as Sphere, Child Protection, ICRC Protection etc. For CCCM, PHAP conducted a combination of a survey and a consultation webinar focused on scope and purpose of the standards, as well as comments on the current draft. 443 respondents based in 71 countries took part in the consultation; 67% of represented NGOs and 20% had been displaced themselves. The analysis of the data collected will help frame to the focus of the Working Group and the standards document produced.

Aninia Nadig (Sphere) presented on the Humanitarian Standards Partnership (HSP). The HSP used to be named Sphere Companions documents, and include the Humanitarian Charter, Protection Principles, Core Humanitarian Standards, which are deemed to share values and principles with Sphere. HSPs cover diverse topics and have similar and recognizable structures for standards, actions, indicators and guidance notes. A CCCM Camp Management document would need to meet this criteria in order to be considered to be included as an HSP.

The session then moved into breakout groups to discuss the following questions:

- Vision: What do we want our work to be like as a result of these standards? What are we trying to achieve in 5-10 years with the standards?
- Localization: How shall the views of the affected population be reflected in our standards?
- Structure: How shall the structure of the sub-standards be organized. Do the sub-standards need to be harmonized with the structure of the new Sphere? (Standard, Key Action, Performance Indicators, Guidance notes) Is it the recommendation of the group to become part of the HSP?

CCCM practitioners and stakeholders are invited to join the CM Working Group – please visit <https://cccmcluster.org/global/Camp-Management-Standards-Working-Group>