# Minutes: CM Standards WG, 19.9.18

**Attendance:** Gebrehiwot (DRC), Andrew (UNHCR), Wan (IOM), Erica (IOM), Jennifer (IOM). Apologies Hannah (IOM), Peter (IOM), Giovanna (NRC), Dher (UNHCR).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Agenda**  | **Discussion**  | **Action Point** |
| 1. Update on what has happened since retreat

(see slide 3-6) | **•** Lack of funding and focal point has delayed the outcome and project has lost momentum. • Standards will again be on the agenda this year this objective of this meeting was to 1/ update on what has happened, check in with the WG regarding their TOR, and discuss next steps prior and after to the retreat. • Wan informed that Jennifer taking over as focal point. Erica has been primary drafter. • Last version that was commented on in 2017 was seen as too dense and formal.  |  |
| 1. Update on draft 1.3

(see slide 7) | * To move forward a new draft has been made which is “leaner”, concise, and usable “bite-sized” set of draft standards clearly linked to measurable indicators.
* Proposed audience for this draft: field offices. Proposed final audience: camp and site management agencies, including humanitarian organizations, national organizations and national authorities.
* Sources of indicators: Global CCCM Cluster Training Package, OCHA indicators registry, version 1 of document (draft by David)
* The aim is that the standards should be specific to CCCM and not repeat the Sphere Core Standards, or act as a compendium of Sphere Shelter and Settlements Standards or Core Humanitarian Standard on Quality and Accountability.

*Discussion focused on how well this draft and in general the project of CM standards publication can measure both quantitively and quantitively standards as well as cover the major CM activities of camp life cycle – particularly emergency phase and social issues* | **Jennifer to circulate current draft.** **WG members to make comment on document by 27.9.18** |
| 1. Retreat presentation brainstorming

(see slide 8, 9)  | * Space has been allocated at the retreat on day 2 (70 minutes). In the session the aim will be to revive the project, agree upon a work plan, consult on top level standards.

*Discussion around additions to the process drafted, to include consultations with other clusters.* *Brainstormed countries for field visits – DRC suggested Nigeria and Bangladesh. Both seen as relevant places to hold field consultations*  | **Agreed to add this to the draft work plan.****Draft TOR for field visits and further consultation with other WG members.**  |
| 1. Engagement on of others in revision of document
 | * Other reviews (shelter) have noted the benefit of academia and public sector in review process.

Discussion on how to get more contacts involved and best avenue to do this. Need to find focal points in key agencies. Further brainstorming needed. | **All to check contacts and make push for new contacts.****Check date with SAG and friends of SAG post retreat for longer discussion.** **Next Meeting in 1 weeks time to discuss feedback on draft.** |

**#**